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Greetings!

Virginia localities must regularly review their comprehensive land use plans, to keep them consistent with the community's vision for the future. In January 2014, the City Council, the Planning Commission, and the Economic Development Authority held a joint meeting to discuss how best to update the 2007 comprehensive plan. The general consensus was that the previous plan was still sound and that the Vision Statement adopted at that time was still applicable.

Since the previous plan’s adoption, however, the nation experienced a severe economic downturn. The local impact was reduced property values and revenues, which affected how the City was able to maintain public services and infrastructure. Fredericksburg weathered that economic storm, however, and is once again poised to prosper as the economy improves.

At a joint meeting in January 2014, the City Council directed the Planning Commission to revise the 2007 comprehensive plan. The Planning staff handled this update in-house. The intent was to prepare a document that could be used as a basis for more detailed area plans for various sections of the City. Over the next several years, the City will engage outside consultants to develop sub area plans through a more intensive effort that will be able to engage citizens at the neighborhood level. The revised comprehensive plan has been divided into ten sub areas and the more detailed plans for each area will be incorporated into this adopted comprehensive plan as they are completed.

Multiple public hearings have been held during the past year and the public has also been invited to comment on the developing document through the City’s website and social media. The City staff has also met with various organizations and interested groups during this process, to brief them on how the planning effort was unfolding and to obtain their comments and ideas. The intensive effort to develop detailed area plans is expected to engage the public further.

A comprehensive plan serves as a type of roadmap toward the City’s future. It is a solid foundation upon which the City can set a successful economic, financial, and social course for itself. Legally, it serves as a rationale for making land use and infrastructure decisions. Politically, it is the vision for how the City intends to promote the well-being of all its citizens. Further, this comprehensive plan guides decision making by various City boards and commissions and also recognizes the roles and responsibilities of all City departments.

This comprehensive plan is a key part of an ongoing process, a continuous planning cycle that is forever trying to adapt to changing physical, economic, and social conditions, both within the City and beyond the horizon. I invite you to examine this current plan and participate in developing new ones.

Sincerely,

Mary Katherine Greenlaw
Mayor
Fredericksburg, Virginia
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PART I - A LIVABLE CITY

DEFINING THE CITY’S FUTURE

In 2017, the City Council adopted a Vision Statement to guide Fredericksburg for the next 20 years. This Comprehensive Plan provides the framework for the community to attain that vision – addressing current conditions, defining goals for the future, and providing strategies that reconcile existing conditions and the City’s desired outcomes. This Part I of the Comprehensive Plan sets the stage with a clear statement of vision, a brief overview of what a comprehensive plan is supposed to be, and a presentation of facts about the community.

- Preface
- Chapter 1: City Council Vision and Comprehensive Plan Overview
- Chapter 2: Fredericksburg Today: A Community Profile

PREFACE: FREDERICKSBURG, VIRGINIA

This Comprehensive Plan has been adopted by the Fredericksburg City Council to guide decision making for the physical development of the community. It is used to help the City move forward in a manner that embraces local values and achieves the community’s vision for itself.

City Council adopted its last comprehensive plan in 2007. A year later, the nation experienced a severe economic downturn that significantly reduced the available revenues that are needed to cover local government costs. The local real estate market dropped, although not as much as it did in some parts of the country because of the City’s favorable location along the Interstate-95 corridor and its proximity to the Northern Virginia/Washington D.C. metropolitan area. Still, some area housing lost value and many local businesses are still recovering. In 2017, the Plan was updated to incorporate a renewed vision, a clearer economic development strategy, and assure of adequate public facilities.

This Comprehensive Plan is organized as follows:

- Part I: The City’s vision, plan overview, and community profile
- Part II: Promoting and Sustaining a Livable Community
- Part III: Land use plan and ten sub-planning areas
- Part IV: Appendices
CHAPTER 1: CITY COUNCIL VISION AND COMPREHENSIVE PLAN OVERVIEW

THE CONCEPT OF THE PUBLIC WELFARE IS BROAD AND INCLUSIVE. THE VALUES IT REPRESENTS ARE SPIRITUAL AS WELL AS PHYSICAL, AESTHETIC AS WELL AS MONETARY. IT IS WITHIN THE POWER OF THE LEGISLATURE TO DETERMINE THAT THE COMMUNITY SHALL BE BEAUTIFUL AS WELL AS HEALTHY, SPACIOUS AS WELL AS CLEAN, WELL-BALANCED AS WELL AS CAREFULLY PATROLLED.

SUPREME COURT JUSTICE WILLIAM O. DOUGLAS

INTRODUCTION

The ability of the City of Fredericksburg to direct its own future lies principally in its authority to regulate land use and in the wisdom of the City Council’s decisions when investing in public improvements. A Comprehensive Plan provides the agreed upon policies that can guide the City toward its stated objectives. Planning is ongoing, however, in a dynamic process that includes professional analysis and citizen participation.

When people define the qualities that make Fredericksburg an attractive place to live and do business, they often resort to phrases like quality of life, small town atmosphere, and sense of place. These words express the values of the community, but if they are to remain a reality, they must be sustained and enhanced through specific City actions. Historically, places with a good quality of life provide maximum opportunity for social encounter and exchange in public places, as citizens attend to their daily activities. Attention to the community’s physical, social, and economic attributes is the key to maintaining an authentic sense of place.

VISION STATEMENT

In 2017, the Fredericksburg City Council adopted the following Vision Statement that sets out the community’s fundamental values for the next 20 years.

The people of Fredericksburg are building a 21st century urban center on the foundation of this historic city at the fall line of the Rappahannock River. Fredericksburg is the hub of regional economic activity, a city with a multicultural population and thriving cultural scene, a place that works for everyone, and a community where people are writing the next chapters of Fredericksburg’s history.

We assure our future by focusing on the following desired future states:
EMPLOYMENT EPICENTER
Fredericksburg has a research and development, high-tech focused economy delivering solutions in healthcare, national security, and innovative technology. We are an authentic historic experience and a tourist destination with diverse opportunities in eco-tourism, sports, cultural, and entertainment venues of statewide significance. We facilitate the entrepreneurial spirit.

PUBLIC SERVICES - THE BACKBONE OF OUR COMMUNITY
Delivery of core services is of the utmost importance. Fredericksburg maintains and improves its infrastructure to accommodate future growth in the City. Capital improvements are carefully planned and include investments so that our infrastructure is capable of delivering clean water and sanitary services. We provide highly responsive public safety, health, and social services. We apply advances in technology to monitor and improve these services. We collaborate with our regional partners to explore shared service solutions.

DISTINCT AND LINKED NEIGHBORHOODS
Fredericksburg’s neighborhoods have their own identities and they are also linked to the whole community by a network of sidewalks and trails. We are a cohesive community of caring, involved, and dedicated residents. Residents value the safe neighborhoods in which they live. They know their neighbors. They encounter neighbors of all ages and backgrounds in the common social areas provided by public pathways and parks.

LEARNING IS A WAY OF LIFE
Our public schools are a great source of pride, bringing together all students and families, linking learning to the needs of the 21st Century. The Council and School Board work closely with each other, regional partners, and higher educational institutions to provide lifelong learning for our residents. The City’s unified school structure provides connection throughout the community. We have an educated workforce prepared to meet the needs of modern society.

A PROVEN LEADER IN HISTORIC PRESERVATION
Recognizing the importance of our historic character, from early Indian settlement through the Industrial Revolution, we are stewards of our nation’s treasures. Working with our National Park Service, other preservation partners, and the development community, we continue to tell the story of our nation’s history by preserving our historic properties and promoting compatible reuse and redevelopment. With our enhanced focus on archaeology, the City continues to be a leader in historic preservation.

BUILDING COMMUNITY THROUGH CULTURAL VIBRANCY
The City’s arts and parks are the pulse of the community and make Fredericksburg a desirable place to live and a destination for businesses and visitors. Cultural and recreational opportunities, along with parks and trails, create vitality and promote healthy living, community connectivity, and creative experiences.

GREEN, CLEAN ENVIRONMENT
We are a responsible, modern city with a quality of life that is guaranteed by thoughtful environmental stewardship. Our location on the Rappahannock River compels us to consider the environmental impact of every decision that we make. Our air and water quality is ensured through careful management. Conservation of our natural resources is encouraged through education and incentives. New homes and commercial buildings are built to LEED standards, and we encourage the use of alternative energy options. Whether we are discussing street sweeping, new development, education, tree-planting, or tax abatements, we promote a clean environment and well planned, sustainable growth.

CUTTING EDGE TRANSPORTATION SOLUTIONS
We have pedestrian access throughout the City and work to guarantee connectivity. We are a leader in advancing multimodal transportation opportunities within the City and between Washington and Richmond. By identifying new resources, the City seizes emerging advancements in transportation.


**AUTHORITY**

The Commonwealth of Virginia requires a local governing body to adopt a comprehensive land use plan, prepared by the local planning commission, for the physical development of the jurisdiction. The specified process is to study existing conditions, growth trends, and probable future requirements and then develop a plan for “the purpose of guiding and accomplishing a coordinated, adjusted, and harmonious development of the territory, which will, in accordance with present and probable future needs and resources, best promote the health, safety, morals, order, convenience, prosperity and general welfare of the inhabitants, including the elderly and persons with disabilities (Code of Virginia Section 15.2-2223.A).”

The comprehensive land use plan is to be general in nature, designating the general or approximate location, character, and extent of transportation improvements, new or improved facilities, and development areas. When evaluating growth trends, localities must use the official estimates of the Weldon Cooper Center for Public Service (of the University of Virginia), the Virginia Employment Commission, the United States Census Bureau, or other official government projections required for federal transportation planning (Code of Virginia Section 15.2-2223.1).

**PREVIOUS PLANNING**

The City’s first comprehensive plan was adopted in 1970. It contained a thorough analysis of Fredericksburg’s population, economy, transportation network, housing supply, and public services and facilities and then presented a recommended land use plan. This overall plan was not updated again until 1981, but included a large public participation component when that process finally occurred. The annexation of 4.4 square miles of land from Spotsylvania County in 1984 required that a plan be developed for this new city area, which was completed in 1987. Subsequent plans, completed in 1993, 1999, and 2007, have included significant public input and this emphasis on citizen participation continues.

A great many plans have informed this current comprehensive plan and these documents are listed below, under Additional Current Planning.

**PLAN IMPLEMENTATION**

A comprehensive plan is not a regulatory instrument in its own right. Instead, it is an advisory document that guides the formulation of the local zoning ordinance. It also includes standards against which requests for zoning map amendments and special use permits can be measured. Under circumstances not anticipated by the approved plan, the governing body also has the discretion to look beyond its adopted standards and follow another reasonable approach in order to reach a sound land use decision.

The Unified Development Ordinance (UDO) contains the zoning regulations that are the principle vehicle for implementing the comprehensive plan. This local ordinance addresses the use, density, location, division, and construction upon virtually all properties within the City, with the exception of State and Federal lands. The objectives of the Virginia Chesapeake Bay Act and other environmental regulations are also translated into this local ordinance, for their local application.

The built environment is an established and growing community experiences an ongoing process of development and redevelopment, which is commonly understood as revitalization. These terms are interchangeable within this Comprehensive Plan, to describe efforts to improve an area, to make it better, and to pursue an evolving density of uses that occurs in a growing community like Fredericksburg.

**PUBLIC FACILITIES REVIEW**

In addition to providing the basis of the City’s zoning regulations, the comprehensive plan includes recommendations for public facilities, noting their approximate location, character, and extent. The plan also indicates whether public facilities are to be newly constructed, expanded, relocated, abandoned, or changed in their use. When public action is planned for a public facility, the Planning Commission must certify that the proposed public action is in substantial compliance with the adopted plan. If a proposed action is not to comply with the adopted plan, the public project must be modified so that it complies with the plan or the plan must be amended, with appropriate public participation, to accommodate the facility (Code of Virginia, Section 15.2-2232). This State Code section also notes that the governing body may overrule the Planning Commission’s determination.
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM
Capital improvements are the construction or expansion of public facilities to add capacity for the levels of service provided to the community. A Capital Improvements Plan (CIP) is the principle guide for carrying out the recommendations of the adopted comprehensive plan. Developing a CIP allows the City to anticipate revenues and capital expenditures, so it can prioritize capital projects and ensure that finite financial resources are used effectively. The CIP is updated annually, in conjunction with the City budget, and is reviewed by the Planning Commission (Code of Virginia, Section 15.2-2239).

TRANSPORTATION PLAN
The comprehensive plan must include a transportation plan that has been reviewed by the Virginia Department of Transportation. This element of the comprehensive plan shows the City’s infrastructure needs as well as all proposed road projects and road improvements, with maps and cost estimates. Further, the transportation plan must include alternative modal facilities such as rail and bus stations, bicycle and pedestrian accommodations, bridges, and so on. The hierarchy of roads (highways, arterials, collectors, etc.) must also be made clear (Code of Virginia, Section 15.2-2222.1).

URBAN DEVELOPMENT AREAS
Land use is driven by available infrastructure and the Commonwealth of Virginia allows localities to designate strategic growth areas where existing and planned development is in close proximity to transportation facilities and where utilities (such as water and sewer) are readily available (Code of Virginia, Section 15.2-2223.1). The intent is to ensure the best use of finite space to support the creation and maintenance of attractive, livable urban communities, which are called urban development areas (UDAs).

The Code of Virginia identifies levels of development considered appropriate in a UDA and these standards are well established in Fredericksburg. As a consequence, the entire City is considered a UDA. Related policies that maintain and enhance Fredericksburg as a strategic growth area are contained throughout this comprehensive plan.

COASTAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT GUIDANCE
Within Virginia’s Tidewater region, which includes the City of Fredericksburg, jurisdictional comprehensive plans must include guidance related to coastal resource management (Code of Virginia, Section 15.2-2223.2). The ecosystems that exist between land and water are very complex and function to keep shorelines stable, to provide aquatic and terrestrial habitat, and to maintain and improve water quality. The Commonwealth of Virginia is concerned that inappropriate development of these sensitive areas threatens their long-term sustainability. As a consequence, the Virginia Institute of Marine Sciences has prepared Comprehensive Coastal Resource Management Guidance for best practices in these sometimes fragile ecosystems. This document is listed under other plans and incorporated herein by reference.

HOUSING PLAN
The comprehensive plan must also include the designation of areas and implementation measures for the construction, rehabilitation and maintenance of affordable housing. Such affordable housing is to be sufficient to meet the current and future needs of residents at all income levels within the community, while considering the current and future needs of the Planning District as well (Code of Virginia, Section 15.2-2223.D). The City develops a Consolidated Plan for Community Development Programs, which includes an affordable housing analysis and implementation plan. This comprehensive plan reflects that extensive study.

PROFFERS/PRO RATA FUNDING
The Code of Virginia allows localities to accept voluntary proffers from property owners seeking to change the zoning designation of their land. Such proffers are a means to help fund community facilities and infrastructure that will be required as a result of the proposed development. In addition, the State Code allows the City to seek pro-rata funding and availability fees from developers, to help pay for extending and expanding public facilities needed to serve the new development (Code of Virginia, Section 15.2-2243).

Once accepted, proffers are legally binding agreements that remain with the zoning of the property. Proffers may include use restrictions, design standards beyond what is required by the local code, enhanced landscaping, amenities, dedication of land to the locality, construction of public infrastructure, and even monetary...
contributions that mitigate the cost of additional public facilities capacity. Any land dedicated must be suitable for the specified use. Proffered infrastructure must also meet adopted development standards. Monetary contributions must be based on the locality’s current CIP, to ensure they properly reflect the impact of the proposed land use on capital facilities. Monetary proffers cannot be made to cover general renovations, technology upgrades, general operating expenses, or routine maintenance.

Regional Cooperation
The City of Fredericksburg and adjacent counties have addressed many issues on a regional basis. Ongoing collaboration is found in the areas of transportation, stormwater management, water supply planning and distribution, wastewater treatment, solid waste disposal, public safety, and other important community needs. The State Code allows localities to enter into cooperative agreements with one another and any rights provided under such agreements may be modified or waived by the governing bodies, provided that the modifications or waivers do not conflict with the Constitution of Virginia. The range of potential agreements may include arrangements for fiscal matters, land use, zoning, subdivisions, infrastructure (as already noted above), revenue, and sharing economic growth (Code of Virginia, Section 15.2-3400).

Additional Current Planning
Many previously adopted planning documents were used to develop this comprehensive plan, including the following:

- City of Fredericksburg Affordable Housing Policy (September 1989)
- City of Fredericksburg Comprehensive Parking Study (January 2006)
- Climate, Environment & Readiness (CLEAR) Plan for Virginia’s George Washington Region (April 2014)
- Comprehensive Coastal Resource Management Guidance (January 2013)
- Comprehensive Sewerage Facilities Plan (February 1989)
- Comprehensive Water Facilities Plan (February 1989)
- Consolidated Plan for Community Development Programs (May 2010)
- Fredericksburg Area Metropolitan Planning Area 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan (April, 2013)
- Fredericksburg City Public Schools Capital Improvement Plan, 2013-2017
- Fredericksburg City Public Schools Comprehensive Plan, 2012-2017
- Fredericksburg Economic Development Authority Strategic Plan, October 2010-September 2015
- Fredericksburg Pathways: A Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan (January 2006)
- Fredericksburg Station Community Plan (July 1995)
- Fredericksburg, Virginia Walkability Survey (2012-2013)
- Fredericksburg Watershed Property Management Plan (January 2011)
- Historic Preservation Plan (2010)
- JumpStart! Fredericksburg (2010)
- Regional Green Infrastructure Plan (2011)
- Regional Water Supply Plan (2011)

Plan Framework
This comprehensive plan lays out a specific framework for reaching the following goals that envision Fredericksburg’s future. They will be made operational by an accompanying set of policies and initiatives in each chapter. The City also intends to pursue additional planning for the ten sub-planning areas identified in this comprehensive plan.
TRANSPORTATION GOALS

GOAL 1: SURFACE TRANSPORTATION
Develop the City's surface transportation system to be safe, functional, and attractive, serving multiple modes of travel and making the community accessible to all citizens.

GOAL 2: ALTERNATIVE MODES OF TRAVEL
Encourage the use of alternative modes of travel (transit, rail, and trails), to enhance mobility and accessibility and to minimize automobile congestion.

GOAL 3: REDUCE CONGESTION
Reduce the auto-centric character of the City and resulting congestion by encouraging the use of alternative modes of travel, to enhance mobility and accessibility. Enhanced and expanded rail services are especially encouraged.

GOAL 4: WALKABILITY
Continue to expand the conditions that make Fredericksburg a pedestrian-friendly city, acknowledging that sidewalks and trails are critical infrastructure and not merely amenities. Neighborhoods should be interconnected and outlying areas connected to the city center through safe pedestrian/bicycle pathway networks.

GOAL 5: COMPLETE STREETS
Ensure the City's transportation system accommodates the safety and convenience of all users including pedestrians, bicyclists, mass transit riders, persons with disabilities, the elderly, motorists, freight providers, emergency responders, and adjacent land users.

GOAL 6: TRANSPORTATION EFFICIENCY
Reduce congestion on existing streets. Minimize potential street congestion resulting from new development. Work with surrounding jurisdictions and the Commonwealth of Virginia to improve regional transportation safety and efficiency.

GOAL 7: TRANSPORTATION SAFETY
Recognize that the needs of various modes of travel must be coordinated with each other, such that arterial roads provide for the free flow of vehicular traffic while neighborhood streets and the downtown grid maximize pedestrian safety.

GOAL 8: URBAN DEVELOPMENT AREAS
Recognize that the entire City of Fredericksburg is a strategic growth area within the region and continue to ensure that land use decisions recognize that compact, integrated development is the best use of finite urban space.
GOALS FOR PUBLIC SERVICES, PUBLIC FACILITIES AND PRESERVED OPEN SPACE

Goal 1: Efficient and Effective Public Services
Provide adequate public facilities and services in an efficient and effective manner to all City residents.

Goal 2: Safe and Secure Environment
Provide a safe and secure environment for those who live, work, and visit the City, through high-quality public safety facilities and systems.

Goal 3: Education Facilities
Provide high quality education facilities.

Goal 4: Educational System
Provide a quality education that assures opportunity for all students, so that they are encouraged to meet high academic standards and empowered to become productive citizens.

Goal 5: Parks and Open Space
Ensure the City’s parks, open space, and recreational programming meets the needs of the full community, including families, youth, seniors, and citizens with special needs, through recreational opportunities, both passive and active, in public parks and on City owned lands.

Goal 6: Clean and Safe Water Supply
Ensure the Rappahannock River continues to function as a clean and safe supply of water for the City and the region. Maintain the natural integrity of the river and its tributaries, for their biological functions that protect the City’s raw water supply.

Goal 7: Riverfront
Connect the community to the Rappahannock River, both visually and physically, with parks that provide focal points, have recreational benefits, respect local history, and promote economic vitality.

FIGURE 2 Enjoying Public Facilities
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION GOALS

GOAL 1: RESOURCE PROTECTION
Ensure that growth and development does not compromise the function of natural ecosystems, by establishing and updating land use policies that identify and manage the cumulative impacts of individual development projects.

GOAL 2: WATERSHEDS
Protect the ecological integrity of the Rappahannock River watershed from inappropriate development, in order to ensure the highest water quality and to preserve natural resources for the benefit of present and future generations.

GOAL 3: NATURAL FUNCTIONS OF RIVERS AND STREAMS
Maintain the ecological integrity of the Rappahannock River and its tributaries for their biological functions and wildlife habitat, as well as for drainage, recreational uses, and other purposes.

GOAL 4: WATER QUALITY
Improve water quality through implementation of best management practices for stormwater management, erosion and sediment control, and wastewater treatment that meet or exceed the Commonwealth of Virginia’s regulatory requirements.

GOAL 5: SUSTAINABILITY
Strengthen existing policies and develop new ones to actively promote a sustainable future.

GOAL 6: LIVABILITY
Strengthen existing policies and develop new ones to actively promote a sustainable future by promoting clustered and compact development, which would be balanced by additional open space, and redevelopment of land and repurposing of structures.

GOAL 7: SUSTAINABILITY LEADERSHIP
Expand the role of the City’s Clean and Green Commission to develop sustainability/livability policy recommendations.

GOAL 8: CITY LEADERSHIP
The City should set the example in creating a more sustainable society.

FIGURE 3 RAPPAHANNOCK RIVER
**Business Opportunity Goals**

**Goal 1: Downtown as a Center for Commerce, Culture, and Community**

Ensure that downtown Fredericksburg continues to serve as a center of commerce, art, culture, recreation, historic amenities, and government, in order to provide economic stability and a sense of community. Actively pursue the preservation and adaptive reuse of downtown buildings and ensure that infill projects are designed with sensitivity to the City’s historic character.

**Goal 2: A Well-Balanced Mix of Uses Downtown**

Achieve a sustainable mix of commercial and residential development downtown that fits the historic character of the urban core and helps people to live, shop, and work in the city center.

**Goal 3: Business Development**

Ensure the City can accommodate and capture its projected share of regional economic growth, by actively recruiting desired new businesses and providing for retail and office space development in areas identified for growth.

**Goal 4: Community Character**

Preserve and enhance the City’s visual appeal by pursuing patterns of development that respect the City’s historic growth pattern (mixed-use development) and by installing landscaping and street trees (complete streets).

**Goal 5: Mixed-Uses in Corridors**

Achieve mixed-use development patterns as redevelopment occurs within designated corridors, by blending commercial and residential uses, as appropriate to specific locations.

**Goal 6: Complementary and Connected Business Districts**

Ensure that new suburban business districts complement and connect to the City’s downtown and its business corridors, through multi-modal transportation and a commercial mix that offers a wide variety of goods, services, and jobs. Strive to create pedestrian connections between all business districts, as feasible and appropriate.

**Goal 7: A Live Here/Work Here Community**

Enhance business development opportunities through provision of an educated and skilled work force, incubation of local businesses, and active recruitment of desired outside businesses.
GOALS FOR RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOODS

GOAL 1: NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER  
Preserve the character of the City’s neighborhoods, by respecting and maintaining their functional design (sidewalks, alleys, street trees, etc.).

GOAL 2: NEIGHBORHOOD QUALITY  
Enhance the quality of the City’s residential areas, to promote livability and a sense of community. Livability is defined as safe and walkable, with a variety of housing choices and ready access (walking, biking, transit, automobile) to work, shopping, and services.

GOAL 3: DISTINCT AND ATTRACTIVE NEIGHBORHOODS  
Ensure the residential areas of the City continue to comprise a collection of distinct and attractive neighborhoods, each possessing a sense of place, history, and shared identity.

GOAL 4: ADEQUATE PUBLIC SERVICES AND FACILITIES  
Ensure that residential neighborhoods are adequately served with efficient and multi-modal transportation, available parking, street trees, and public services such as trash pickup, leaf removal, and snow plowing.

GOAL 5: ENHANCED CONNECTIONS  
Support inclusive neighborhoods for the elderly and persons with disabilities, through multi-modal transportation that enhances connections between affordable and accessible housing, places of employment, other neighborhoods, and services.

GOAL 6: COMPATIBLE DESIGN AND FUNCTIONALITY  
Ensure that development and redevelopment is visually compatible with the overall character of the City as well as functional for all citizens, with visit-ability standards that ensure a basic level of access to all new housing, such as no-step entryways, wide hallways, and other features that allow homes to be adapted to persons with disabilities.

GOAL 7: AFFORDABLE HOUSING  
All persons who live and work in Fredericksburg should have the opportunity to rent or purchase safe, decent, and accessible housing within their means.

GOAL 8: VARIETY OF HOUSING  
Provide a variety of housing opportunities throughout the City that respect the character of the community.

GOAL 9: HOMEOWNERSHIP  
Encourage homeownership opportunities and seek to achieve a homeownership rate within the City of at least 40 percent.

GOAL 10: HOUSING MAINTENANCE AND UPKEEP  
Maintain and protect the City's housing stock, through proper enforcement of state and local codes, to ensure an adequate supply of housing that is safe and healthy.

FIGURE 5 FREDERICKSBURG DOWNTOWN NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING
HISTORIC PRESERVATION GOALS

Goal 1: City Character
Protect and enhance the character of Fredericksburg’s historic area and city center as a means to preserve the community’s sense of place, to promote economic strength, and to ensure the City’s continued appeal to residents, businesses, and visitors.

Goal 2: Redevelopment
Promote redevelopment of downtown properties in a manner that reflects the character of the City as a vibrant and growing community.

Goal 3: Heritage Resources
Continue to recognize, protect, and interpret significant architectural, historical, and archaeological resources that constitute the community’s heritage.

GOALS FOR INSTITUTIONAL AND JURISDICTIONAL PARTNERSHIPS

Goal 1: Information Exchange
Exchange information, coordinate services, and arrange for joint use of facilities between the City and its institutional partners.

Goal 2: Regional Planning
Work collaboratively and coordinate efforts with neighboring jurisdictions.

Goal 3: New Partnerships
Identify new institutional/jurisdictional partnerships, as needed to achieve regional goals.
CHAPTER 2: FREDERICKSBURG TODAY: A COMMUNITY PROFILE

INTRODUCTION

Fredericksburg, Virginia encompasses approximately 10.5 square miles of land, midway between Washington D.C. and Richmond, Virginia. The City is within the George Washington Regional Planning District (Planning District 16), which includes Fredericksburg and four counties. The 2010 Census count shows this planning district as having a population of 327,773 persons, and growing. The City is the regional center for administration, professional services, finance, higher education, medicine, and commerce.

MAP 1  FREDERICKSBURG AND ITS REGIONAL CONTEXT
Population

Fredericksburg’s population grew significantly between 1980 and 1990, due to development as well as annexation of a 4.4 square mile area. Growth between 1990 and 2000 was slower, but began to increase substantially after 2000. Population changes are shown in Table 2-1, but the growth trend has diminished as the residential development at Idlewild nears completion.

Table 2-1
Fredericksburg’s Population Growth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>POPULATION</th>
<th>CHANGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1950</td>
<td>12,158</td>
<td>20.1 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>13,369</td>
<td>10.0 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>14,450</td>
<td>8.1 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>15,332</td>
<td>6.0 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>19,027</td>
<td>24.2 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>19,279</td>
<td>1.3 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>24,286</td>
<td>26.0 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014 estimate</td>
<td>28,213</td>
<td>16.2 percent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

U.S. Census Bureau -- Weldon Cooper Center*

Population Projections

The Weldon Cooper Center for Public Service (University of Virginia) is a recognized source of reliable and up-to-date statistical information. Additional demographic and economic data comes through the Virginia Employment Commission (VEC). The various growth projections shown below are consistent with data that is used by state and local governments throughout the Commonwealth. Fredericksburg’s projected growth is shown in Table 2-2.

Table 2-2
Fredericksburg’s Overall Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CENSUS</th>
<th>POPULATION</th>
<th>INCREASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>24,286</td>
<td>25.97 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>26,646</td>
<td>9.72 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030</td>
<td>28,384</td>
<td>6.51 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2040</td>
<td>29,926</td>
<td>5.40 percent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

U.S. Census Bureau

*Note: The Weldon Cooper Center estimates Fredericksburg’s population for 2014 to be 28,213 people, which is higher than what was previously projected for 2020 and shown above. These various figures should be seen in relative terms, though, and compared to similarly derived figures to reveal trends.

The projected growth for the entire region is where the impact of area growth becomes more evident. The Weldon Cooper Center uses available data from the U.S. Census and the VEC, and Table 2-3 shows total population projections for the five jurisdictions that comprise the George Washington Region (City of Fredericksburg and the Counties of Caroline, King George, Spotsylvania, and Stafford). Also shown is the percentage of the regional population that will be residing in the City. The region’s prevailing development pattern is low density.

Table 2-3
George Washington Region, PD-16 Population Projections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PD16 POP</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2040</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% CITY POP</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Weldon Cooper Center

The consequence of this de-centralized development pattern is that it requires significant public investments in infrastructure, which are beyond the capacity of suburban jurisdictions to sustain. State and federal budgets, which have traditionally subsidized the counties, are increasingly constrained, so the transportation needs of the region invariably exceed available funding to address them.

Local jurisdictions have recognized they need to reverse the trend of decentralized growth and are using the Fredericksburg Area Metropolitan Planning Organization’s (FAMPO’s) planning capabilities to examine land use and transportation together, to better evaluate potential alternatives. Fredericksburg is already a relatively compact jurisdiction, where planned transportation improvements will better knit the community together and support the national trend of economic development gravitating toward urban centers.

Within the overall projections are more detailed data that suggest the specific impacts of the increasing population. The breakdown of the population projections is shown in Table 2-4.
## Table 2-4  **Fredericksburg City Population Projections by Age Group**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGE GROUP</th>
<th>2010 CENSUS</th>
<th>2020 PROJECTION</th>
<th>2030 PROJECTION</th>
<th>2040 PROJECTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 5 years</td>
<td>1,590</td>
<td>1,660</td>
<td>1,766</td>
<td>1,887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 9 years</td>
<td>1,418</td>
<td>1,379</td>
<td>1,517</td>
<td>1,572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 to 14 years</td>
<td>1,083</td>
<td>1,233</td>
<td>1,248</td>
<td>1,334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 to 19 years</td>
<td>2,389</td>
<td>3,156</td>
<td>2,973</td>
<td>3,287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 24 years</td>
<td>2,948</td>
<td>4,251</td>
<td>4,690</td>
<td>4,768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 to 29 years</td>
<td>2,216</td>
<td>2,085</td>
<td>2,669</td>
<td>2,526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 to 34 years</td>
<td>1,592</td>
<td>1,809</td>
<td>1,887</td>
<td>2,093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 to 39 years</td>
<td>1,402</td>
<td>1,609</td>
<td>1,467</td>
<td>1,887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 to 44 years</td>
<td>1,330</td>
<td>1,364</td>
<td>1,501</td>
<td>1,574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 to 49 years</td>
<td>1,431</td>
<td>1,399</td>
<td>1,556</td>
<td>1,425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 to 54 years</td>
<td>1,322</td>
<td>1,349</td>
<td>1,340</td>
<td>1,483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 to 59 years</td>
<td>1,163</td>
<td>1,378</td>
<td>1,306</td>
<td>1,459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 to 64 years</td>
<td>989</td>
<td>1,149</td>
<td>1,136</td>
<td>1,135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 to 69 years</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>1,085</td>
<td>1,033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 to 74 years</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>887</td>
<td>881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 to 79 years</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 to 84 years</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 85</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>24,286</strong></td>
<td><strong>26,646</strong></td>
<td><strong>38,384</strong></td>
<td><strong>29,296</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Weldon Cooper Center*

Based on this breakdown, the Weldon Cooper Center projects the City’s future population to have a school age population of approximately 4,000 children by 2020. Following that growth period, however, the number of children is projected to remain relatively stable, through 2030, but will increase again by another 450 children (approximately) by 2040. The Fredericksburg Public Schools has also calculated a school age population of approximately 4,000 children in 2020, but estimate an increase to approximately 4,300 children by 2024. The impact of these projections on the City's schools are discussed further in Chapter 4.

The elderly population is projected to increase in real numbers, but remain a relatively constant percentage of the overall population. The population 55 years and over is projected to increase from 18.8 percent of the overall population to around 20 percent. The elderly population, if defined as those persons 60 years and older, constitutes 14 percent of the overall population and is projected to comprise no more than 15.5 percent of Fredericksburg's citizens.

The relatively minor growth in the elderly population is based on Census data that shows a significant demographic trend. Since the mid 2000s, more young families are staying in Virginia’s urban areas to raise children and enroll them in urban school systems. Previously, many families chose to move to the suburbs before enrolling children in school. At the other end of the demographic spectrum, retirees are drawn to urban areas, where they find services, entertainment, and cultural opportunities, but they are choosing to actually reside in the surrounding suburban jurisdictions, where they find lower housing costs.

Virginia’s independent cities are projected to see growth in young couples with children, which will invariably cause school enrollment to increase. On the other hand, this trend includes people in their prime working years, drawn to an urban environment where they anticipate helping to pay for urban amenities, including good schools.
Racial and Ethnic Diversity

In 2000, 73 percent of the City was white, 20 percent African-American, and the rest classified as other races. By 2010, the white population had dropped as a percentage, while the percentage of African-American residents had increased slightly. Of interest is the continuing increase in the Hispanic/Latino community. In 2000, 4.9 percent of the population was defined as Hispanic/Latino. Ten years later, this ethnic group had increased to 10.7 percent. The Hispanic/Latino population is also an ethnic designation that overlaps racial categories, as shown in Table 2-5.

Over time, the City’s racial composition is expected to change, as shown in Table 2-6. White and African-American groups will grow slightly in real numbers, but diminish as a percentage of the overall population. The percentage of other racial groups will grow and the ethnic Hispanic population will continue to increase significantly. These categories should not be interpreted as being scientific or anthropological in nature. Instead, they reflect self-identification during the 2010 Census count, according to the groups with which people identify.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 2-5</th>
<th>Racial Diversity in Fredericksburg 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CENSUS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RACE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHITE</td>
<td>15,596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFRICAN-AMERICAN</td>
<td>5,498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASIAN</td>
<td>689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER</td>
<td>2,503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETHNICITY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HISPANIC/</td>
<td>2,607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LATINO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

U.S. Census Bureau

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 2-6</th>
<th>Population Projections by Race and Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2020 POP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>26,646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RACE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHITE</td>
<td>15,220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFRICAN-AMERICAN</td>
<td>6,254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASIAN</td>
<td>1,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER</td>
<td>3,822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETHNICITY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HISPANIC/</td>
<td>4,037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LATINO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

U.S. Census; VEC
ECONOMIC CONTEXT

The City of Fredericksburg is located at the southern edge of the Washington-Arlington-Alexandria Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA). This entire MSA has a population of 5.4 million and is the ninth largest MSA in the nation. The largest employer in this MSA is the sector known as Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services, with reported overall sales of $98.1 billion in 2007. The next four largest employers in the MSA, in descending order, are as follows:

- Retail trade
- Health care and social assistance
- Administrative and support and waste management and remediation services
- Accommodations and food services

This data on the Washington-Arlington-Alexandria MSA shows the economic context within which the City of Fredericksburg has grown. The City retains its local identity, but its proximity to government employment centers in Northern Virginia and Washington D.C. has had a profound effect on its office, retail, and residential market conditions. Continued investments to improve transportation within the Interstate-95 corridor (both roads and rail) will continue to bring more growth to the Fredericksburg area.

Within the George Washington Regional Planning District, Fredericksburg’s economic activity relates to the following four key areas (not listed in order of magnitude):

- A regional retail/commercial center (Central Park and Celebrate Virginia)
- Two major institutions (University of Mary Washington and Mary Washington)
- Hospital/Mary Washington Healthcare)
- History-based tourism and visitation
- Proximity to employment centers in Northern Virginia and Washington D.C.

The City’s and the region’s population growth are heavily related to jobs in the Washington-Arlington-Alexandria MSA, which is evident in commuter travel patterns.

COMMUTING PATTERNS

Over half of the workers who live in Fredericksburg commute to jobs outside the City, primarily to Northern Virginia and Washington D.C. Fredericksburg is also a strong regional employment center in its own right, which brings a large number of people into the City on a daily basis. The influx of thousands of workers to Fredericksburg presents significant economic opportunities. Table 2-7 shows the existing commuting patterns. Table 2-8 shows the top ten places where the commutes to Fredericksburg originate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMMUTING PATTERN</th>
<th>NUMBER OF COMMUTERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Live and work in area</td>
<td>2,085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-commuters</td>
<td>20,670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out-commuters</td>
<td>8,804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net in-commuters</td>
<td>11,866</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Commuting patterns are no longer derived from the Decennial Census. The above data is developed by the VEC, using the U.S. Census Bureau’s OnTheMap application and LEHD Origin-Destination Employment Statistics.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREA OF ORIGIN</th>
<th>WORKERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spotsylvania</td>
<td>6,632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stafford</td>
<td>3,905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince William</td>
<td>813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax</td>
<td>756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caroline</td>
<td>743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King George</td>
<td>632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loudoun</td>
<td>508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henrico</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fauquier</td>
<td>327</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2-9 shows types of businesses established in Fredericksburg and the workers employed.

**TABLE 2-9  **Employment Distribution in Fredericksburg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INDUSTRY GROUP</th>
<th>ESTABLISHMENTS</th>
<th>PERCENT</th>
<th>EMPLOYEES</th>
<th>PERCENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health care and social assistance</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>6,442</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodation and food services</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>4,125</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail trade</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>3,740</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education services</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2,009</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public administration</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1,194</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional, scientific, and technical services</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>955</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance and insurance</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other services</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company management</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin, support, waste mgmt., remediation</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesale trade</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real estate</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts, entertainment, and recreation</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation and warehousing</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>1603</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
<td><strong>24,425</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Virginia Employment Commission, Third Quarter 2013*

The above industry groups are instructive for regional comparisons, but the specific employers show the diversity of the local economy. Like most communities, Fredericksburg’s largest employers are public and non-profit institutions. Mary Washington Hospital is the City’s largest employer, followed by the University of Mary Washington. The third largest employer is the City government itself. The following list shows the relative size of the City’s major employers, in descending order.
FREDERICKSBURG’S 50 LARGEST EMPLOYERS

1. Mary Washington Hospital
2. University of Mary Washington
3. City of Fredericksburg
4. Mary Washington Healthcare
5. Fredericksburg City Public Schools
6. WalMart
7. Wegmans
8. Snowden Services, Inc.
9. Rappahannock Regional Jail
11. Rapp. Area Community Services Bd.
12. OS Restaurant Services, Inc.
13. Home Instead Senior Care
14. McDonald’s
15. Rehab Hospital of Fredericksburg
16. Lowe’s Home Centers, Inc.
17. Quarles Petroleum, Inc.
18. Rappahannock Goodwill Industries, Inc.
19. Central Rappahannock Regional Library
20. United States Postal Service
21. Fredericksburg Orthopedic
22. Red Lobster & Olive Garden
23. Best Buy
24. ECC Enterprises Holding Company
25. The Home Depot
26. Temporary Solutions
27. Cracker Barrel Old Country Store
28. Target Corp.
29. Dream Envy, Ltd.
30. The Wood Company
31. Coca Cola
32. Kohl’s Department Store
33. Minnieland Private Day School
34. Central Park Fun Land
35. Burger King
36. Fredericksburg Senior Care, Inc.
37. IHOP
38. Mountain Lake Hotel
39. Dare Unlimited, LLC
40. Hughes Home, Inc.
41. Giant Food
42. Shore Stop Store
43. Labor Force of Virginia
44. Fredericksburg Christian School
45. Essex Partners, Inc.
46. W.C. Spratt, Inc.
47. Chick-Fil-A of Central Park
48. Labor Ready Mid-Atlantic, Inc.
49. Ale House Holdings, LLC
50. Castiglia’s Italian Restaurant

VEC; Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages, 3rd Quarter 2013

UNEMPLOYMENT RATE

A community’s rate of unemployment is measured as a percentage of the labor force. Table 2-10 shows the City’s unemployment rate over an 11-year period. The impact of the economic downturn of 2008 is evident as are the changes beginning in 2012, which suggest that local unemployment is finally beginning to diminish.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>FREDERICKSBURG</th>
<th>VA</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
<td>4.1 %</td>
<td>6.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>4.7 %</td>
<td>3.7 %</td>
<td>5.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>4.4 %</td>
<td>3.5 %</td>
<td>5.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>3.9 %</td>
<td>3.0 %</td>
<td>4.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>4.5 %</td>
<td>3.1 %</td>
<td>5.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>6.0 %</td>
<td>4.0 %</td>
<td>5.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>9.7 %</td>
<td>7.0 %</td>
<td>9.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>9.6 %</td>
<td>7.1 %</td>
<td>9.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>9.6 %</td>
<td>6.4 %</td>
<td>8.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>8.5 %</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
<td>8.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>7.6 %</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VEC: Local Area Unemployment Statistics Military Bases

MILITARY BASES

There are three military bases in the region – Marine Corps Base Quantico, Naval Surface Warfare Center Dahlgren, and Fort A.P. Hill. These facilities support research and development, strategic combat planning, and training for the armed forces and several Federal law enforcement agencies. A large number of City residents find employment on, or in support of these military bases as well as federal installations in Northern Virginia and Washington D.C.
**ECONOMIC PROJECTIONS**

In 2006, The Economic Development Authority (EDA) completed a comprehensive analysis of the City’s economic development opportunities, within the larger context of the regional planning district and the Washington-Arlington-Alexandria MSA. Their analysis showed that Fredericksburg was well-positioned to capture a large share of the region’s projected office, retail, and residential growth. The 2008 economic downturn temporarily curtailed this economic growth, but the findings and the identified opportunities in the EDA’s analysis are still valid within the larger economic context. As a consequence, the areas of the City previously identified for new development and redevelopment will continue to be noted in the specific planning areas where those opportunities exist.

**SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS AND TRENDS**

**DEMOGRAPHICS**

The available data shows the City population increasing more than ten percent between 2010 and 2020. The various age groups will not all increase in the same manner, though. The elderly population will remain a consistent percentage of the overall population, while the number of young families will increase. Retirees are certainly interested in urban amenities, but many are choosing to live in nearby suburban jurisdictions, where they have access to the City, while presumably finding more affordable housing and paying less in taxes. This trend is different from earlier projections of a heavy influx of older persons into the City, which current statistics do not support.

**SCHOOLS**

Demographic changes are always examined for impacts to local schools and the latest data shows a steady increase of school age children through 2020. This trend is a direct result of younger couples choosing to stay within their urban communities. Previously, a great many couples would move to suburban jurisdictions when their children reached school age. The accepted wisdom was that suburban school systems were somehow better. Increasingly though, young couples are choosing to place their children in urban school systems and the number of school age children in Fredericksburg is projected to increase to approximately 4,000 children by 2020. After 2020, the Weldon Cooper Center projects the increase in school age children to be much slower, growing by approximately 100 new students between 2020 and 2030. Between 2030 and 2040, however, the Weldon Cooper Center projections show another influx of students, to reach a total of approximately 4,350 students by 2040.

The Fredericksburg Public Schools have done their own analysis and anticipate that they will have approximately 4,300 students by 2024, well before the Weldon Cooper Center projections. The implications of these numbers for additional classroom needs are discussed further in Chapter 4 (Public Services, Public Facilities, and Preserved Open Space).

**ECONOMICS**

From an economic standpoint, the Northern Virginia/Washington D.C. area will continue to be an employment center for a significant number of Fredericksburg residents. This economic dynamic raises housing values locally because people earning higher wages in Northern Virginia/Washington D.C. can afford to pay higher prices for real estate. There is a decided impact on the cost for rental units and on affordable housing in general. Yet even as a significant portion of the population commutes out of the City, Fredericksburg remains a regional hub, drawing more than 20,000 workers into Fredericksburg on a daily basis. This increase in the City’s daily population provides significant opportunities for business services, restaurants, and sales. In this context, tourism is not as large an economic factor as has been thought previously, but new special events and large group visits are generating increased visitation, so the visitor economy remains an integral part of the area’s vitality and growth.
PART II: PROMOTING AND SUSTAINING A LIVABLE COMMUNITY

Then I say the earth belongs to each generation during its course, fully and in its own right, [but] no generation can contract debts greater than can be paid during the course of its own existence.

THOMAS JEFFERSON

BACKGROUND

The concept of a sustainable community is that local (and global) needs of the present should be met without destroying or degrading the resources that will be needed by successive generations for their own needs. In basic terms, we need to live within the carrying capacity of our environment. Without attention to the inherent limits of such things as water, tax revenue, and road capacity, communities will inevitably fail in the long-term. As a consequence, responsible decision-making is needed to minimize negative impacts as much as possible, while ensuring a balance between ecological resilience, economic prosperity, political justice, and cultural vibrancy.

One of the challenges in addressing sustainability in a local comprehensive plan is that many of the issues are global in nature. Air quality, biodiversity, ozone depletion, climate change, food production, and many other issues are reasonably beyond a locality’s means to address on its own. On the other hand, a locality can certainly play a contributing role to reach a sustainable future. The City has established a broad range of sustainable goals and objectives, but it is important to recognize that the various related activities are interconnected and therefore need to be coordinated. To this end, sustainability/livability issues are referenced throughout this comprehensive plan as factors to help attain a livable community.
DEFINITION OF A SUSTAINABILITY ETHIC
Communities are increasingly exploring the extent to which planning policy and development decision-making impacts the natural environment as well as the social and cultural integrity of their neighborhoods. In the past, economic impacts often dominated planning decisions, but a richer understanding of what constitutes a community's quality of life is revising the decision making dynamic. A strong tax base sustains a thriving and livable city, but a concept called the Triple Bottom Line builds on this economic health by adding considerations of environmental health and social equity.

ENVIRONMENT, EQUITY, AND ECONOMY: THE TRIPLE BOTTOM LINE

ENVIRONMENT
The environmental pillar of the Triple Bottom Line refers to conservation of natural resources and reduction of adverse development impacts on eco-systems. Environmental stewardship takes many forms. A policy related to sustainable design, for instance, can encourage environmentally-sensitive site selection, low impact and pedestrian-oriented development, effective storm water management, and the use of locally-sourced, recycled, and sustainable building materials. The intent is to help the community to live within the carrying capacity of its environment such that economic prosperity and ecological resilience coexist and mutually reinforce one another.

Fredericksburg has already taken important steps to be a responsible environmental steward. As an example, the City has formally protected thousands of acres of upriver riparian lands. In addition, the City provides pedestrian facilities and mass transit as alternatives to automobile travel, which is also a basic component of environmental and social sustainability. The concept of a livable and sustainable community continues to evolve and additional sustainable growth policies will emerge as the City seeks an environmentally-conscious future.

EQUITY
A livable community will not easily sustain itself without opportunities and access for all citizens to realize their definitions of success. Disadvantaged and often disaffected constituencies can have as much impact on a community’s quality of life as do those who grow the tax base, so it is important to consider policies and decisions in broad terms.

The equity pillar of Triple Bottom Line challenges localities to view land use and development decisions in their larger impact on the community’s social fabric. Successful communities find ways to leverage economic development decisions to reduce disparities in housing, education, and health. As an urban center, Fredericksburg’s equity assets, its concentration of social services, are the strongest in the region. The City’s community development programming and close liaison with local agencies providing support services shows a significant commitment to mitigating inequalities. Unfortunately, the City’s poverty rate remains high and average median incomes are lower than in surrounding jurisdictions. The challenge in bridging this gap is to continue to emphasize access to economic opportunities, affordable housing, and transportation alternatives.

ECONOMY
The economic pillar of Triple Bottom Line relates to the original concept of the bottom-line in its most conventional form, financial prosperity. The City’s tax base is indispensable if a livable community is to remain viable in the long term. In this context, localities can creatively expand the scope of economic feasibility and development opportunities in conjunction with other aspects for achieving livability. For instance, incentives for sustainable entrepreneurship (such as tax credits for solar panels or grants for environmental cleanups) is one means of making important sustainability issues more economically viable and accessible to more participants. Sound policies that link allowed commercial uses, residential densities, and historic preservation will also ensure that investment within the City’s urban core remains economically feasible and attractive to investors. Virginia localities that have not coordinated these factors perpetually struggle to achieve a self-sustaining central business district.

FIGURE 9 SUSTAINABILITY
PRINCIPLES FOR A LIVABLE COMMUNITY

The American Planning Association (APA) has developed a set of standards that capture the various aspects of sustainability/livability that communities can incorporate into their comprehensive plans. These standards are organized into a framework of six principles. As statements of intent, the principles identify a plan’s overall strategy, which is then reflected in goals and objectives. The best practices for each principle are the action tools for their implementation.

A LIVABLE BUILT ENVIRONMENT

The City’s buildings, streets, and utilities shape the quality of life for the entire population. As a consequence, it should function at the highest possible level. The built environment, however, is complex. Where traditional planning has typically treated such activities as land use and transportation separately, for instance, current practice recognizes the interrelation of these various components. The intent is to provide a stronger framework for addressing the locality’s and the region’s challenges as an integrated whole. An example of this trend is the Land Use Scenario Planning that has been included as part of the regional transportation planning process undertaken by the Fredericksburg Area Metropolitan Planning Organization.

Best practices to support a livable built environment include the following. A fuller discussion of these items is contained in Appendix A.

1. Plan for a multi-modal transportation network.
3. Coordinate transportation with land uses.
4. Provide complete streets.
5. Plan for mixed land use patterns that are walkable and bikeable.
6. Plan for infill development.
7. Encourage design standards consistent with the community context.
8. Ensure public facilities, commercial places, and activity centers are accessible.
9. Preserve and respectfully reuse historic resources.
10. Encourage green building design and energy conservation.

FIGURE 10  DOWNTOWN ACTIVITY CENTER
A RESPECT FOR NATURE

Human beings depend on a healthy natural environment for nourishing food, breathable air, drinkable water, energy, and more. Development and human activity, however, can disturb nature’s balance and damage the resources it provides. While some natural resources are protected through separate functional plans and regulations, an overall coordination can be achieved through comprehensive planning.

Best practices to support a respect for nature include the following. A fuller discussion of these items is contained in Appendix A.

1. Protect, connect, and restore natural habitats and sensitive lands
2. Provide and protect green infrastructure.
3. Encourage development that respects natural topography.
4. Enact policies that reduce the community’s collective carbon footprint.
5. Seek to improve air quality.
6. Encourage adaptations to climate change.
7. Encourage renewable energy use.
8. Reduce solid waste.
9. Conserve water and ensure a lasting water supply
10. Protect streams, watersheds, wetlands, and floodplains.

A RESILIENT ECONOMY

A local economy is comprised of businesses, trades, production facilities, and related activities, but also depends on outside inputs and trends. Consequently, the local employment base is affected not only by local business activity, but also by the decisions of distant firms and governments. With such strong external influences, resilience relies on attention to local assets.

Best practices to support a resilient economy include the following. A fuller discussion of these items is contained in Appendix A.

1. Provide the physical capacity for economic growth.
2. Ensure a balanced mix of land uses.
3. Ensure multi-modal access to employment centers.
4. Promote green businesses and jobs.
5. Encourage community-based development and revitalization.
6. Provide and maintain infrastructure capacity.
7. Develop plans for post-disaster economic recovery.

FIGURE 11   ENJOYING OUTDOOR ACTIVITIES
INTERWOVEN EQUITY
Equity involves the fair distribution of benefits and costs. A basic fairness test is to determine whether a decision will serve the needs of the full range of the local population – rich and poor, young and old, native and immigrant. Considerations of equity should be interwoven into the full range of decisions made by the jurisdiction, even when poor, under-served, and minority populations do not participate in the related discussion. As an example, the need for housing assistance programs is evident not because of the participation of the targeted population in the public process, but through careful research and analysis.

Best practices to support the concept of interwoven equity include the following. A fuller discussion is contained in Appendix A.

1. Ensure a range of housing types.
2. Ensure a balance between jobs and housing.
3. Provide for physical, environmental, and economic improvements in disadvantaged neighborhoods.
5. Ensure accessible public services and facilities.
6. Upgrade substandard infrastructure.
7. Plan for workforce diversity and development.
8. Protect vulnerable populations from natural hazards.

A HEALTHY COMMUNITY
Health is a state of physical, mental, and social well-being rather than merely the absence of disease or infirmity. In a healthy community, residents are assured that the air and water are safe, that open space and recreation opportunities are convenient to use, that local food outlets are located near neighborhoods, public schools and health care are provided equitably, and active public safety programs are in place.

Best practices to support a healthy community include the following. A fuller discussion is contained in Appendix A.

1. Reduce exposure to toxins and pollutants in the natural and built environment.
2. Increase public safety through reduction of crime and injuries.
3. Mitigate and redevelop brownfields.
4. Provide facilities for physical activity and healthy lifestyles.
5. Provide accessible parks, trails, and open space near all neighborhoods.
6. Provide for access to healthy foods for all neighborhoods.
7. Ensure equitable access to health care, public safety facilities, schools, and cultural facilities.
Regionalism
Regional planning commissions in Virginia function without regulatory authority, but still provide a perspective that extends beyond local jurisdictional boundaries. A major exception is the metropolitan planning organization, which develops regional transportation plans, as mandated by federal regulations as a condition for the use of federal transportation funds. From the local government perspective, the plans and policies of adjacent jurisdictions have reciprocal impacts and the regional commission helps to address issues with regional implications such as open space and environmental protection, economic development, hazard mitigation, and so on.

Best practices to support responsible regionalism include the following. A fuller discussion of these items is contained in Appendix A.

1. Coordinate local land use planning with regional transportation investments.
2. Coordinate local open space plans with regional green infrastructure plans.
3. Encourage regional development patterns that can sustain transit.
4. Continue to promote regional cooperation and inter-jurisdictional agreements for services and infrastructure.
5. Encourage consistency between local capital improvements and regional infrastructure priorities.

Achieving a Livable Community
The Triple Bottom Line offers a way to look at a community's quality of life in terms related to specific opportunities and challenges. Although planning for livability may seem intangible and somewhat vague, the concept perhaps becomes clearer when we recognize that Fredericksburg is already rich in livable assets, whether or not they are recognized as such.

This Comprehensive Plan seeks to ensure that the concept of livability is presented consistently throughout the document. For the implementation of livability strategies to be cohesively addressed, however, it may be advisable to expand the role of the City’s Clean and Green Commission to include sustainability/livability. This effort would require the Commission to monitor City activities for consistency with the principles identified within this Plan as well as to develop livability programs and strategies that address the quality of life of all who choose to work, play, and live in Fredericksburg.

Livability in Fredericksburg
Fredericksburg’s economic identity revolves around its historic downtown, its varied business districts, and the network of road corridors that serve both local and regional needs. The cultural, social, and environmental character of the City, however, is identified with the Rappahannock River, its many neighborhoods, the Fredericksburg and Spotsylvania National Military Park, and institutions such as the University of Mary Washington and Mary Washington Hospital. Each of the following chapters describes existing conditions and then outlines strategic policies and actions that promote and sustain a livable community:

- Chapter 3: Transportation
- Chapter 4: Public Services, Public Facilities, and Preserved Open Space
- Chapter 5: Environmental Protection
- Chapter 6: Business Opportunities
- Chapter 7: Residential Neighborhoods and Housing
- Chapter 8: Historic Preservation
- Chapter 9: Institutional Partnerships
CHAPTER 3: TRANSPORTATION

THE PURPOSE OF TRANSPORTATION IS TO BRING PEOPLE AND GOODS TO PLACES WHERE THEY ARE NEEDED, AND TO CONCENTRATE THE GREATEST VARIETY OF GOODS AND PEOPLE WITHIN A LIMITED AREA, IN ORDER TO WIDEN THE POSSIBILITY OF CHOICE WITHOUT MAKING IT NECESSARY TO TRAVEL. A GOOD TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MINIMIZES UNNECESSARY TRANSPORTATION; AND IN ANY EVENT, IT OFFERS CHANGE OF SPEED AND MODE TO FIT A DIVERSITY OF HUMAN PURPOSES.

BACKGROUND

The City of Fredericksburg lies midway between Richmond, Virginia and Washington D.C. The Rappahannock River was its initial link to the world, followed by roads, railways, and highways that have successively linked the City to the broader economy. Transportation challenges in Fredericksburg fall into two main categories. The first is inter-regional and interstate through traffic, which includes commuter traffic along major corridors such as Interstate-95, U.S. Routes 1 and 17, and State Route 3. The mode of travel is by automobile, bus, vanpool, and railway. The second category is local transportation within the City boundary, where the mode of travel is both motorized and non-motorized. The overall transportation system includes a coordinated hierarchy of interstate highways, regional arterial roads, local collector roads, and neighborhood streets, but the City seeks to ensure the community is accessible to all persons, by emphasizing pedestrian sidewalks and trails, bicycle facilities, and fully accessible transit, all provided at safe levels of service. This attention to universal accessibility facilitates mixed-use development, promotes economic development, and enhances environmental protection.
A MULTI-MODAL SYSTEM

Vibrant urban communities invariably have transportation systems that accommodate a variety of human purposes. To achieve this end, however, planning must ensure the community is open to all of its citizens. Urban places simply do not function well with only one mode of travel. The most sustainable transportation system is multi-modal – an integrated and balanced system of access and mobility. Individual streets that provide multi-modal opportunities are said to be Complete Streets (discussed further below). Key factors in Fredericksburg’s developing transportation system are enhanced public transit, facilities for bicycles/pedestrians, and interconnected streets that reduce congestion and traffic choke points. In effect, this process seeks to reduce unnecessary trips through better design.

The City of Fredericksburg is a member of the Fredericksburg Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (FAMPO), which engages in regional transportation planning. The City’s projects are included in the FAMPO long range plan, which is updated every four years and used to program funding for design and construction. The latest long range plan, adopted in 2013, has a planning window that extends to 2040. Federal regulations require that regional plans be financially constrained, which means that the proposed projects within the plan cannot exceed the amount of funding projected to be available, from all sources, for the period of the plan. Projects that could potentially be added to the constrained long range plan are noted, but additional analysis and identified funding are needed before these are included.

BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES

Pedestrian access in Fredericksburg is a mixed system. In general, older areas of the City have complete and connected sidewalks and provisions for safe street crossings, factors that make these areas conducive to walking. In 2012-2013, the Fredericksburg Pathways Partners assessed walking conditions on the entire Fredericksburg road network. Their report confirmed that much of the City is rather well connected, but also noted where there are gaps in the sidewalk system as well as safety issues that the Public Works Department has addressed or plans to address. An interdisciplinary Pathways Steering Committee monitors overall bicycle/pedestrian access and develops projects that will address deficiencies as well as expand capacity.

Other areas of the City, such as the neighborhoods along major transportation corridors, were designed for automobile traffic and are not readily adapted to pedestrian use. Recognizing the limitations of only one mode of access, the City has developed a pathways plan that will provide alternate routes for bicycle/pedestrian traffic. The overriding goal is to treat sidewalks and trails as critical infrastructure rather than as amenities, so that everyone has safe access to the entire community. There are many footpaths throughout the City, but the trails noted here have a paved surface and are large enough to serve both pedestrians and cyclists. Their location is shown on Map 2 while Table 3-1 shows the length of both the existing as well as planned multi-use trails.
**TABLE 3-1  FREDERICKSBURG’S PAVED TRAILS NETWORK**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>LENGTH (MILES)</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canal Path</td>
<td>1.5 (Pr. Anne St.- Fall Hill Ave)</td>
<td>Completed 1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowan Blvd</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>Completed 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rappahannock River Heritage Trail</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Completed 2013 - connects both ends of the Canal path</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Central Railway Trail</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Completed 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall Hill Ave./Mary Washington Blvd</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Funded- to be built in 2015-16 with new road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Blvd</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Planned, .4 miles privately funded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North-South Trail</td>
<td>1.45 (Fall Hill to Route 3)</td>
<td>Planned (privately funded)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plank Road Trail</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Planned (publicly funded)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Total miles within the network: 13.6
- Total miles completed/funded 9.7 (71 percent)

**MAP 2  FREDERICKSBURG PATHWAY SYSTEM**

1. Canal Path
2. Rappahannock River Heritage Trail
3. Cowan Blvd.
4. Virginia Central Railway
5. Lafayette Blvd.
6. Fall Hill Ave.
7. North South Trail
8. Plank Road Trail
The City has developed a bicycle/pedestrian master plan, called Fredericksburg Pathways (2006). Multi-use paths are being constructed as part of all roadway improvement projects. Other trails are being constructed on their own alignment. As trails are developed within the existing roadway system, the inevitable conflicts between different modes of travel must be addressed. Initial construction of a trail usually includes at-grade crossings, with pedestrian signals and appropriate signs to ensure user safety. The long-term goal, however, is to remove at-grade crossings, where practicable. As an example, the new Fall Hill Avenue roadway bridge over the Rappahannock Canal was constructed so the Canal Path would pass underneath it. The following at-grade crossings can eventually be made safer with bridges:

- VCR Trail at Lafayette Boulevard and Blue and Gray Parkway
- VCR Trail at Jeff Davis Highway
- VCR Trail at Interstate-95 (tunnel under interstate to connect to trails in Spotsylvania County)

A private group called Fredericksburg Area Trail Maintenance and User Group (FATMUG) has been developing off-road trails in the areas upstream from the old Embrey Dam site on the Rappahannock River. These facilities are carefully constructed to national standards to avoid erosion and damage to the natural landscape. To reach these challenging recreational trails, FATMUG has also constructed a pathway along the Rappahannock River that links the unpaved road from Fall Hill Avenue to the old dam site and the natural surface trails in the area beyond. As with the recreational trails, this riverside trail has been built to nationally recognized standards for trails that must course through wet terrain.

In addition to the local trail network, the City has worked with the East Coast Greenway Alliance to bring that major north-south recreational route through Fredericksburg. This 2,900 mile network of multi-use trails is a work in progress (850 miles constructed), connecting cities, suburbs, and countryside from Maine to Miami. This urban version of the Appalachian Trail crosses the Rappahannock River on the Chatham Bridge and planned improvements to the bridge will provide a safer crossing than currently exists on that 1940s-vintage structure. At present, a one-mile section of the Virginia Central Railway Trail (from Essex Street to the Blue and Gray Parkway) has been designated a portion of the main route of the East Coast Greenway. This section is an off-road alignment, which is preferred for enhanced safety.

Keeping bicycle/pedestrian traffic separate from vehicular traffic is the safest option for a multi-use trail, but not always feasible in areas of the City already developed. In downtown Fredericksburg and other long established parts of the City, cyclists will need to share the road with vehicle traffic. The limitation is available right-of-way. Many City streets are wide enough for travel ways and for on-street parking, but adding a five-foot wide bicycle lane is not feasible on most routes. If such lanes were to be added, on-street parking would need to be removed. As an alternative to designated bicycle lanes, cyclists can share vehicular travel lanes and some City streets are marked to show that motorists must share the road with cyclists. The standard pavement marking to designate a shared road is called a Sharrow. As the trail network comes together, the City’s Pathways Steering Committee will evaluate additional shared roadway options, to ensure a fully interconnected network.

**STREETS**

A number of major transportation routes run through the City of Fredericksburg. Interstate-95 bisects the City on a north-south axis, with Washington D.C. to the north and Richmond to the south. Another major north-south road is U.S. Route 1. Roads running east-west include State Route 3 and U.S. Route 17. A network of collector and local streets provide mobility around the City as well as connections to these primary routes.

Two sets of one-way streets were established to accommodate through-traffic within Fredericksburg’s downtown. The William – Amelia Streets corridor serves east-west traffic, while the Princess Anne – Caroline Streets corridor handles north-south traffic. To enhance safety and promote development, consideration should be given to returning the existing one-way traffic patterns to traditional two-way traffic and/or expanding on-street parking to help reduce speeds (traffic calming). Challenges, however, include a lack of alleys and loading zones for downtown deliveries. At present, curbside management consists of allowing delivery trucks to block a lane of traffic, which makes the second lane critical to continued circulation.

A major road improvement project currently underway is the widening of Fall Hill Avenue. The scope of work also includes construction of a new road between Fall Hill Avenue and Mary Washington Boulevard, which will provide a connection to the U.S. Route 1 Bypass. This project includes a new bridge across Interstate-95, an improved intersection at Mary Washington Boulevard and the U.S. Route 1 Bypass, and provision of bicycle and pedestrian facilities.

Fredericksburg has two major barriers to travel. The Rappahannock River blocks north-south travel and Interstate-95 constrains east-west travel. There are five river bridges within the City, including Interstate-95, the Fal- mouth Bridge (U.S. Route 1 Bypass), the Chatham Bridge (Business Route 3), the railway bridge, and the Mayfield
Bridge (State Route 3 Bypass). For east-west travel, there are three roads that cross over the interstate in Fredericksburg – State Route 3, Cowan Boulevard, and Fall Hill Avenue. Interstate-95 is part of the National Highway System and the only interchange within the City is at State Route 3.

Table 3-2 identifies projects within the City of Fredericksburg, for which funding is anticipated to be available during the 25 year period of the constrained regional plan. Table 3-3 shows roadway needs that could not be included in the FAMPO long range plan because funding is not anticipated to be available until after 2040. Map 3 shows the location of these roadways.

**Complete Streets**
The basis of complete streets is to develop integrated, connected networks of streets that are safe and accessible for all people, regardless of age, ability, income, or chosen mode of travel. Complete streets make active transportation (walking and bicycling) convenient; provide increased access to jobs, commerce, and schools; and allow greater choice for travel. Each street has its own context, but the intent is to provide pedestrian connections, bicycle ways, and transit stops, as appropriate to the travel route.

Fredericksburg’s historic street grid has provided an excellent basis for an interconnected network of complete streets. The City has improved this network through enhanced pedestrian signals, designation of shared travel lanes for vehicles and bicycles, and accessible ramps at street corners. The City also routinely designs its new and expanded roadways to enable access by all citizens. A safe walking and bicycling environment also improves public transportation.

Complete streets will not necessarily extend over the complete transportation network. Some roadways (such as interstate highways) prohibit specified users, while the cost of retrofitting other roads, such as State Route 3, may prove disproportionate to the need or probable use. In these instances, the City will accommodate the alternate users on other nearby facilities.

In an urban context, the following standards are consistent with the conceptual design of complete streets:

- Block length between 300-500 feet
- Street lanes no greater than 11 feet wide
- On-street parking
- Sidewalks/trail connections
- Crosswalks clearly marked and convenient
- Curb extensions that reduce the length of crosswalks
- Trees, closely spaced to provide shade

**FIGURE 16 Complete street**

For urban infill, it is also important to consider the street characteristics when defining setbacks for the new development. Infill housing needs to reflect the geometry of the existing neighborhood street, whether the front wall abuts the sidewalk in a downtown setting, or is set back to be consistent with the geometry of the neighborhood.
HIGHWAYS
Interstate-95 serves as the primary north-south highway for the Eastern United States. This route carries more than 160,000 vehicles per day through the Fredericksburg region and congestion and safety are constant considerations. The Virginia Department of Transportation is constructing high occupancy vehicle/toll lanes within the interstate alignment. These express lanes will increase the capacity of the interstate, but manage traffic congestion by encouraging car-pools of three persons or more. Car pools will not pay a toll, but vehicles occupied by less than three persons will be able to use the express lanes by paying a variable toll, based on traffic. The HOV/HOT lanes will be extended through Fredericksburg by 2025, which will have a profound impact on the City’s growth and development.

A new interchange is also planned in the Celebrate Virginia area, to relieve congestion at the Interstate-95/State Route 3 interchange and to provide access to Celebrate Virginia South and Central Park. Improvements and reconstruction are planned for the Route 3 interchange.

TABLE 3-2  \textbf{FAMPO 2040 Constrained Long Range Plan - Fredericksburg Projects}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STREET NAME</th>
<th>LOCATION (FROM-TO)</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>COST/TIME FRAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interstate - 95 (regional)</td>
<td>Between U.S. 17 and State Route 3</td>
<td>Improvements and interchange reconstruction</td>
<td>$20,000,000 (2016-2020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate-95 (regional)</td>
<td>Between U.S. 17 (Stafford) and State Route 3</td>
<td>Improvements and new interchange</td>
<td>$10,000,000 for preliminary engineering (2016-2025)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate-95 HOV/HOT Lanes (Regional)</td>
<td>Garrisonville Rd. (Stafford) to Exit 126 (Spotsylvania)</td>
<td>Add HOT/HOV Lanes</td>
<td>$735 million (2021-2025)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falmouth Bridge (Regional)</td>
<td>U.S. 17 (Falmouth intersection) to Princess Anne Street</td>
<td>Replace bridge with 6-lane facility, with bicycle/pedestrian facilities</td>
<td>$51,049,000 (2021-2025)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Boulevard</td>
<td>Blue and Gray Parkway to Sophia Street</td>
<td>Roundabout at Kenmore Avenue; parking; intersection safety improvements</td>
<td>$5,160,000 (2021-2025)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Boulevard</td>
<td>South City limit to Blue and Gray Parkway</td>
<td>Widen to 4-lane divided road with bicycle/pedestrian facilities</td>
<td>$2,000,000 for preliminary engineering (2021-2030)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gateway Boulevard, extended</td>
<td>William Street to Cowan Boulevard</td>
<td>Construct 4-lane divided road with bicycle/pedestrian facilities</td>
<td>$15,000,000 (private) when development occurs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gateway Boulevard, extended</td>
<td>Cowan Boulevard to Fall Hill Avenue</td>
<td>Construct 3-lane divided road with bicycle/pedestrian facilities</td>
<td>$15,000,000 (private) when development occurs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Street</td>
<td>Gateway Blvd. To Blue &amp; Gray Parkway</td>
<td>Widen to 6 lanes with bicycle/pedestrian facilities</td>
<td>$28,122,000 (2026-2030)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STREET NAME</td>
<td>LOCATION (FROM-TO)</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>COST/TIME FRAME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Route 1 Bypass/State Route 3 interchange</td>
<td>Interchange</td>
<td>Replacement study</td>
<td>$5,700,000 for preliminary engineering 2031-2040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Route 1 Bypass/William Street</td>
<td>Interchange</td>
<td>Replace bridge (no added capacity)</td>
<td>$6,036,000 for study 2016-2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Route 1 Bypass</td>
<td>Hazel Run Bridge</td>
<td>Replace bridge (no added capacity)</td>
<td>$3,936,000 2016-2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Route 1 Bypass</td>
<td>Canal bridge</td>
<td>Replace bridge (no added capacity)</td>
<td>$4,351,000 2016-2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Route 1 Bypass widening</td>
<td>Telegraph Road (Stafford) to Massaponnax Church Road (Spotsylvania)</td>
<td>Widening and operational improvements</td>
<td>$11,000,000 preliminary engineering 2021-2040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Princess Anne Street</td>
<td>U.S. Route 1 Bypass to Herndon Street</td>
<td>Road resurfacing, drainage improvements</td>
<td>$1,480,000 2016-2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chatham Bridge (Regional)</td>
<td>Rappahannock River bridge</td>
<td>Replace bridge (no added capacity) bicycle/pedestrian facilities</td>
<td>$42,978,000 2031-2035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall Hill Avenue/Mary Washington Blvd.</td>
<td>Gordon W. Shelton Blvd. To Mary Washington Blvd.</td>
<td>Widen Fall Hill Ave to 4-lanes, with bicycle/pedestrian facilities; extend Mary Washington Blvd.</td>
<td>$47,726,000 2016-2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 3-3  Projects Not Yet Included in the Long Range Plan (Financially Constrained)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STREET NAME</th>
<th>LOCATION (FROM-TO)</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ANTICIPATED COST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Boulevard</td>
<td>South City limits to Blue and Gray Parkway</td>
<td>Widen to 4-lane divided road with trail and sidewalk</td>
<td>$63,836,945 total cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Route 1 Bypass</td>
<td>Blue and Gray Parkway to River</td>
<td>Upgrade with turn lanes and intersection improvements</td>
<td>$66,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lansdowne Road</td>
<td>Route 2/17 to City limit</td>
<td>Widen to 4-lanes</td>
<td>$3,958,665</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MAP 3  FUNCTIONAL CLASSES OF FREDERICKSBURG ROADS
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Map of Fredericksburg Roads Functional Classes.
ROAD IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

1. Celebrate Virginia South interstate interchange and ramps
2. Falmouth Bridge replacement
3. Chatham Bridge replacement
4. Lafayette Boulevard roundabout at Kenmore Avenue and safety improvements
5. Lafayette Boulevard widening
6. U.S. Route 1 Bypass Canal Bridge replacement
7. U.S. Route 1 Bypass/State Route 3 interchange improvements
8. U.S. Route 1 Bypass Hazel Run Bridge replacement
9. U.S. Route 1 operational improvements
10. Princess Anne Street road resurfacing and drainage improvements
11. William Street widening
12. Gateway Boulevard construction (William Street to Cowan Boulevard)
13. Gateway Boulevard construction (Cowan Boulevard to Fall Hill Avenue)
14. New collector connection (between Grodon W. Shelton and CArl D. Silver Parkway)
15. Interstate-95 HOV/HOT Lanes (not shown)
16. New collector connection (between Fall Hill Avenue and Cowan Boulevard)
**Transit**

The City of Fredericksburg operates the FREDericksburg Regional Transit (FRED), a local bus system that also serves the greater Fredericksburg area. It began operations in December 1996 and became an immediate success because of innovative partnerships, attention to modal connections, and a conscientious responsiveness to community needs. In addition to service within the City limits, FRED provides service in the Counties of Spotsylvania, Stafford, and Caroline. FRED also operates an express service, a weekend service for University of Mary Washington students, and shuttle feeder service from outlying parking areas to the downtown rail station. All FRED buses have external bicycle racks.

FRED’s main offices are in its Central Transit Center on the U.S. Route 1 Bypass, where they also accommodate inter-regional service provided by Greyhound. FRED’s Maintenance and Training Facility has been constructed in the Bowman Center, in Spotsylvania County. These facilities are recently constructed and expected to accommodate FRED’s needs for at least the next 40 years. Numbers of riders are difficult to determine, but numbers of trips per year exceeds 500,000. Nearly 73 percent of riders use the service three or more times per week, and 45 percent of riders use it five times a week, mainly for trips to work and to shop. For many of these people, FRED is critical to maintaining a measure of mobility and access to jobs, shopping, and medical facilities.

FRED is able to use federal and state transportation funds. Additional funding comes from local jurisdictions, institutional partners, passenger fares, and from Greyhound. Institutional partners include Mary Washington Healthcare, the University of Mary Washington, the Free Lance-Star, WFLS Radio, and Germanna Community College. County funding is tied to the routes and services the local jurisdiction desires. The future growth of the system will depend on whether local jurisdictions are willing to provide their share of costs for their local service.

Bus service has grown significantly, but riders have expressed a need for additional service that helps them get to work earlier and return later. Riders are also interested in weekend service. When service started in 1996, FRED operated five vehicles on four routes, serving mostly the City and parts of Spotsylvania County. In 2014, FRED had 30 vehicles on 21 routes, serving the City and the Counties of Spotsylvania, Stafford, and Caroline. King George County has opted not to have FRED provide transit there.

Consistent with its emphasis on customer service, FRED is implementing a Real-Time Transit Information System. Such a system will distribute accurate, real-time information on the location and status of buses. Experience shows that riders accessing such information have a more positive experience with transit because they will spend less time waiting for buses, be less concerned about missing an early bus, and have the freedom to finish up an activity when they see that a bus is running late. FRED’s Real-Time Transit Information System will become operational in early 2015.
COMMUTING

While Fredericksburg is a strong employment center for regional workers, thousands of citizens who live in Fredericksburg commute to jobs outside of the City. Interstate-95 and other roads carry an enormous amount of commuter traffic, but other commuting options include rail service, buses, and ridesharing.

The Virginia Railway Express (VRE) provides commuter rail service to Northern Virginia and Washington D.C. and its ridership continues to grow. The VRE is a transportation partnership of the Northern Virginia Transportation Commission (NVTC) and the Potomac and Rappahannock Transportation Commission (PRTC), of which Fredericksburg is a member. Besides the Fredericksburg line, VRE also operates between Manassas and Washington D.C. Service is available Monday through Friday, with inbound trains running during morning rush hour and outbound trains in the evening. There is also an outbound mid-day train.

Fredericksburg has been the southern-most terminus since commuter rail service began in 1992, but Spotsylvania County has joined PRTC, a component of VRE, and a new station is being constructed at the Crossroads Industrial Park, five miles south of the City, where the VRE trains are already being kept overnight. Over 1,200 riders board the VRE on a daily basis at the downtown Fredericksburg station, which is 11 percent of the overall riders on the Fredericksburg line. There are currently over 700 parking spaces devoted to VRE near the Fredericksburg station. The new station in Spotsylvania may provide a short-term relief to parking demand and FRED's feeder bus service helps get commuters to the station without requiring parking, but additional parking at the Fredericksburg station remains a long-term need. The VRE has plans to construct a parking structure on land they own near the station. Projected capacity could be from 1,000-1,500 vehicles. Access to such a facility would be problematic through the existing narrow streets, but a new and direct connection to and from the Blue and Gray Parkway would avoid introducing more traffic into a residential neighborhood.

A growing number of rail commuters are getting to the rail station on bicycles. Bicycle racks have been installed at the station, but the City’s expanding trails network is providing connections to neighborhoods beyond the sidewalk network and larger numbers of commuters are anticipated to use a bicycle on the first leg of their commute. Expanded bicycle storage facilities will be needed at the station, to include both additional racks as well as bicycle lockers. Any bicycle sharing programs established in Fredericksburg could use those same facilities.

Commuter buses provide service from the Fredericksburg area to points north as well as to the Naval Surface Warfare Center at Dahlgren. These buses currently serve in excess of 200,000 riders annually. A rideshare program, called GWRideConnect!, matches riders with available service for automobile, van, and bus pools. GWRideConnect! also operates a number of park- and-ride facilities (with over 5,300 spaces) and essentially provides travel demand management (TDM) services for the region’s commuters.

Figure 18  Bicycle rack in use at train station
Part II

Parking
To be successful, the developed areas of the City require vehicle access and some level of parking. Parking, however, consumes an enormous amount of space, is expensive to construct and maintain, and often conflicts with other community goals. The notion that ample parking is needed for economic success has begun to give way to the realization that requiring too much parking can hinder downtown revitalization. Parking is also an ongoing issue in many residential areas, including the neighborhoods around the University of Mary Washington and in several downtown locations. The City's challenge is to manage its parking so as to meet development needs (commercial as well as residential) while addressing the community’s functionality and safety.

Downtown Parking
A 2006 study examined parking demand in downtown Fredericksburg. This evaluation documented over 2,100 City-controlled spaces – 1,895 spaces on-street and 541 spaces off-street (including the new deck with 285 spaces). Study data also showed a higher demand for downtown parking during the week rather than on weekends, which is consistent with commuting data and downtown employment. Determining parking needs, however, is notoriously difficult. Calculations to determine parking demand, for instance, rarely consider factors other than access by private vehicles. In an urban context, parking considerations need to include the role of transit, pedestrian access (available sidewalks, proximity of neighborhoods), and other alternatives to driving. Copying minimum parking standards from other jurisdictions or using standards thought suitable for suburban locations are not useful in an urban context.

Downtown parking needs to be evaluated more comprehensively than simply counting spaces because excessive parking degrades the urban environment. Instead, parking needs to encourage downtown growth and development. An emerging strategy for managing parking includes the following steps:

1. Ensure on-street parking availability through state-of-the-art parking meters that can be managed with variable rates. When demand is low, the price would be low. When demand becomes high, the price increases, with the goal of ensuring that 2-3 spaces per block are always available. Jurisdictions have initially had to overcome citizen opposition to new meters, but established programs have been highly successful and been embraced by the business community.

2. Put parking revenue back into the areas where metered parking is established (rather than placing it in the general fund). Experience shows that the public readily supports paid parking if there are tangible benefits to their neighborhood. Parking revenues can provide additional services as well as enhanced maintenance of sidewalks, landscaping, and alleys.

3. Reduce or remove parking regulations and allow market forces to provide for adequate parking. Removal of regulatory barriers can include the City committing to construct a parking deck, to add capacity that encourages downtown growth and development.

Neighborhood Parking
Parking issues in neighborhoods is about avoiding conflicts with other parking needs. In the neighborhoods around the University of Mary Washington, for example, inadequate parking on-campus and overcrowded rental units have led to severely inadequate parking on-street. This shortage is compounded when residents convert garages to living space, increasing the capacity of the home while reducing its available parking. Permit parking has been implemented in some areas for residents, but this program needs to be evaluated for effectiveness. The University has plans to develop a parking structure to address parking needs, but the City will still need to continue to examine parking needs and impacts in the neighborhoods around the University as well as its many downtown neighborhoods, where competition for parking is growing.

Figure 19 Modern alleys bring services to the rear of properties, leaving frontages more attractive
**Alleys**

Several areas of Fredericksburg were designed with alleys running through the middle of the blocks. Portions of downtown have mid-block alleys, which provide for critical services without adversely impacting the streetscape. Similarly, several residential neighborhoods have alleys that allow for rear yard garages and a location for overhead utility wires, leaving the streets clear for attractive tree cover. Over time, however, some alleys have been encroached upon and even blocked by buildings and trees. It is important that further encroachments not be allowed and that existing encroachments be removed. When clear of obstructions, alleys can be returned to their function of relieving on-street parking demand and potentially for service needs such as trash pick-up.

**Air, Rail, and Bus Service**

**Air Service**

Air connections are available in Northern Virginia, at National Airport and Dulles International Airport, and east of Richmond, at Richmond International Airport. The Stafford Regional Airport has a 5,000-foot instrument runway, full parallel taxiways, and facilities that can accommodate up to 75,000 annual operations and 100 based aircraft. A new interchange on Interstate-95 provides direct access to this general aviation reliever facility. The closest municipal airport is Shannon Airport of Fredericksburg, Inc., which has a 3,000-foot paved and lighted runway and refueling capability. There are also nearby airfields at Hanover County Municipal and Hartwood Aviation, Inc.

**Rail Service**

Rail freight service is provided by the CSXT Corporation. AMTRAK provides inter-city passenger service. As noted above, the VRE provides commuter rail service to Northern Virginia and Washington D.C. All of these entities use the same north-south tracks. The Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation and the U.S. Department of Transportation have begun to analyze projected rail needs in this corridor, to determine the best ways to improve capacity as well as to accommodate high speed inter-city rail service.

The 500-mile corridor extending from Washington D.C., through Richmond, Virginia to Charlotte, North Carolina is being called Southeast High Speed Rail. This intercity passenger rail service will be part of nationwide network where the travel speeds reach 110 miles per hour. The corridor between Washington D.C. and Petersburg is very crowded and proposed improvements consist of a third track within the existing rail corridor. The Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation has begun to develop high speed rail plans within a 200 foot wide right-of-way. The third track would require a new bridge over the Rappahannock River and new elevated tracks through downtown Fredericksburg. This project will have a profound impact on the City's downtown station area and provide significant opportunities for an expanded multi-modal center.

**Bus Service**

Inter-city bus service is provided by Greyhound. Its Fredericksburg terminal is at the FREDericksburg Regional Transit facility on the U.S. Route 1 Bypass (Jefferson Davis Highway). FREDericksburg Regional Transit is discussed under Transit, above.

**Freight**

Although road development is heavily overshadowed by automobile needs, the movement of goods and services is critical to a locality's economy. This movement of freight can be divided into four broad categories:

- Inter-city and international movements
- Local distribution
- Local pick-up and delivery
- Provision of local services

The logistics of industrial uses has traditionally included in-place investments such as docks, piers, rail sidings, warehouses, and so on. Contemporary logistics, however, are characterized by speed, flexibility and just-in-time reliability that reduces the need for on-site investments. The more exacting shipping and receiving requirements, however, are based on having publicly funded transportation facilities, like highways.

The following factors will need to be considered at all times, to ensure the continued and improved movement of goods and services:

- Curbside management – There are very few loading zones in downtown Fredericksburg, so truck loading and off-loading typically occurs on-street, to accommodate through traffic and parking. Any consideration of altering the paired one-way streets will need to carefully address this issue.
- Freight access – Connections from Interstate-95 to commercial areas must be maintained and enhanced.
- Infrastructure – Multi-modal connections for goods and services are as important as multi-modal passenger connections.
TRANSPORTATION AND LAND USE COORDINATION

Land use is driven by transportation, whether establishing Fredericksburg as a town at the farthest navigable reach of the Rappahannock River, or investing in the Virginia Railway Express that compliments the interstate highway that links the City to jobs in Northern Virginia and Washington D.C. The Commonwealth of Virginia recognizes this connection between infrastructure and land use and allows localities to designate Urban Development Areas (UDAs), strategic growth areas where development is in close proximity to transportation facilities and where utilities (such as sewer and water) are available. The intent is to support the maintenance and creation of attractive, livable urban communities.

In addition to infrastructure such as roads, rail, airports, and broadband, there is a growing recognition that economic development also needs to capitalize on demographic trends that show people drawn to urban areas. Surveys show that 80 percent of Americans desire walkable communities, where they have transportation options. This high percentage includes younger workers beginning to establish themselves as well as older generations looking to age in place. In this context, localities are encouraged to establish and enhance their sense of place, attracting residents that constitute a quality workforce that will, in turn, draw businesses looking to hire them. Employers are not only looking at the available infrastructure, but the quality of the local talent.

Through UDAs, the Code of Virginia has clearly recognized the connection between infrastructure and land use. A statewide plan called V-Trans 2040 emphasizes multi-modal transportation investments as a way for localities to develop compact growth centers and growth corridors. Areas designated as UDAs are considered good places for transportation funding, but they must incorporate the principles of traditional neighborhood design, which may include, but need not be limited to the following:

- Pedestrian friendly road design
- Interconnection of new streets with existing streets
- Connectivity of road and pedestrian networks
- Preservation of natural areas
- Mixed use neighborhoods, to include both commercial and residential uses as well as affordable housing and a mix of housing types
- Reduction of front and side setbacks
- Reduction of subdivision street widths and turning radii at intersections

The Code of Virginia identifies the levels of development considered appropriate in a UDA and these standards as well as the community attributes listed above are well established in Fredericksburg. For instance, residential densities in a UDA should be at least four single family detached dwellings per acre, six single family attached dwelling units per acre, and/or 12 apartments/condominiums per acre. For commercial development, densities must have a floor area ratio (FAR) of 0.4. The entire City of Fredericksburg meets or exceeds these levels of development, thus the entire jurisdiction can be considered a UDA. Related policies that maintain and enhance Fredericksburg as a strategic growth area are contained throughout this Comprehensive Plan.
TRANSPORTATION GOALS

GOAL 1: SURFACE TRANSPORTATION
Develop the City's surface transportation system to be safe, functional, and attractive, serving multiple modes of travel and making the community accessible to all citizens.

GOAL 2: ALTERNATIVE MODES OF TRAVEL
Encourage the use of alternative modes of travel (transit, rail, and trails), to enhance mobility and accessibility and to minimize automobile congestion.

GOAL 3: REDUCE CONGESTION
Reduce the auto-centric character of the City and resulting congestion by encouraging the use of alternative modes of travel, to enhance mobility and accessibility. Enhanced and expanded rail services are especially encouraged.

GOAL 4: WALKABILITY
Continue to expand the conditions that make Fredericksburg a pedestrian-friendly city, acknowledging that sidewalks and trails are critical infrastructure and not merely amenities. Neighborhoods should be interconnected and outlying areas connected to the city center through safe pedestrian/bicycle pathway networks.

GOAL 5: COMPLETE STREETS
Ensure the City’s transportation system accommodates the safety and convenience of all users including pedestrians, bicyclists, mass transit riders, persons with disabilities, the elderly, motorists, freight providers, emergency responders, and adjacent land users.

GOAL 6: TRANSPORTATION EFFICIENCY
Reduce congestion on existing streets. Minimize potential street congestion resulting from new development. Work with surrounding jurisdictions and the Commonwealth of Virginia to improve regional transportation safety and efficiency.

GOAL 7: TRANSPORTATION SAFETY
Recognize that the needs of various modes of travel must be coordinated with each other, such that arterial roads provide for the free flow of vehicular traffic while neighborhood streets and the downtown grid maximize pedestrian safety.

GOAL 8: URBAN DEVELOPMENT AREAS
Recognize that the entire City of Fredericksburg is a strategic growth area within the region and continue to ensure that land use decisions recognize that compact, integrated development is the best use of finite urban space.

**FIGURE 20** VIRGINIA RAILWAY EXPRESS INBOUND AT FREDERICKSBURG
TRANSPORTATION POLICIES

The following key policy statements will guide transportation development throughout the City:

1. Design complete streets, for new development as well as existing roadways, to integrate automobiles, buses, bicycles, and pedestrians within the same rights-of-way.

2. Maintain the integrity of the City’s traditional street grid by keeping streets open.

3. Protect and enhance pedestrian safety by providing appropriate travel routes and by addressing bicycle/pedestrian-vehicle conflict areas, such as intersections.

4. Accommodate pedestrians and cyclists on alternate routes when affected roadways prohibit specified users by law or where the cost of accommodating such alternate modes of travel is disproportionate to need or probable use.

5. Support public transit’s long-term viability by promoting development patterns that integrate land uses and multiple modes of travel.

6. Enhance the downtown rail station’s multi-modal function by maintaining and expanding bus service, bicycle facilities, and passenger services and amenities.

7. Continue to develop a coordinated system of safe and interconnected bicycle/pedestrian trails throughout the community.

8. Remove at-grade crossings within the bicycle/pedestrian trail system by constructing bridges across heavily traveled vehicle routes, where feasible, and establishing a tunnel under Interstate-95, to connect the City’s VCR Trail to the Spotsylvania County trails network.

9. Develop parking policies that are appropriate to an active downtown.

10. Develop structured parking in selected areas to support development/redevelopment goals, especially in downtown.

11. Ensure new development improves connectivity for all modes of travel.

12. Reclaim and maintain the City’s alleyways, to relieve on-street parking demand and to handle utilities and services.

13. Ensure adequate room is provided within utility strips for street trees.
TRANSPORTATION INITIATIVES

These initiatives outline the key steps for implementing the long-term goals and guiding policies for Fredericksburg's transportation system:

1. Develop pedestrian/bicycle bridges for the VCR Trail at the Blue and Gray Parkway and the U.S. Route 1 Bypass (Jefferson Davis Highway).
2. Develop complete streets standards and guidelines.
3. Actively promote the provision of cycling amenities such as bicycle racks, bicycle lockers and bicycle sharing at transportation, commercial and recreational destinations.
4. Continue to expand the FRED system by adding capacity, where and when feasible, as well as by maintaining a Real Time Transit Information System.
5. Implement traffic management strategies that mitigate the impacts of traffic growth, such as signal timing upgrades and other intersection control measures.
6. Implement traffic calming measures in neighborhoods where cut-through traffic endangers resident safety.
7. Continue to work with the University of Mary Washington to address parking issues.
8. Continue to explore strategies to reduce conflicts between competing parking needs, especially within residential areas.
9. Continue to seek regional transportation solutions through the regional planning process undertaken by the Fredericksburg Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (FAMPO) and other partnerships.
10. Continue to work toward regional transportation solutions that include an improved Rappahannock River crossing and a new interchange at Celebrate Virginia, South that will divert commuter traffic from the Interstate-95/State Route 3 interchange.
11. Actively examine the potential for redesigning the one-way paired streets (William- Amelia and Princess Anne-Caroline) to better accommodate local traffic, pedestrian safety, and parking.
12. Investigate new concepts in street design, such as shared space intersections that maintain vehicular access while enhancing pedestrian safety.
13. Support the regional interstate project that calls for interchange reconstruction and improvements from U.S. Route 17, in Stafford, to State Route 3 (VDOT UPCs 101595 and 105510).
CHAPTER 4:
PUBLIC SERVICES, PUBLIC FACILITIES, AND PRESERVED OPEN SPACE

BACKGROUND
The City of Fredericksburg is an independent jurisdiction and responsible for providing public services to its citizens. The City government also shares in the provision of several state government functions, such as court services, election administration, education, and social services. Management goals for the various services provided are to meet current needs as well as prepare for projected ones. Priorities for public investment in facilities and services are developed according to the values and policies articulated in this Comprehensive Plan.

The City also controls a significant amount of open space that provides for many recreational uses. Fredericksburg maintains ownership of approximately 4,500 acres of riparian property along both the Rappahannock and Rapidan Rivers. These lands constitute a forested buffer that provides critical environmental protection to the river’s water and is also a resource with excellent recreational values. The City is also planning to develop public amenities on land it owns along the downtown urban riverfront, in the area known as Fall Hill, and on a Civil War battleground near Cowan Boulevard.

PUBLIC FACILITIES
City services as well as City-supported state services are provided from locations throughout Fredericksburg (see Table 4-1). The table does not list schools, parks, court buildings, or the downtown library. These types of facilities are noted in other related sections of this chapter. The City recognizes that city hall, various administrative functions, the library, and many other public facilities are properly located in downtown Fredericksburg. Construction of the new court house also occurred downtown, even though there would be constraints to integrating it into the historic setting. Since the antebellum years, Fredericksburg’s government center has been the Princess Anne Street corridor and building the new court house there was important to maintaining a healthy downtown community. These public functions help to bring people into the urban core, where they support services and local businesses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FACILITY</th>
<th>FUNCTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City Hall (715 Princess Anne St.)</td>
<td>City administration, Treasurer, Commissioner of the Revenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Shop (1000 Tyler Street)</td>
<td>Public Works, Traffic Division, Vehicle Maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Center (408 Canal St.)</td>
<td>Parks and Public Facilities Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept. of Social Services (608 Jackson St.)</td>
<td>Social Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Office Building (601 Caroline St.)</td>
<td>Fire Dept. Admin, Commonwealth’s Attorney, City Attorney, Information Systems, Voting Registrar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Stations (601 Princess Anne St.; 101 Altoona Dr.)</td>
<td>Emergency Services, Hazardous Materials Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FREDericksburg Regional Transit (1400 Jefferson Davis Highway)</td>
<td>City and regional bus service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRED Maintenance Facility (Bowman Center)</td>
<td>Maintenance operations for FRED buses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Deck (100 Wolfe St.)</td>
<td>Public parking facility with 285 spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police Station (2200 Cowan Blvd.)</td>
<td>Police Services, Crime Prevention, Animal Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Administration (817 Princess Anne St.)</td>
<td>School Board, Superintendent of Schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wastewater Treatment Plant (700 Beulah-Salisbury Drive)</td>
<td>Sewer plant operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Treatment Plant (Mott’s Run Reservoir)</td>
<td>Waterworks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitor Center (706 Caroline St.)</td>
<td>Tourism, Economic Development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EDUCATION

FREDERICKSBURG PUBLIC SCHOOLS
Fredericksburg maintains a commitment to excellence in its public education system, which is fully accredited. Expenditures by the schools are derived from federal, state, and local funds. A common comparative measure in education is cost per pupil. Fredericksburg’s cost per pupil in 2013 was $11,664, which included administration, instruction, student services, student transportation, operation and maintenance, fixed costs, special education, and the state share of teacher retirement and social security.

Students in the Fredericksburg school system progress through their grades together. All of the first graders, for instance, are at one school, and they will move from school to school as a group. It is thus possible to graduate from high school with the same school mates that one started with in kindergarten. This system not only makes the student body exceptionally cohesive, but also gives the school administration the flexibility to distribute classes between the various schools, as needed, when some classes are demographically larger than others.

These facilities meet modern standards for educational institutions, except for the Old Walker-Grant School, which needs extensive upgrades to be kept safely in use.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCHOOL</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hugh Mercer Elementary</td>
<td>2100 Cowan Boulevard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Upper Elementary</td>
<td>3 Learning Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walker-Grant Middle</td>
<td>1 Learning Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Monroe High 9-12</td>
<td>2300 Washington Avenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Walker Grant Special Programs</td>
<td>200 Gunnery Road</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PUBLIC SCHOOL ENROLLMENT TRENDS
The number of students enrolled in City public schools in September 2014 was 3,306. The school population has been growing steadily for several years and continued growth is anticipated. The Weldon-Cooper Center has projected a school enrollment of 4,000 students by 2020. After that, the demographic trend suggests a more stable school population through 2030 and then another jump to an estimated 4,350 students by 2040. The Fredericksburg Public Schools, however, has done its own calculations and anticipates having 4,000 students by 2020 and 4,300 students by 2024. The current capacity of all Fredericksburg schools is 3,994 students.

The Fredericksburg Public Schools Board would like to renovate the Original Walker-Grant School to accommodate anticipated growth in pre-Kindergarten students as well as to consolidate administrative functions there. This step will free up space in the existing facilities, where the grade structure can be realigned, as needed, to handle the demographic changes of the school age population. The anticipated 4,300 students by the year 2024, however, will require that there be available new classrooms for the projected 300 additional students. The Original Walker-Grant and Hugh Mercer Elementary School do not have space for expansion, but Lafayette Upper Elementary, Walker-Grant Middle, and James Monroe High Schools are designed for additions. Table 4-3 shows the current school usage, as of September 2014, and the additional capacity.

Given the potential imminent need, the Fredericksburg Public Schools should begin planning immediately for the additions that will be needed by 2024 at the existing schools. The City will need to be prepared for the influx of new students, whether by 2024 or later, and should pursue the following steps:

1. Renovate the original Walker-Grant School to allow consolidation of offices and thereby freeing up space in schools for the expanding student population.
2. Evaluate where new additions will be most effective for meeting the projected needs.
3. Develop initial plans and identify budget needs, while monitoring school age population growth.
4. Initiate construction of planned addition(s) when appropriate.

Schools can be community focal points, as is evident from the older school buildings, some of which are in other uses (Maury Commons, Central Rappahannock Regional Library) while others still function as schools (Old Walker-Grant). There is value in being able to walk to school, but some of the newer schools are not conveniently located for that purpose. There is a strong national effort to develop safe routes to school, to reduce the heavy reliance on an expensive fleet of school buses. The City should explore a program called Safe Routes to School, both as a way to contain school transportation costs as well as to emphasize schools as integral parts of the community.
TABLE 4-3  **Fredericksburg Schools Usage, Capacity, and Potential for Expansion**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCHOOL</th>
<th>CURRENT USAGE (2014)</th>
<th>CAPACITY</th>
<th>EXPANSION POTENTIAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hugh Mercer Elementary</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>Limited (Trailers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Upper</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>1,010</td>
<td>Designed for addition(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walker-Grant</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>Designed for addition(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Monroe High</td>
<td>981</td>
<td>1,164</td>
<td>Designed for addition(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original Walker Grant</td>
<td>Special Programs, Some Offices</td>
<td></td>
<td>Upgrade, consolidate offices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,306</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,994</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**University of Mary Washington**
The University of Mary Washington was founded as the State Normal and Industrial School for Women, in 1908. It became Mary Washington College in 1938, admitted men in 1972, and attained university status in 2004. It is now a public liberal arts and sciences university, with a 2014 enrollment of 4,464 undergraduate and 706 graduate students. A second campus in Stafford County, completed in 1999, provides graduate programs in business and education. A third campus has been established in King George County, at Dahlgren, as a center for the development of educational and research partnerships.

**Community Colleges**
Three community colleges are located within commuting distance of Fredericksburg. Germanna Community College consists of two campuses. Its Locust Grove Campus is approximately 20 miles west of the City, on State Route 3, while the Fredericksburg Area Campus is south of the City, near the U.S. Route 17 Bypass. Germanna works with the region’s economic development agencies and emphasizes work force development. The third nearby college is the Woodbridge campus of the Northern Virginia Community College, approximately 30 miles north of Fredericksburg.

**Private Schools**
The Fredericksburg Christian School has three campuses in the region. The facility in the City, at 2231 Jefferson Davis Highway, has classes that range from pre-kindergarten through 5th grade.

**Figure 21  James Monroe High School**
Library

The Central Rappahannock Regional Library (CRRL) provides library services to Fredericksburg and three counties. Its headquarters is located at 1201 Caroline Street. There are two branches each in Stafford and Spotsylvania Counties and three branches in Westmoreland County. The intervening King George County has kept itself separate from the regional system.

The Fredericksburg headquarters accommodates a growing collection, to serve an expanding regional population, and incorporates new user technology that allows a range of new services. The Fredericksburg headquarters also has several special collections, including a law library, a talking book collection from the National Library for the Blind, and the Virginiana Room, which contains materials on genealogy and local and state history. The library also provides special programs such as live homework help, interlibrary loan, notary services, assistive services, and services related to the Alliance for Literacy.

To better serve the region, the library staff has developed a long-range plan to expand the well-used headquarters building. The library owns a building at 1208 Sophia Street and the City owns the adjacent 1210 Sophia Street. These structures as well as the existing library annex will be removed and converted to parking, to be accessible from both Caroline and Sophia Streets. The existing parking behind the library will be vacated, to allow construction of an addition to the main library building, to provide an improved and larger auditorium and other public spaces.

The regional library system leases 15,000 square feet of space for administrative functions and collections acquisition, processing, and cataloging. What is needed, though, is a permanent regional Service Center, with up to 25,000 square feet for consolidated offices, acquisition and processing, and environmentally controlled storage for books and equipment. The current leasing costs are shared equally by the City and Stafford and Spotsylvania Counties. Development of a publicly owned Service Center is planned to be similarly shared. The CRRL’s existing facilities are shown in Table 4-4.

In addition to the eight fixed facilities listed the Library operates an active Outreach Department, using vans to deliver books to institutions and day care centers and to bring the benefits of the library to areas previously served by a bookmobile. The outreach is called Lobby Stop.

**TABLE 4-4  CENTRAL RAPPAHANNOCK REGIONAL LIBRARY SYSTEM - FIXED FACILITIES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LIBRARY</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Headquarters</td>
<td>1200 Caroline St. Fredericksburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England Run</td>
<td>806 Lyons Boulevard Stafford County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Mustane Porter</td>
<td>2001 Parkway Boulevard Stafford County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salem Church</td>
<td>2607 Salem Church Road Spotsylvania County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Melvin Snow Memorial</td>
<td>8740 Courthouse Road Spotsylvania County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abraham and William Cooper Memorial</td>
<td>20 Washington Avenue Colonial beach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montross</td>
<td>56 Polk Street Montross</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blake T. Nelson Memorial</td>
<td>22 Coles Point Road Hague</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 22  CENTRAL RAPPAHANNOCK REGIONAL LIBRARY HEADQUARTERS**
WATER AND SEWER SERVICES

WATER CAPACITY
Fredericksburg’s source of water is the Rappahannock River. All public water is pumped from the river, treated, and distributed to users throughout the City. On two occasions, trans-continental pipelines running across the upriver watershed have ruptured, spilling nearly 100,000 gallons of heating oil in 1980 and over 200,000 gallons of kerosene in 1989. In both instances, the City had to shut its water intake for several days. To protect its water supply, the City developed plans with both Stafford and Spotsylvania County for a more secure and interconnected system.

In 1971, the City had established an impoundment on a tributary stream called Motts Run. The long-term plan was to establish a joint water treatment plant there with Spotsylvania County.

In time, the City and the County developed a water agreement and a new facility at the Motts Run Reservoir came on line in 2000. With the new plant operational, the City decommissioned and removed its municipal treatment plant on Kenmore Avenue, while the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers demolished the aging Embrey Dam (constructed in 1910), behind which the in-stream reservoir had been so vulnerable to contamination.

Treatment capacity at the Motts Run plant is 12 million gallons per day (MGD), to serve both City and County needs. Fredericksburg is currently allowed 5 MGD of that amount. The plant is already permitted for expansion so the City will eventually be able to receive up to 7 MGD of that facility’s full capacity. The permitted expansion is not envisioned for at least 15-20 years though. The City’s water use has ranged between 2.5 to 3.0 MGD for the past 10 to 15 years, despite substantial new development. This consistent usage is due to water conservation fixtures as well as attention to the integrity of water distribution lines. The City’s water use is also only 60 percent of the currently available allowance of 5 MGD, which means there is substantial additional capacity available without any further investment at the Motts Run plant. Spotsylvania County’s water use is growing, but that jurisdiction intends to expand its water treatment capacity at the Ni River Reservoir before considering an expansion at Motts Run.

SEWER CAPACITY
Fredericksburg has one wastewater treatment facility with a permitted capacity to treat 4.5 MGD. This plant currently treats 3.5 MGD. Through a joint agreement, the City also has another 1.5 MGD available at Spotsylvania County’s FMC plant, but is using only 0.3 MGD of this allowance. The City thus has a wastewater treatment capacity of 6.0 MGD and is using 3.8 MGD, or 63 percent of what is available. While the current treatment capacity is substantial, the condition of pump stations and conveyance lines can limit usage and improvements are programmed on a case by case basis.

The City’s wastewater treatment capacity could be expanded at its present location, but the best solution for investing in this infrastructure is under study. Fredericksburg and Spotsylvania County are conducting an analysis to determine whether expansion of their existing plants is the most cost-effective option or whether constructing a new joint plant is more advantageous. If a joint plant is constructed with Spotsylvania County, the City’s existing wastewater treatment plant would be decommissioned and removed (and the land converted to recreational use).

SOLID WASTE/RECYCLING
Fredericksburg provides refuse collection service to City residents, with two collections per week. The City’s Department of Public Works disposes of this refuse at the Rappahannock Regional Landfill, located in Stafford County. The regional landfill consists of approximately 800 acres of land, of which 64 acres has been exhausted as landfill space and 74 acres are permitted for current and future landfill activity. An analysis done in 2014 has determined that the landfill has approximately 53 years of disposal capacity remaining. The remaining acreage is used for operations or consists of buffers, park/preservation areas, wetlands, and floodplain.

Recycling activities to meet the state goals involves a joint venture with Stafford County, through the Rappahannock Regional Solid Waste Management Board (R-Board). The R-Board operates and maintains the Regional Landfill as well as several Recycling Centers, one of which is in the Battlefield Industrial Park. Formed in 1987, the R-Board has consistently exceeded the requirements of applicable regulations and in 2007 the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality recognized the Regional Landfill as an Exemplary Environmental Enterprise.

POLICE
The Fredericksburg Police Department is a full service law enforcement organization that provides continuous protection to the community. It is divided into three divisions: Patrol, Detective, and Support Services. Each division is commanded by a Captain, who reports directly to the Chief of Police. The department has been awarded full accreditation by the Commission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies. The Police Headquarters is located at 2200 Cowan Boulevard.
Rappahannock Regional Jail

The Rappahannock Regional Jail is located at 1745 Jefferson Davis Highway, in Stafford County. It serves the City of Fredericksburg as well as the Counties of King George, Stafford, and Spotsylvania. The facility houses convicted criminals who are jailed for a period of up to 12 months and also serves as a temporary confinement facility for inmates awaiting trial or sentencing to a more permanent location. The regional jail board was founded in 1968 and has done an exceptional job in meeting regional needs. The current facility opened in 2000 and was expanded in 2006. It is now 379,000 square feet in size and has a capacity of 2,000 inmates. The average daily inmate population, however, is 1,100 persons. Jail staffing is 372 employees.

Fire and Rescue

The Fredericksburg Fire Department provides fire protection to the entire City. The Department consists of three divisions, as follows:

- Fire Administration – This group handles daily operations, support services, training, and emergency management.
- Fire Prevention – Fire Marshals administer the Statewide Fire Prevention Code and work with other City staff to review development projects.
- Fire Suppression – This group consists of the firefighters who respond to alarms, public service calls, motor vehicle accidents, gas leaks, and other emergency calls. This division consists of three platoons, which provide firefighting and medical assistance capabilities. Each platoon consists of a Battalion Chief, a Lieutenant, two Sergeants, and nine Firefighters/Emergency Medical Technicians/Paramedics.

The Department also provides full-time emergency medical specialists to augment the Fredericksburg Volunteer Rescue Squad. In turn, the Rescue Squad provides emergency medical and ambulance response services throughout the City as well as parts of Spotsylvania and Stafford Counties. The main fire station is at 601 Princess Anne Street and a secondary station at 101 Altoona Drive. Administrative spaces are at 601 Caroline Street. A third fire station (Fire Station 3) will be built west of Interstate-95.

The Insurance Services Office (ISO) is an independent company that analyzes data about communities nationwide and assigns a Public Protection Classification (PPC) number related to risk. Class 1 represents an exemplary fire suppression program while Class 10 indicates an area does not meet even minimal standards. The City’s PPC rating is Class 3, which indicates the City Fire Department meets high standards in communications, department function, available water supply, and risk reduction efforts as defined through prevention, education, and investigation.

Court System

Judicial administration is located in downtown Fredericksburg, along Princess Anne Street. In 2014, the Civil and District Courts moved to a newly constructed court house. Several sites had been studied for construction of this major facility, but the City recognized it needed to keep its new court house in the urban core and undertook the design challenge to develop a court building that met all contemporary standards, yet fit within the historic government center of downtown Fredericksburg. The Juvenile and Domestic Relations Court is in a separate building nearby. The location of the individual courts is shown in Table 4-5.
When the Circuit Court moved out of its 1852 building at 809 Princess Anne Street, the historic court house designed by noted architect James Renwick became vacant. The City is exploring options for its reuse, which could be as government offices, although reuse as a museum has also been discussed. The City has initiated an evaluation process to fully study and understand the condition of this recently vacated building that has been at the center of government functions for more than 160 years.

PRIVATE HEALTH SERVICES

MARY WASHINGTON HEALTHCARE

Mary Washington Healthcare operates two full service hospitals. Mary Washington Hospital, in Fredericksburg, is a 437-bed facility that is ranked as one of the top five hospitals in the Washington D.C. metropolitan area. The hospital’s medical staff includes community based physicians, specialists from Northern Virginia and Richmond, and various health professionals such as physician assistants and nurse midwives. Over 300 area physicians and health care professionals represent more than 45 medical specialties and the hospital includes 15 operating rooms. Mary Washington Healthcare’s second hospital is the Stafford Hospital Center, which is a 100-bed, full service, acute care facility.

The Mary Washington Healthcare organization provides 40 health care facilities and services throughout the region. In its 2014-15 rankings, U.S. News & World Report placed Mary Washington Hospital in the No. 6 spot in Virginia (of roughly 130 hospitals) and No. 5 out of 56 hospitals in the Washington D.C. metropolitan area. Further, the U.S. News & World Report named Mary Washington near the top of Best Hospitals in Northern Virginia, ranking it second only to Inova Fairfax Hospital, with eight high performing specialties. The City has worked closely with Mary Washington Healthcare as it has developed its medical campus and this mutually beneficial relationship will continue.

OTHER AREA HOSPITALS

The Spotsylvania Regional Medical Center is located south of Fredericksburg. It is a general acute care hospital with a capacity of 126 beds.

PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICES

The Rappahannock Area Community Services Board (RACSB) provides community-based services for mental health, mental retardation, alcohol/drug abuse, and early childhood intervention. The RACSB serves the citizens of Fredericksburg as well as the counties of Caroline, King George, Spotsylvania, and Stafford. It provides emergency services, short-term residential care, day support programs, and residential services for persons who need special living arrangements to sustain them in the community.

The Fredericksburg Health Department provides both medical and environmental services. Medical services provided to economically disadvantaged persons address communicable diseases, child health needs, maternal health needs, family planning, and dental health. Medical services for all citizens consist of foreign travel immunization, community education, vital records, maternal and infant care coordination, and Medicaid. Environmental services include regulating activities related to water supply, wastewater treatment, and institutions responsible for public health such as restaurants, day care centers, and adult homes.

SOCIAL SERVICE PROGRAMS

The City’s Department of Social Services administers both financial and social support services programs. Financial assistance helps to provide basic subsistence benefits to low income families and includes monetary grants to help pay for such essentials as housing, utilities, and clothing. The largest income support programs administered by the Department are Aid to Dependent Children, Food Stamps, and Grants to Aged and Disabled. Social support services include Child Welfare Services, Foster Children Services, and Adult Protective Services. The City also purchases certain services for indigent clients such as day care, provision of companions, and employment services.

A variety of non-profit human service organizations complement the work of private and public agencies. In Fredericksburg, these organizations include the American Red Cross, the Rappahannock Area Agency on Aging, Rappahannock Legal Services, the Salvation Army, Habitat for Humanity, and many others. The Rappahannock United Way provides important funding for such groups. A regional Continuum of Care coordinates services for homeless persons, to systematically reduce the homeless population through rapid re-housing and provision of support services, as needed.

TABLE 4-5  COURT LOCATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COURT</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>FUNCTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Circuit Court</td>
<td>701 Princess</td>
<td>Felonies, civil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anne Street</td>
<td>suits, clerk, records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General District Court</td>
<td>701 Princess</td>
<td>Misdemeanors, traffic law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anne Street</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile and Domestic</td>
<td>615 Princess</td>
<td>Domestic and juvenile cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relations Court</td>
<td>Anne Street</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LIVABLE COMMUNITY
RECREATIONAL PARKS AND OPEN SPACE

Fredericksburg residents enjoy a variety of public recreational assets. Exclusive of public school facilities, the City maintains a variety of parks, as shown in Table 4-6. In addition to these existing facilities, the City owns additional acreage in four locations that will eventually become parks within the City inventory. These future parks are identified in Table 4-7. The City also controls thousands of acres of wooded riparian lands.

Other government agencies administer properties that provide recreational opportunities within the City. The Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries stocks Motts Run Reservoir and maintains the boat landing there. This state agency also owns and maintains the boat landing at the City Dock, on the Rappahannock River. Federal lands in Fredericksburg are part of the Fredericksburg and Spotsylvania National Military Park. The overall Military Park encompasses more than 7,500 acres across four Civil War battlefields. Within the City limits, the Federal holdings constitute 122 acres.

The Rappahannock River corridor, upstream of the City is a significant recreational asset that also protects Fredericksburg’s drinking water. The City acquired 4,800 acres of riparian lands along both the Rappahannock and Rapidan Rivers, in the Counties of Culpeper, Fauquier, Orange, Spotsylvania, and Stafford. A portion of these lands accommodates public drinking water impoundments on the tributary streams of Hunting Run, Motts Run, and Rocky Pen Run. With reservoirs established on tributaries, the in-stream reservoir behind Embrey Dam became obsolete and removal of that dam provided substantial environmental benefits. The free flowing Rappahannock River has allowed anadromous fish to reestablish historic spawning areas in the upriver watershed.

There are no transverse roadways between Interstate-95 and Kelly’s Ford and the City-owned riparian corridor extends upstream approximately 25 miles within this relatively remote natural area. The result is an extensive corridor that is attractive for canoeing, bird-watching, fishing, and hunting. The linear nature of the City-owned river corridor is both its strongest characteristic, yet also a significant weakness. In 2006, as part of the ongoing quest to protect the integrity of these lands, the City placed 4,232 acres under a permanent easement held by the Virginia Outdoors Foundation, the Virginia Board of Game and Inland Fisheries, and the Nature Conservancy.

Although this easement benefits the public, the City of Fredericksburg retains the right to control public access to the property as a way to protect sensitive areas and ensure that the riparian lands continue to provide a water quality function. Through carefully controlled access, consistent with adopted watershed protection policies, the City manages the riparian lands as a sustainable recreational resource while also protecting the integrity of the natural setting. Management of the City-owned lands is a function of the City’s Public Works Department.
The City's extensive parks and land holdings have significant recreational benefits, but many of these properties also contain historic resources that merit recognition and protection. The Rappahannock valley has been marked by human activity for thousands of years. The landscape is replete with aboriginal settlement sites and hunting camps, water-powered industries, canals and locks, the scars of gold mining, road traces, military entrenchments from the Civil War, and river crossings. These extensive resources show the evolution of the Rappahannock valley from a wilderness, to an industrial corridor, to a battleground, to a somewhat remote recreational corridor.

**Table 4-6  Fredericksburg Parks and Recreation Inventory**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARK FACILITY</th>
<th>ACREAGE</th>
<th>USES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alum Springs Park</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>Picnic areas, trails, playground, shelter, restrooms, multi-use trail, benches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal Path</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>Multi-use trail, benches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Dock</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Boat ramp, dock, fishing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobblestone Park</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>Natural area, walking trail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cossey Botanical Park</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>Open space, gardens, fishing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dixon Park</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>Swimming pool, playing fields, trail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog Park</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Off leash area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurkamp Park</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Fountain, benches, Farmers Market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memorial Park</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>Tennis courts, basketball court, playground, picnic table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maury Park</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Playground, basketball court, picnic tables, benches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Washington Monument</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Memorial Gordon cemetery, open space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motts Landing</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Boat launch, fishing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motts Reservoir</td>
<td>877, including 160 acre lake, (20 acres in park use)</td>
<td>Boat rental, picnic areas, fishing, nature center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Mill Park</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>Playground, playing fields, shelters, canoe launch, restrooms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powhatan Park</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Playground, benches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snowden Ball Park</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>Baseball fields</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snowden Playground Park</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Playground, basketball courts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunshine Park</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>Baseball fields, learning center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thornton Cemetery</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Historic cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington Avenue Mall</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Semi-formal open space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadside Park (Route 1)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Highway picnic areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail Networks</td>
<td></td>
<td>Canal Path, Heritage Trail, VCR Trail, Smith Run trail, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W.L. Harris Park</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Basketball courts, shelter, playground, benches.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Acreage</td>
<td>1,090 (233 acres usable)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: The Community Center at 408 Canal Street is not shown on this table. It is noted in Table 4-1 as Parks and Public Facilities Administration.*
TABLE 4-7  CITY-OWNED PROPERTY FOR FUTURE PARKS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESOURCE</th>
<th>ACREAGE</th>
<th>PLANNED USE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural area on Fall Hill</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>Open space, picnicking, pathways along river bluffs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zig-Zag Trenches (Civil War)</td>
<td>4.758</td>
<td>Historic Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith Run Battle Site</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>Historic site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown riverfront lots</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Riverfront Park</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The City, in partnership with the National Park Service, conducted a reconnaissance survey of the historic resources on the City’s upriver lands. The final report is called Historic Resources Along the Rappahannock and Rapidan Rivers (1997). The Planning staff also maintains extensive records on historic resources within the City limits.

ADDITIONAL RECREATIONAL NEEDS

The National Recreation and Park Association and the Commonwealth of Virginia have both developed a measure of what types of recreational facilities should be available to a local population. The type and number of recreational assets considered to be suitable for a city the size of Fredericksburg is shown in Table 4-8. The City’s population of slightly more than 25,000 residents and this table shows what is still needed. The recommended golf course is not a City goal because there are already numerous golf courses nearby. The big item of need is a new recreation center. The current center was constructed as a temporary building in the 1940s. The new recreation center is proposed to be constructed at Dixon Park.

Every few years, the Commonwealth of Virginia examines the state’s recreational needs and revises its Virginia Outdoors Plan, which details specific recreation activities by region. This plan also estimates demand and then determines the degree to which resources are available. The Outdoors Plan considers both public and private lands and facilities.

The latest Outdoors Plan was released in 2013 and this document makes clear that the Planning District has an abundance of water and open space resources. In addition to the City’s extensive riparian lands along the Rappahannock River, there are three state parks within the region and a fourth one that is proposed to become a park, as follows:

- Caledon, 2,587 acres in King George County
- Lake Anna, 3,127 acres in Spotsylvania County
- Widewater, 1,067 acres in Stafford County
- Crow’s Nest, 1,100 acres in Stafford County (proposed)

There are also two Wildlife Management Areas within the region, as follows:

- Mattaponi, 2,500 acres in Caroline County
- Land’s End, 462 acres in King George County

### TABLE 4-8  RECREATION STANDARDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTIVITY</th>
<th>NUMBER OF FACILITIES PER NUMBER OF RESIDENTS</th>
<th>EXISTING CITY SCHOOLS</th>
<th>STILL NEEDED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseball</td>
<td>1 per 5,000</td>
<td>4/2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basketball</td>
<td>1 per 5,000</td>
<td>5/6 (5 indoor)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle/foot trails</td>
<td>2 miles per 1,000</td>
<td>See note</td>
<td>(See note)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Center with gym and pool</td>
<td>1 per 25,000</td>
<td>1 pool, 1 gym, 1 rec center (obsolete)</td>
<td>New rec. center at Dixon Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football</td>
<td>1 per 20,000</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf</td>
<td>9 holes per 20,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### ACTIVITY | NUMBER OF FACILITIES PER NUMBER OF RESIDENTS | EXISTING CITY SCHOOLS | STILL NEEDED
--- | --- | --- | ---
Soccer | 1 per 5,000 (adjusted to local demand) | 11/4 | 0
Softball/T-Ball | 1 per 5,000 | 1/2 | 2
Swimming | 1 50-meter pool per 20,000 | 1/0 | 0
Tennis | 1 per 2,000 | 8/6 | 0
Volleyball | 1 per 5,000 | 0/4 | 1

Note: Using the above standard for bicycle/foot trails, the City should have nearly 50 miles of such facilities. The current trails plan will result in 13.6 miles of multi-use trails. Existing sidewalks throughout the community, however, comprise more than 40 miles of pedestrian walkways (counting only one side of the street where sidewalks exist on both sides), and there are more than 20 miles of existing or planned off-road trails.

The Outdoors Plan indicates a demand for public access to water resources. A new boat launch was recently installed in Old Mill Park and the City has worked with the Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries to determine suitable locations for boat launches in the upriver areas. The City’s policy is to limit public boat ramps to places that can be properly administered, to ensure that remote areas of the river retain their special quality of limited visible human intrusions. A new ramp at Hunting Run, on the Rapidan River, and another canoe launch near the C.F. Phelps Wildlife Management Area (4,539 acres in Fauquier County) deliberately leaves a significant section of the Rappahannock River without visible man-made features, for those recreational users who like a more remote experience.

### TRAILS

The Virginia Outdoors Plan notes a strong demand for interconnected trails, which the City has also emphasized for many years. Trail development in Fredericksburg is handled by an interdisciplinary team from the City Manager’s Office, Parks and Recreation, Planning, and Public Works. As noted above, the City’s network of multi-use trails is planned to provide 13.6 miles of pathways, which will connect City neighborhoods with the larger community. This trail system will also tie in with the City’s extensive network of interconnected sidewalks.

Trails have been proposed to be established on the City’s upriver riparian properties, but there are considerable topographic limitations to creating a continuous trail along the Rappahannock River. Much of the City’s lands are the steep slopes fronting the river rather than the...
buildable uplands, which are typically privately owned. Instead of trails on land inhospitable to such purposes, the Rappahannock River corridor functions as a water trail, accessible by water craft, with only limited places available as boat launches. In the tidal portion of the river, the National Park Service has established the Captain John Smith Chesapeake National Historic Trail, which is also a water trail, but where many destinations are accessible by automobile.

**Cultural Opportunities**

Fredericksburg’s quality of life is considerably enhanced by a broad range of cultural activities. The City supports the Fine Arts Commission, recognizing them as a vital part of the community. Member organizations include the Fredericksburg Theater Company, the Fredericksburg Center for the Creative Arts, Harambee 360, the Fredericksburg Festival of the Arts, and the Chamber Chorale of Fredericksburg. Fredericksburg also provides annual funding support to the Bluemont Summer Concert Series and to the Fredericksburg Area Museum and Cultural Center.

**City Use of Multi-Purpose Stadium in Celebrate Virginia South**

A multi-purpose stadium is proposed in the Celebrate Virginia South development. The stadium would be privately built and owned. Its primary use would be as the home of a minor league baseball team, but stadium use is proposed to be year-round, as the site of public and private indoor and outdoor events. In addition, the City would have a right to use the stadium as a recreational and cultural facility for community activities, concerts, high school, college and amateur athletics, major events, tours, meetings with economic development prospects, and other uses in exchange for financial support.

**Telecommunications Towers**

The City of Fredericksburg accommodates and promotes the growth of wireless telecommunications while limiting potentially adverse visual impacts of the necessary towers. To encourage use of the best possible sites and to discourage unnecessary proliferation, the City has identified the following sites and existing structures as the preferred locations for new or altered telecommunications towers:

- City/Courtland Water Tank site (end of Ashby Street)
- City/Powhatan Water Tank site (Powhatan Street)
- City Shop site (Tyler Street and Belman Road)
- James Monroe High School site (adjacent to Jefferson Davis Highway)
- Walker-Grant Middle School site (off Jefferson Davis Highway)
- Hugh Mercer Elementary School site (Cowan Boulevard)
- Wastewater Treatment Plant site (Beulah-Salisbury Road)
- Snowden Park site (Fall Hill Avenue near Bragg Hill)
- Old Mill Park and adjacent City-owned sites off Caroline Street
- Virginia Power Substation site (Powhatan Street)
- National Guard Armory site (Jefferson Davis Highway)
- Central Park
- Mary Washington Hospital medical campus
- University of Mary Washington campus
- Virginia Visitor Center/Rest Area off Interstate-95
- Executive Office Building (601 Caroline Street)
- Verizon Building (Prince Edward Street)

**Broadband**

Affordable access to telecommunication services is recognized as basic infrastructure and the high performance network capable of providing electronic services is called broadband. The City of Fredericksburg is well covered by broadband service, but the Northern Virginia/Washington D.C. area is home to a vast number of enterprises that support government agencies and require, as an absolute necessity, secure telecom access with redundancies that guarantee uninterrupted service. Many firms looking to relocate or establish themselves in Fredericksburg must have those specific capabilities.

The Fredericksburg business community is seeking partnerships with the regional planning commission to provide enhanced broadband service and the City should consider whether it will participate in this expansion of the local broadband capability. Goals for Public Services, Public Facilities and Preserved Open Space.
GOALS FOR PUBLIC SERVICES, PUBLIC FACILITIES, AND PRESERVED OPEN SPACE

**Goal 1: Efficient and Effective Public Services**
Provide adequate public facilities and services in an efficient and effective manner to all City residents.

**Goal 2: Safe and Secure Environment**
Provide a safe and secure environment for those who live, work, and visit the City, through high-quality public safety facilities and systems.

**Goal 3: Education Facilities**
Provide high quality education facilities.

**Goal 4: Educational System**
Provide a quality education that assures opportunity for all students, so that they are encouraged to meet high academic standards and empowered to become productive citizens.

**Goal 5: Parks and Open Space**
Ensure the City’s parks, open space, and recreational programming meets the needs of the full community, including families, youth, seniors, and citizens with special needs, through recreational opportunities, both passive and active, in public parks and on City owned lands.

**Goal 6: Clean and Safe Water Supply**
Ensure the Rappahannock River continues to function as a clean and safe supply of water for the City and the region. Maintain the natural integrity of the river and its tributaries, for their biological functions that protect the City’s raw water supply.

**Goal 7: Riverfront**
Connect the community to the Rappahannock River, both visually and physically, with parks that provide focal points, have recreational benefits, respect local history, and promote economic vitality.
POLICIES FOR PUBLIC SERVICES, PUBLIC FACILITIES AND PRESERVED OPEN SPACE

The following key policy statements will guide the provision and management of public services, public facilities, and preserved open space:

1. Keep existing public services in the downtown area, as appropriate.

2. Maintain and enhance the visual and functional aspects of the City and its neighborhoods, to include sidewalk improvements, installation of street furniture, and relocation of overhead utility wires (where appropriate and feasible).

3. Work with the Fredericksburg Public Schools to develop a plan for a cost-effective expansion of selected schools, to address the projected student population.

4. Work with the Fredericksburg Public Schools to initiate a Safe Routes to School program.

5. For zoning map amendment, special use permit, or special exception applications for new development or redevelopment, require applicants to provide the resources necessary to ensure the provision of adequate public facilities for the following services in accordance with level of service criteria established by the Commonwealth or the City:
   a. Transportation: as noted in Chapter 3
   b. Public safety: Maintain ISO rating of 3 Citywide
   c. Schools: As specifics in criteria developed by the Fredericksburg School Board and the Virginia Department of Education
   d. Parks: As noted in Chapter 4.

6. Enhance and preserve the scenic value of the urban riverfront by establishing a riverfront park as well as clearing invasive trees and bushes.

7. Continue aggressive implementation of the City’s Watershed Property Management Plan for the City-owned lands along the river.

8. Expand protection of riparian lands through public acquisition and preservation easements. Support such initiatives in upriver jurisdictions.

9. Continue to protect the historic resources on City-owned lands from looting and ensure recreational users understand the need to avoid damaging such resources as well.

10. Continue to enhance visitor amenities along the City’s established trails, such as benches at scenic vistas and wayside exhibit panels at points of historic interest.
**Initiatives for Public Facilities and Services**

These initiatives outline key steps for implementing the long-term goals and guiding policies for the City’s public services, public facilities, and preserved open space:

1. Conduct a comprehensive study of City-owned properties and facilities that are vacant or obsolete, such as the Executive Plaza office building, the old city landfill, the Renwick complex, and the Dorothy Hart Community Center and develop plans for their removal/reuse.

2. Establish a community center in Dixon Park, acquiring additional property, as needed.

3. Rehabilitate the Old Walker-Grant School to extend its functional life.

4. Develop a plan to address the need for additional classrooms within the City’s school system, by:
   - Providing capital improvement funding to meet school facility needs, and by
   - Providing operational funding for appropriate student/teacher ratios.

5. Provide a new Fire Station 3 on the west side of Interstate-95.

6. Establish a new 100-acre park, consistent with restrictive covenants, on Fall Hill.

7. Establish a new park on an 11 acre parcel near Smith Run, when Gateway Boulevard is extended to provide access. Acquire additional acreage in that area, as feasible, to enlarge the public holdings.

8. Establish an urban riverfront park as a focal point for the community.

9. Continue to protect and manage the City-owned riparian lands along the Rappahannock and Rapidan Rivers, with emphasis on protecting trees, halting encroachments, minimizing non-point source pollution, preserving historic and cultural resources, and pursuing additional land acquisition.

10. Review the need for parking along the urban riverfront and find alternatives that maximize the use of on-street parking to offset removal of surface parking within the riverfront park site.

11. Develop a plan for the comprehensive preservation and development of the City’s entire urban riverfront, from Fall Hill to Dixon Park.

12. Encourage Internet providers to build out their fiber-optic and broadband cable infrastructure, so they can provide the fastest and most reliable service possible to all citizens and businesses.

13. Develop pocket parks throughout the City, to enhance neighborhoods and the community’s overall quality of life.

14. Support the development of a privately-built and owned multi-purpose stadium in Celebrate Virginia South. The City’s long-term financial support secures, among other things, the City’s right to use the stadium as a recreational and cultural facility and for other public uses.
CHAPTER 5: ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

BACKGROUND

The City of Fredericksburg encompasses approximately 10.5 square miles and is situated on a pre-Cambrian rock exposure that forms the falls of the Rappahannock River. The Piedmont Plateau lies to the northwest, underlain by hard igneous and metamorphic rock. To the southeast is the Coastal Plain, characterized by unconsolidated clay, silt, sand, and gravel deposits. The Rappahannock River terrace, included in but distinct from these physiographic provinces, is noted for its suitability for agriculture, due to an abundance of rich alluvial soils. The Rappahannock River has also provided the City its primary source of drinking water. Fredericksburg’s location provides both ecological benefits as well as environmental challenges.

FIGURE 27  RAPPAHANNOCK RIVER
SOILS

Five major soil associations are represented in Fredericksburg, and shown on Map 5. Each association contains groups of soils with individual characteristics related to their physical and chemical composition.

**Appling – Faceville – Louisburg**
The northwest tip of the City consists of generally well-drained, medium textured, Piedmont and Coastal Plain soils, underlain by weathered granitic parent materials. This association is characterized by low and medium water runoff potential and low to medium erodibility.

**Bourne – Faceville**
This type of soil is found on broad and steep ridge slopes and is characterized by slow surface drainage and moderate to very slow internal drainage. This association is described as imperfectly to well-drained, medium-textured soils, underlain by stratified gravel and clay. Bourne-Faceville areas have medium to high water runoff potential and medium erodibility.

**Stratified Coastal Plain Sediments – Ruston-Faceville**
Found along the fall line, this association is characterized by well-drained, medium textured Coastal Plain soils, underlain by clay and gravel. This soil has a low to medium water runoff potential and medium erodibility.

**Stratified Coastal Plain Sediments – Roanoke-August**
This association is located outside the immediate floodplain and is characterized by soil that has a medium to high water runoff potential and medium erodibility.

**Stratified Coastal Plain Sediments – Wickham-Altavista**
This association includes floodplain soils of the Rappahannock River. These soils are characterized by medium water runoff potential and low erodibility.

**Acid Soils**
Soils with acid sulfate can degrade concrete, metal, and other building materials and preclude vegetation from being able to take hold after a site has been developed. These types of soils occur naturally, but are sometimes uncovered when land is graded and otherwise disturbed for construction. To address this issue, the City’s Building Official worked with the Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University (VA Tech) to develop a policy and a methodology to guide builders in how to identify and re-mediate soils with active acidity. Proper attention to this condition prior to construction avoids immediate and long-term problems. Floodplains
Legend:
- **Green**: Appling-Faceville-Louisburg
- **Purple**: Bourne-Faceville
- **Yellow**: Stratified Coastal Plain Sediments-Ruston-Faceville
- **Pink**: Stratified Coastal Plain Sediments-Roanoke-Augusta
- **Yellow**: Stratified Coastal Plain Sediments-Wickham-Altavista
FLOODPLAINS

Fredericksburg has experienced river flooding since its earliest settlement. Table 5-1 shows the larger floods of record, based on newspaper accounts, historical records, field investigations, and data collected by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. The worst flood in the recorded history of the Rappahannock River, in October 1942, was the result of a prolonged general rainfall over the entire watershed followed by a strong east wind and a cloud-burst. The public water supply became contaminated, the City lost electrical power for two days, bridges became impassable, and several fires broke out. The flood resulted in severe property damage and some loss of life.

**Table 5-1** Rappahannock River flooding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FLOOD</th>
<th>DISCHARGE (CUBIC FEET PER SECOND CFS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 1771</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1889</td>
<td>96,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 1924</td>
<td>66,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 1937</td>
<td>134,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 1942</td>
<td>140,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1972</td>
<td>107,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The upriver watershed is extensive and floods can be expected to recur. Minor to moderate flooding is more prevalent in the Spring, but larger and more infrequent floods may occur at any time. Most of the higher flooding resulted from heavy general rains and from intense rainfall produced by hurricanes or tropical storms that moved into the area from the Atlantic or Gulf coasts. These types of storms occur during the period from May through November.

FLOOD BOUNDARIES

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers has studied flood hazards in detail to delineate flood boundaries. The resulting maps are used by the National Flood Insurance Program, in which the City is a participant. The Federal Insurance Administration adopted the 100-year flood as a base for floodplain management. The 500-year flood boundary indicates additional flood risk areas. Both the 100-year and the 500-year flood boundaries are shown on Map 6. The term 100-year flood does not mean a flood that will occur every 100 years, but rather a flood that has a one percent chance of occurring each year. One hundred year floods can occur more than once in a relatively short period. Similarly, the 500-year flood is a flood whose magnitude has only a 0.2 percent chance of occurring each year.

FLOODWAYS

Building on floodplains can increase flood hazards in areas beyond the encroachment because such development reduces the flood-carrying capacity of the floodplain. For regulatory purposes, the floodplain is divided into the floodway and the floodway fringe. The floodway includes the stream channel as well as any adjacent areas that must be kept free of encroachment so that a 100-year flood can be carried without substantial increases in flood heights. The floodway fringe, on the other hand, encompasses the portion of the floodplain that can be obstructed without increasing the water surface elevation of a 100-year flood more than 1.0 feet at any point, although construction must meet Building Code requirements for development in those areas. As a consequence of their respective characteristics, the floodway must be kept clear of development while the floodway fringe may be developed according to specific regulations. In addition to local regulations and the Uniform Statewide Building Code, the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) has regulatory authority within the 100-year floodplain. The 500-year floodplain is not regulated.
Legend:
- 100-Year Flood Boundary
- 500-Year Flood Boundary
STREAMS/DRAINAGE

Fredericksburg’s location along the Atlantic Seaboard fall line results in a varied topography. The City’s elevation ranges between 280 feet above sea level at its highest reaches to less than 10 feet above sea level along the tidal portion of the Rappahannock River. The City’s landscape is drained by a number of streams as well as by its historic canal system. These primary water channels carry stormwater and runoff to the Rappahannock River. Table 5-2 lists the City’s significant watersheds, (see Map 7).

### TABLE 5-2  FREDERICKSBURG’S WATERSHED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WATERSHED</th>
<th>PORTION OF CITY DRAINED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hazel Run and Smith Run</td>
<td>49 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenmore Flume</td>
<td>11 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall Quarry Run</td>
<td>7 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rappahannock Canal</td>
<td>8 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep Run</td>
<td>9 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rappahannock River</td>
<td>16 percent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Drainage problems have occurred along Smith Run, from Interstate-95 and some of the early development north of Route 3. Inadequate stormwater management for impervious surfaces such as roadways, parking lots, and buildings have increased flows to the natural stream and caused streambank erosion and flooding. An 11-acre stormwater pond in Central Park, as well as the Smith Run Pond near the Great Oaks Subdivision have been designed to address these issues. As these areas continue to develop, however, new stormwater management practices and technical criteria will need to be incorporated to address runoff quantity and quality.

Similar erosion problems occur along Hazel Run. The increased runoff from developed land along Route 3, both east and west of Interstate-95 (including areas beyond the City limits) is not being properly addressed by outdated and inadequate stormwater facilities. As development and redevelopment continues within this watershed, the new stormwater management regulations will help to reduce the associated runoff and pollutants. Efforts such as stream restoration and bank stabilization will address these existing conditions.
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4)

Polluted stormwater runoff impairs the quality of natural water bodies. Runoff flows over land and through storm sewers and is often discharged into local waterways before pollutants can be filtered out through natural processes. Uncontrolled, this water pollution destroys fish, wildlife, aquatic habitat, and water supplies. Discharges from municipal storm sewer systems are regulated under the Clean Water Act, the Virginia Stormwater Management Act, and the Virginia Stormwater Management Program. In compliance with the Virginia Stormwater Management Program, local governments are going to be required to address stormwater, through increasingly comprehensive regulations that will upgrade existing facilities and establish better designed new facilities.

Fredericksburg’s Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) collects and conveys stormwater that ultimately discharges into the Rappahannock River and its tributaries. The MS4 includes roads, drains, catch basins, curbs, gutters, ditches, man-made channels, and storm drains. Stormwater management has become increasingly effective in addressing both the volume and duration of stormwater, but stormwater management systems in older developments are often ineffective and even non-existent. The MS4 program is going to require the City to develop, implement, and enforce reductions in discharged pollutants, to satisfy established water quality requirements.

To achieve that end, the locality may need to build new stormwater facilities and/or retrofit existing stormwater management systems. The overall program must include the following minimum control measures:

- Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination
- Construction Site Runoff Control
- Post Construction Site Runoff Control
- Pollution Prevention and Good Housekeeping
- Public education and outreach
- Increased tree planting on both public and private land

Virginia Stormwater Management Program

Stormwater runoff is a natural process accommodated by a system of streams, rivers, and water bodies. On land in its natural state, rainwater is absorbed by trees, grasses, and wetlands – allowing pollutants to filter into the ground and reducing the flow of the water as it travels to stream systems. When land is disturbed and built upon, however, the rate of stormwater runoff inevitably increases. Pollutant levels can then exceed the ability of receiving streams and waterbodies to assimilate them and increased runoff can increase stream flow, which aggravates stream bank and channel erosion.

Stormwater management addresses both the quantity and quality of the excess runoff, to protect the land from erosion, flooding, and pollutants. State and local land development regulations ensure that runoff from a developed area does not exceed that which existed before development occurred. Similarly, the quality of the runoff must meet regulatory standards that reduce nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and other pollutants in the runoff. Management techniques, known as best management practices, include creating holding areas on site to allow the additional water to filter into the ground and to allow pollutants to filter out as well.

Pollution control programs have been reasonably effective where there is a single point of discharge, such as a sewage treatment plants. These types of facilities can control the quality of the treated water that is put back into a water body. More difficult has been the effort to address pollution that is carried by stormwater runoff, which is not confined to a single source, and why it is called non-point source pollution. Currently inadequate facilities will need to be retrofitted to increase their efficiency, and new development, including redevelopment, will need to meet the new requirements. These efforts will allow the City to meet new regulatory standards of the MS4 Program for total impacts to waters of the United States.

In practice, many approved stormwater facilities have proved inadequate for achieving water quality goals and the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality has introduced new standards that must be followed by developers and enforced by Virginia localities. Stormwater leaving a developed site cannot exceed what would have flowed off site prior to development. It should be noted that the goals for managing stormwater have not changed. The intent has always been to control the quality and quantity of runoff. What has changed is the technical feasibility to actually achieve long held water quality standards and the City will be enforcing the Commonwealth’s new regulations, to ensure that runoff within the City does not exceed a total maximum daily load (TMDL) of pollutants.

The TMDL is a comprehensive allowance that establishes a limit on pollutants such as nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment, and other pollutants of concern that a locality can allow to reach natural water bodies within its jurisdiction. The limit is part of a larger process to clean up the waters of local streams and rivers and eventually the Chesapeake Bay. The Chesapeake Bay TMDL has been
designed to ensure that pollution control measures needed to restore the Bay are fully established by 2025, with at least 60 percent reduction completed by 2017, as outlined in the Commonwealth’s Watershed Implementation Plan. The City is developing new administrative procedures to ensure stormwater facilities throughout Fredericksburg are identified, improved, and monitored according to the new regulations.

**Woodlands/Tree Preservation**

The City contains several areas of significant woodlands. The stream valleys at Smith and Hazel Runs consist of mature forest cover, including some trees older than 100 years. The wooded bluffs along the Rappahannock River also consist of mature cover as does the old Virginia Central Railway corridor. Many other areas of the City have a more modest level of tree cover, but the cumulative effect is substantial, with positive impacts to the community. Tree cover helps to improve water quality, conserve energy, lower city temperatures, reduce air pollution, enhance property values, provide wildlife habitat, facilitate social opportunities, and provide general aesthetic benefits. The City has worked with the Virginia Department of Forestry to evaluate its tree canopy.

**The Urban Tree Canopy**

In 2010, the Virginia Department of Forestry evaluated the urban tree canopy in the City of Fredericksburg. The overall canopy is the layer of leaves, branches, and stems of trees that cover the ground when viewed from above (using high resolution aerial imagery). The protocols for such an assessment have been standardized by the U.S. Department of Agriculture. The data used had been developed in 2008.

The 2010 analysis showed that 2,979 acres of Fredericksburg is covered by tree canopy. This acreage corresponds to 44.4 percent of all land within the City. An additional 1,603 acres of the City could be improved to support additional urban tree canopy. This acreage constitutes 24 percent of City land and the areas with the strongest potential for possible tree canopy are those areas zoned for residential development and certain commercial uses. The context for this study, though, was a substantial loss of tree cover due to development. According to a study by the George Washington Regional Commission, the City had lost nearly 28 percent of its tree canopy during the period 1996 through 2009.

In 2011, the City Council committed itself to maintain as well as increase the City’s urban tree canopy by 5 percent over a period of ten years. This percent of increase sounds modest, but with the loss of trees to age and storm damage as well as to development, a five percent increase in the City’s tree canopy will require that approximately 12,000 new trees be planted by 2021. With the City’s support, the local non-profit organization called Tree Fredericksburg is well on its way to meeting this goal. In discussing the City’s tree canopy, several citizens suggested the City adopt a no-net-loss of tree cover during the development process. This type of policy would help the City achieve its goal to maintain as well as increase its urban forest.

**Climate**

The City of Fredericksburg enjoys a humid, temperate climate. The average monthly temperature varies from 35.4 degrees Fahrenheit in January to 76.9 degree Fahrenheit in July. The average annual rainfall is 38.4 inches and the average annual snowfall is 16 inches.
**Rappahannock River**

The Rappahannock River is a significant natural asset that the City recognizes and protects to the greatest extent possible. This river originates at a spring in the Blue Ridge Mountains and flows east, for 185 miles, to the Chesapeake Bay. To the west, this waterway is typical of the streams that course through the farmland of the Virginia Piedmont, while to the east the river flows quietly through the flatter Tidewater region. The middle section of the river, west of the fall line, has remained fairly primitive, primarily through ownership of its riparian lands by the City of Fredericksburg. These riparian woodlands also represent a significant wildlife habitat.

The City has recognized that the forested riparian lands along its river holdings provide substantial environmental benefits that protect the river's water quality, which is the City's primary source of drinking water. As a consequence, the City government has developed policies to protect the intact ecosystem in its natural state. In 1999, the City joined with Spotsylvania County to develop a regional water treatment plant at the Mott's Run Reservoir. This new facility made the dam (built in 1909) on the main stem of the river obsolete and the City worked with the Friends of the Rappahannock and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to remove this last obstruction across the river. In 2004, the Corps breached the dam, which made the Rappahannock River a free-flowing waterway from the mountains to the Chesapeake Bay. Fredericksburg built on this environmental restoration project by placing a conservation easement over 4,232 acres of forested riparian lands it owns in five upriver jurisdictions.

A portion of the Rappahannock River is also designated as a State Scenic River, from its headwaters to Fredericksburg's Mayfield Bridge. Its major tributary, the Rappahannock River, has been evaluated for similar designation and that waterway, from the Germanna Bridge (State Route 3) to its confluence with the Rappahannock River, would qualify for similar designation. In addition, the stretch of river from the Mayfield Bridge to the Chesapeake Bay has a strong potential for scenic river status. The Captain John Smith Chesapeake National Historic Trail extends up this tidal section of the Rappahannock River to Fredericksburg, which is the farthest west that Captain Smith was able to reach during his explorations in 1608.

Identified challenges related to the Rappahannock River's water quality include siltation and nutrient loading from development as well as agriculture in the upriver watershed. The specific issues related the river and its many tributaries include contamination from Escherichia Coli, low pH, fish contaminated with PCB, and dissolved oxygen. The City’s upriver watershed policies seek to curtail erosion on City lands and new federal and state environmental regulations for stormwater are going into effect, which will further assist in this reduction of pollutants.

**Wetlands**

Wetlands are transitional lands between terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems. These areas provide critical habitat for fish and wildlife, assist in stormwater management, and help protect water quality by absorbing and filtering sediments and pollutants. Because plants and soils provide a readily measured record of a site's hydrology, wetlands are defined, for regulatory purposes, as areas that have one or more of the following characteristics:

1. The land predominantly supports, at least periodically, plants that grow in water or extremely moist ground.
2. The substrate is predominantly undrained hydric soil.
3. The substrate is saturated with water or covered by shallow water at some time during the growing season of each year.

Wetlands are further classified as tidal or non-tidal. Tidal wetlands are found between, and contiguous to, mean low water and an elevation above mean low water equal to a factor 1.5 times the mean tide range. These wetlands can be either vegetated or non-vegetated. Non-tidal wetlands are those areas that contain wet soils, plants adapted to growing in such areas, or that show evidence of water on or immediately below the land surface during the growing season.
The following specific sites are known wetlands within the City. A wetlands zoning ordinance regulates land use and development within these areas, as well as any other areas that may be identified as wetlands:

- Rappahannock River shoreline
- Hazel Run and its tributaries
- Smith Run/Falling Creek
- Fall Quarry Run
- Deep Run tributary
- Rappahannock Canal
- Gayles Pond/College Marsh
- Snowden Pond and adjacent marshes
- Old Mill Park marshlands/mill race areas
- Twin Lakes and other lakes/ponds
- Canterbury Subdivision wetlands
- Scotts Island
- Other isolated non-tidal wetlands
- Other intermittent streams

**Chesapeake Bay Preservation Areas**

In 1988, the Commonwealth of Virginia enacted the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act to ensure proper land use management at the jurisdictional level, with the intent to improve the quality of water entering the Bay. The City identified Chesapeake Bay Preservation Areas within the City limits and incorporated measures to protect water quality in its zoning, subdivision, and erosion and sediment control ordinances (See Map 78).

The State water quality program has the following objectives:

- Protect existing high quality State waters and restore all other State waters to a condition or quality that will permit all reasonable public uses and will support the propagation and growth of all aquatic life, including game and fish, which might reasonably be expected to inhabit them.
- Safeguard the clean waters of the Commonwealth from pollution.
- Prevent any increase in pollution.
- Reduce existing pollution.
- Promote water resource conservation to provide for the health, safety, and welfare of the present and future citizens of the Commonwealth.

The Chesapeake Bay Protection Areas are classified as Resource Protection Areas (RPAs) or Resource Management Areas (RMAs). These areas have been identified and mapped according to natural terrain features.

**Resource Protection Areas**

Resource Protection Areas (RPAs) are those lands which have an intrinsic water quality value. In their natural condition, these lands remove, reduce, or assimilate sediments, nutrients, and potentially harmful or toxic substances in runoff entering the waterway. Such areas include tributary streams, tidal shorelines, tidal wetlands, and certain non-tidal wetlands. These RPAs are sensitive to significant degradation and must include a buffer of at least 100 feet in which development is largely prohibited.

Tributary Streams – The City has designated the Rappahannock River and Hazel Run as tributary streams, to serve as the base line for RPAs within the City limits. These perennial streams are regulated by the State Water Control Board. Adjacent lands which could influence water quality, if disturbed, are regulated by the City as RPAs and/or RMAs.

Tidal Shoreline – The City’s designated tidal shorelines have been identified from Deep Run to the area above...
Scott’s Island (using the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration’s Nautical Chart 12237). From Scott’s Island to the fall line, wetlands have been identified using the National Wetlands Inventory Map (Fredericksburg Quadrangle). The City seeks to avoid disturbing these tidal shorelines, but will consider water-dependent activities and shoreline stabilization permitted by State regulations.

Tidal Wetlands Overlay – The City has slightly more than four miles of tidal shoreline, but only about 1/4 acre of vegetated tidal wetlands. The preferred land use is avoidance, but if avoidance is not possible then steps need to be taken to minimize all potential impacts.

Non-Tidal Wetlands Overlay – Non-tidal wetlands are inundated or saturated by surface or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support vegetation adapted for life in saturated soils. Two areas of non-tidal wetlands met the criteria to be included as RPAs. The first non-tidal wetland is Back Marsh, to the west of Snowden Pond. The second is located at Old Mill Park, between the park entrance and the power lines. Due to their characteristic hydrology, these areas are useful as protected features that contribute to overall water quality protection.

RPA Buffer – Chesapeake Bay regulations specify at least a 100-foot buffer adjacent to and landward of any of the above-designated RPA components. This buffer becomes part of the RPA and must be further protected by an adjoining Resource Management Area (RMA).

**Resource Management Areas**

If improperly developed, RMAs have the potential to significantly diminish the functional value of an RPA. The lands designated as RMAs in the City are those areas sensitive to any disturbance, especially the removal of natural vegetation. Those areas include floodplains, highly permeable soils, highly erodible soils and steep slopes, and certain other non-tidal wetlands. Development is not prohibited in an RMA, but does require cautious development practices.

Floodplain – The City’s Floodplain Overlay District protects those areas of the City subject to periodic inundation due to floods. Development in the floodway is largely prohibited, to avoid increasing flood heights and restricting the passage of flood water. Development within the floodway fringe is allowed as long as it will not adversely impact the environment or cause a hazard to human safety, as controlled through Building Codes and other applicable regulations.
Portions of the downtown floodplain were built upon before flood hazards were fully understood and the City works to maintain significant historic buildings in those areas while also pursuing appropriate redevelopment that avoids the creation of flood hazards. The City-owned lands in the upriver watershed remain in their natural state. These intact floodplains are unobstructed and effectively diffuse flood waters.

Highly Permeable Soils – Soils transmit water both vertically and horizontally, the water eventually entering the water table and/or a waterway. Highly permeable soils allow water to enter the water table and/or surface waters before proper natural water treatment has occurred. Most of the City’s highly permeable soils are found west of Interstate-95, near the Rappahannock River.

Highly Erodible Soils and Steep Slopes – The susceptibility of soils to water erosion, both from rainfall and runoff, is a combination of slope length and steepness and the soil loss tolerance. Identified areas of highly erodible soils and steep slopes that are adjacent to or contiguous to an existing RPA were included in the RMA designation.

RMA Non-Tidal Wetlands – Although wetlands on or contiguous to perennial streams are identified as RPAs, isolated wetlands or those on intermittent streams also play a role in water quality protection. The City has identified certain wetlands as RMA features, to address the cumulative impact associated with the loss of non-tidal wetlands.

**Intensely Developed Areas**

Designation of some lands as Intensely Developed Areas (IDAs) recognizes that there are areas with little natural environment remaining within what would otherwise be designated preservation areas. In these instances, development and redevelopment that complies with the performance criteria of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Overlay District is permitted. The City has identified several IDAs. The first is the section of the Rappahannock River RPA that extends from the Falmouth Bridge to the City Dock (with the exception of existing waterfront parks). The second IDA is the Hazel Run RPA that courses through the industrial park. The third and fourth IDAs are on North Hazel Run, behind existing commercial and residential development. The IDA designation allows redevelopment to continue, but within guidelines that encourage reduction of impervious areas and reestablishment of the RPA.

**The Regional Green Infrastructure Plan**

The George Washington Region (Planning District 16) has experienced a rapid population growth for almost two decades. The cumulative result of hundreds of development actions has led to a development pattern that has fragmented valuable forests and open space. To address this negative trend, the George Washington Regional Commission developed a plan to strategically conserve green infrastructure assets, such as commercial and non-commercial forests, waterways, wildlife areas, wetlands, historic landscapes, working farms, vineyards and pasture, and public parks.

Within this regional document (Regional Green Infrastructure Plan, 2011) are specific plans for each member locality. The City of Fredericksburg has developed the following programs and is actively implementing them:

- MS4 Program for enhanced stormwater management
- Continued compliance with the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act
- Low Impact Development Ordinance, to achieve the following stormwater management goals:
  - Limit the rate of stormwater runoff from developed areas to that which existed before development occurred.
  - Reduce the runoff flow within the Hazel Run, Rappahannock Canal, Kenmore Flume, and Deep Run watersheds during new development and re-development.
  - Drain new or re-developed parking lots outside the Hazel Run, Rappahannock Canal, Kenmore Flume, and Deep Run watersheds so at least 50 percent of the lot drains to a filtration practice that treats the first one half inch of runoff.
- Other specified environmental programs.
OPEN SPACE/GREENWAYS

Fredericksburg encompasses significant natural resource areas, such as stream valleys, various wetlands, and mature woodlands. As noted in the Regional Green Infrastructure Plan, development can fragment these natural assets, which diminishes their effectiveness. The concept of green infrastructure is to provide an interconnected network of natural areas and open space, managed to conserve their natural ecosystem functions.

Fredericksburg’s green infrastructure includes the following components:

- Conservation of the City’s upriver riparian lands
- Public ownership of riparian lands within the City limits
- Parks and greenways
  - City parks identified in Table 4-6
  - City trails identified in Table 3-1
- Urban reforestation (noted above under Woodlands/Tree Preservation)
- Protection of wetlands and floodplains
- An improved stormwater management network
  - MS4
  - Chesapeake Bay program

THE CLIMATE, ENVIRONMENT & READINESS (CLEAR) PLAN

In 2012, the University of Mary Washington initiated a planning process to examine the region’s ability to adapt to changing weather patterns as well as its ability to recover from natural disasters. While changing weather patterns are bringing more snow, more severe storms, more flooding, and more droughts, it is also the region’s natural infrastructure that has been critical to helping the region absorb disasters and then recover from them. Floodplains, for example, help to absorb flooding and storm surges. Not all disasters arrive swiftly, though. A changing climate imposes a slowly developing threat, and natural systems are still critical to maintaining a healthy population and a healthy economy. Trees and other vegetation, for instance, help to purify air and water, so protecting them and planting more have multiple benefits.

The CLEAR Report distilled preparations for weather change and natural disasters to the following broad items:

- Climate
  - Resilience – develop sustainable communities and cooperate to build a sustainable region
  - Emissions and Mobility – reduce the need for driving, which in turn will reduce emissions
- Environment
  - Soil and Water – conserve water and anticipate threats to the water supply, such as fracking
  - River, Open Space, and Culture – protect the river, open space, and historic and cultural resources
  - Waste – reduce solid waste, through composting and recycling
- Readiness
  - Emergency preparedness – develop emergency response plans that are comprehensive and coordinated
  - Economic development – ensure the regional economy is environmentally sustainable
REMEDIATION OF BROWNFIELDS

Fredericksburg is a community that has experienced human activity for nearly three centuries. Historic land uses have included weapons manufacturing, iron forges, water powered mills, and factories. Some of these places may have some level of contamination (copper, lead, zinc, mercury, etc.) and the City has worked diligently with property owners and investors to ensure that any contaminants are identified and remediated before development or redevelopment occurs. The term brownfield refers to an industrial site that may be contaminated. Once cleaned up, though, such a site can be redeveloped.

Some of the older brownfield sites are within the historic areas of the City, while certain entryway corridors have older service stations that might have issues with petroleum hydrocarbons. Table 5-3 shows the areas targeted for the use of available public funding to identify possible contaminants. Remediation of sites confirmed to be brownfields helps to remove known health hazards and return the land to productive use.

TABLE 5-3 POTENTIAL BROWNFIELD AREAS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SITE/AREA OF CONCERN</th>
<th>ANTICIPATED CONTAMINANTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Downtown</td>
<td>Metals, Semivolatile Organic Compounds (SVOCS), Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entryway Corridors</td>
<td>Petroleum, Solvents, Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battlefield Industrial Park</td>
<td>Metals, Hydrochloric Acid, Solvents, Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Area Near Hazel Run</td>
<td>Petroleum, Creosote</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS

Several petroleum pipelines run through the upriver watershed from which Fredericksburg obtains its water supply. Pipeline ruptures caused immediate problems when Fredericksburg had an in-stream reservoir. All water supply reservoirs have since been established on tributaries, but the following pipelines still cross the Rappahannock River upstream of the water intakes at Hunting Run, Motts Run, and Rocky Pen Run:

- The Colonial pipeline consists of two parallel lines, 32 and 36 inches in diameter, running 1,196 miles from Texas to New York. They have a capacity to move up to 2.5 million gallons of petroleum product per day. The pipeline passes through the Mine Run watershed, where two breaks have occurred. They also cross beneath the Rapidan River, Mountain Run, and the Rappahannock River.
- A Columbia Gas pipeline carries natural gas beneath the Hazel and the Rappahannock Rivers.
- The Transcontinental Gas line also runs from Texas to New York, crossing beneath Mountain Run and the Rappahannock River.
- The Commonwealth Gas pipeline runs between Culpeper County and Fredericksburg, through Orange and Spotsylvania Counties. The pipeline runs beneath the Rapidan River, LaRoque Run, and Motts Run.
- Another pipeline carrying fuel from Texas to New York passes under the Rappahannock River at Fredericksburg, under the railway bridge.

The rail line through Fredericksburg also carries hazardous materials and some materials are routed near the Mayfield neighborhood.
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION GOALS

Goal 1: Resource Protection
Ensure that growth and development does not compromise the function of natural ecosystems, by establishing and updating land use policies that identify and manage the cumulative impacts of individual development projects.

Goal 2: Watersheds
Protect the ecological integrity of the Rappahannock River watershed from inappropriate development, in order to ensure the highest water quality and to preserve natural resources for the benefit of present and future generations.

Goal 3: Natural Functions of Rivers and Streams
Maintain the ecological integrity of the Rappahannock River and its tributaries for their biological functions and wildlife habitat, as well as for drainage, recreational uses, and other purposes.

Goal 4: Water Quality
Improve water quality through implementation of best management practices for stormwater management, erosion and sediment control, and wastewater treatment that meet or exceed the Commonwealth of Virginia’s regulatory requirements.

Goal 5: Sustainability
Strengthen existing policies and develop new ones to actively promote a sustainable future.

Goal 6: Livability
Strengthen existing policies and develop new ones to actively promote a sustainable future by promoting clustered and compact development, which would be balanced by additional open space, and redevelopment of land and repurposing of structures.

Goal 7: Sustainability Leadership
Expand the role of the City’s Clean and Green Commission to develop sustainability/livability policy recommendations.

Goal 8: City Leadership
The City should set the example in creating a more sustainable society.

FIGURE 32 RAPPAHANNOCK RIVER RAILWAY BRIDGE, AS SEEN FROM CITY DOCK
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION POLICIES
The following key policy statements will guide protection of the City’s environmentally sensitive lands:

1. Preserve and protect the Rappahannock River watershed by controlling stormwater, non-point source pollution, and streambank erosion.
2. Address sediment loads in the river at their source, through upstream initiatives.
3. Encourage low impact development by restricting unnecessary grading and clearing of natural vegetation and incorporating natural features in site design.
4. Minimize tree loss as development and redevelopment occurs in the City.
5. Improve stormwater, wastewater, and erosion and sediment control systems.
6. Maintain natural floodplains intact, such as Butzner Flats and Old Mill Park.
7. Protect wooded riparian buffers along the City’s waterways.
8. Retrofit existing stormwater management systems, so the City can remain below its total maximum daily allowance for pollutants entering the Rappahannock River.
9. Actively pursue site-level water conservation measures.
10. Develop an interconnected system of natural areas (open spaces, wildlife habitats, environmentally sensitive lands, vistas, etc.) that can be connected by pathways.
11. Promote Eco-tourism that balances resource protection with recreational uses.
12. Reduce light pollution by continued attention to lighting standards during development and redevelopment and by adopting Dark Sky standards.
13. Actively pursue reduction of the City’s carbon footprint by lowering energy consumption, promoting recycling, and developing incentives for other measures that will enhance the City’s environmental and economic resilience.
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION INITIATIVES

These initiatives outline key steps for implementing the long-term goals and guiding policies for protecting environmental resources:

1. Continue to update and strengthen development regulations related to water quality and use of sensitive lands.

2. Expand tree planting initiatives in neighborhoods, within road corridors, and in City parks.

3. Pursue a program that will result in no net loss of tree canopy, by ensuring provision of an equivalent tree canopy (either on-site or elsewhere in the City) when trees are removed for new development and redevelopment.

4. Continue to implement the Fredericksburg Watershed Property Maintenance Plan that protects the City’s upriver lands, with emphasis on tree protection, halting encroachments, minimizing non-point source pollution, preserving historic resources, and identifying key additional land parcels for acquisition.

5. Develop enhanced stormwater management and erosion and sediment control regulations, to be consistent with new requirements promulgated by the Commonwealth of Virginia.

6. Continue to inventory existing stormwater outfalls, in order to improve them so they do not cause erosion or result in other environmental degradation.

7. Establish a street tree inventory, to assist in developing a tree plan and to provide a basis for appropriate maintenance of street trees.

8. Continue to protect scenic areas and vistas on upriver riparian lands, by avoiding signs and other visible intrusions.

9. Reduce adverse recreational impacts in the upriver watershed by closely monitoring access areas for erosion, vegetation destruction, and accumulations of trash.

10. Reduce the volume of solid waste placed in landfills through the continued expansion and improvement of recycling programs.

11. Actively pursue measures to protect the public in the vicinity of potentially hazardous facilities such as pipelines, railways, storage areas, etc.

12. Actively pursue implementation of the regional Climate, Environmental & Readiness (CLEAR) plan, developed by the George Washington Regional Commission.

13. Expand the duties of the Clean & Green Commission to include responsibility for evaluating existing sustainability policies and recommending coordinated new ones.
CHAPTER 6: BUSINESS OPPORTUNITIES

BACKGROUND
Fredericksburg’s businesses tend to be focused in four types of geographic areas – in the traditional central business district that is downtown Fredericksburg, along various commercial corridors including State Route 3 and U.S. Route 1, in business opportunity districts outside of the traditional central business district, and in the City/Battlefield Industrial Park. Central Park is one of the region’s largest retail centers and Celebrate Virginia South has been designated as a tourism-focused development. There are also a few small businesses at intersections within residential neighborhoods. These latter locations are remnants of historic development patterns that remain viable today.

DOWNTOWN
Preserving the mixed-use character of downtown Fredericksburg is critically important to the continued economic health of the community. The central business district has always been home to commercial, residential, office, and institutional uses – comprising a mix of interrelated activities necessary for sustaining a viable urban center. This downtown community functions within a traditional street grid that effectively diffuses modern traffic, while maintaining a pedestrian scale. The continued preservation of downtown Fredericksburg’s distinctive character is a cornerstone of this Comprehensive Plan.

WORKPLACE OF THE FUTURE
Placemaking is an emerging economic strategy, evident through a trend measured over the past 20 years that reveals job growth in downtowns nationwide. Places like Fredericksburg already have a strong downtown community and the concept is to maintain and enhance that community identity to attract an educated and employable work force. The young demographic beginning to build careers is drawn to accessible, active, and attractive communities and employers seeking to hire this talent have begun to base relocation decisions on how they can obtain these desirable workers.

For the City to take advantage of an economic trend that emphasizes placemaking, it is important to recognize that preservation of downtown buildings is only part of the required effort. A highly-functional street grid has kept downtown Fredericksburg physically cohesive and appropriate zoning regulations, including modified parking requirements, have been critical to keeping downtown economically viable. Downtown Fredericksburg will thrive as long as those who would like to establish businesses there can do so without being held to development standards that are unattainable in a historic urban setting. The City has encouraged numerous mixed-use projects in its downtown and a high level of investment will close the loop and lead to corresponding investments toward historic preservation.

Placemaking is clearly related to public policies that enhance a good quality of life. The American Association of Retired Persons (AARP) has even developed what they call a livability index, measuring such things as a community’s housing opportunities, its neighborhood cohesiveness, the accessibility of its transportation system, the overall environment, availability of health facilities, the extent of civic involvement, and so on. Those factors important to an aging generations seeking to age in place are also important to attracting an employable work force. The City’s quality of life policies – including those related to neighborhood design, provision of an interconnected multi-modal transportation system, Historic District preservation, supporting a cohesive school system, and maintaining significant amounts of parkland and open space – relate to building an attractive community that meets social as well as economic development needs.

BUILDING ON SUCCESS
Fredericksburg’s Economic Development Authority has recognized Fredericksburg’s downtown area as the City’s ongoing economic development opportunity. The EDA has a façade improvement program and a JumpStart! grants program, both of which are designed to assist with downtown development. The City and the EDA recognize that a sustainable economic development policy needs to include small redevelopment efforts as well as large new projects. The City has also included downtown in both its Tourism and Technology Zone incentive program, allowing qualifying businesses to take advantage of tax incentives. Downtown’s strengths and opportunities for continued investment can be summarized as follows.
**STRENGTHS**
- Independent businesses
- Well preserved historic setting
- Riverfront
- Railway station functions as a multi-modal hub and a gateway
- Mix of uses (attention to zoning has provided for both commercial and residential development)
- Pedestrian friendly environment (sidewalks, trails, street grid, safe crosswalks)
- Active arts community
- Special events occur year round
- Newly established Main Street program
- Government buildings and functions have been kept downtown
- Parks

**CHALLENGES/OPPORTUNITIES**
- Inadequate mix of business types
- Vacant storefronts
- Deferred maintenance (demolition by neglect)
- Perception of a parking problem (availability as well as inadequate time limits)
- Underused/open sites (infill opportunities)
- Some businesses not motivated to extend hours to evenings and holidays
- Post Office is considering moving to a larger site
- Gateways to downtown need to impart a sense of arrival

**FIGURE 33  INDEPENDENTLY OWNED DOWNTOWN BUSINESSES**
A competitive commercial mix is necessary for downtown success, but is difficult to achieve with every storefront independently owned/operated. Efforts need to focus on expanding commercial activity at existing enterprises as well as developing a viable diversity of businesses that will fill vacant storefronts. One of the constraints to achieving this objective is the dominance of large-scale outlying commercial areas such as Central Park. As a consequence, regulatory statutes related to parking and re-development need to be reviewed very carefully, to ensure they promote and encourage, rather than hinder downtown revitalization.

Downtown Fredericksburg should also be the focal point of an expanded tourism program. One of the challenges of tourism is to provide various experiences so a visitor has reason to stay longer as well as return for additional visits. Another challenge is to recognize the carrying capacity of the visitor destination so visitation does not compromise the character of the community. In effect, tourism should benefit both the visitors who seek to experience a place as well as the host community. The City’s tourism efforts have consistently sought to add to visitor options and have also worked to encourage special events and large group visitation.

Government functions are a key component of downtown and it is important to keep such activity within the urban core. As an example, the new court house has been constructed downtown, even though a larger site would have easier to develop. Similarly, the U.S. Post Office on Princess Anne Street includes a regional distribution facility that has outgrown its site. When this distribution function is moved elsewhere, it will be important to ensure that the Postal Service retains an operating post office in downtown Fredericksburg. There will be opportunities for mixed-use development on the Post Office site once this occurs.

**Fredericksburg VA Main Street, Inc.**

In 2010, the Economic Development Authority initiated an effort to bring a Main Street program to Fredericksburg. Main Street is a preservation based economic and community development program developed by the National Trust for Historic Preservation and implemented in this state by the Virginia Department of Housing and Community Development. The program has found success by using a comprehensive strategy tailored to local needs and opportunities in four broad areas, as follows:

- **Design** – Enhancing the physical appearance of the commercial district by rehabilitating historic buildings, supporting new construction, developing sensitive design management, beautification, and long term planning.
- **Organization** – Building consensus and cooperation among groups and individuals who have a role in the revitalization process.
- **Promotion** – Marketing the commercial district’s unique characteristics to customers, investors, local citizens, and visitors.
- **Economic Restructuring** – Strengthening the existing economic base, while expanding it to meet new opportunities and challenges.

Fredericksburg became a Main Street community in 2013 and Fredericksburg VA Main Street, Inc. has adopted the mission to preserve and enhance historic Fredericksburg’s diverse and vibrant downtown community by pooling resources, ideas, and experiences to make Fredericksburg a better place to live, work, and play. This organization’s vision is that 300 years of history can be blended into contemporary life.
**TOURISM**

The City’s wealth of historic resources has drawn visitors from all over the world. The City's visitor center provides a great variety of visitor information and specialized services. A growing network of bicycle/foot trails is also providing an opportunity for additional interpretation of sites, not otherwise accessible, for visitors and residents. The Fredericksburg and Spotsylvania National Military Park, established in 1927, currently has two visitor centers, one on the Fredericksburg battlefield and another at Chancellorsville, ten miles west of the City. These battlefields have national significance and the economic impact of the visitors drawn to them is measured in millions of dollars annually.

The National Park Service has discussed the potential for additional battlefield visitor centers at the Wilderness and Spotsylvania Court House. All of the National Park’s existing or proposed visitor centers, however, are far removed from the main transportation corridor of Interstate-95. Some of the local non-profit organizations have discussed the potential for a regional visitor center established nearer the interstate, potentially in Celebrate Virginia. This facility could be a partnership effort, as has occurred at the Gettysburg National Military Park, but no related discussions have been initiated with the National Park Service.

**CORRIDORS**

Fredericksburg is defined geographically by distinct, identifiable areas of activity, connected by a network of transportation corridors. In addition to moving traffic, these corridors also represent a range of economic opportunities. Redevelopment along these routes, with an emphasis on mixed-uses and appearance, will help the City to achieve its economic development goals. The various corridors have differing levels of intensity, due primarily to their transportation function, which affects their respective redevelopment potential. Zoning is an important factor in attracting business development, but the available infrastructure is often a stronger determinant of commercial activity.

**MAJOR CORRIDORS THAT PASS THROUGH THE CITY**

A north-south and an east-west highway intersect in Fredericksburg. Each carries heavy volumes of traffic that pass through the City although much of the traffic is also local. There are several places along these major corridors that are suitable for a more intense development than currently exists.

**U.S. Route 1 Bypass (Jefferson Davis Highway)**

This corridor extends from the Rappahannock River to the south city limit, but its greatest long term development potential is in its northern section, between the...
river and State Route 3. There are several older shopping centers in this corridor that could be redeveloped as mixed use centers, scaled to blend with the adjoining residential areas. The University of Mary Washington and its foundation have played a major role in redeveloping this section of the City. The UMW Foundation’s Eagle Village has transformed an older retail center into a thriving mixed-use complex that includes a hotel, student housing, offices, new restaurants, a children’s museum, and other retail businesses. An attractive pedestrian bridge over the U.S. Route 1 Bypass connects Eagle Village with UMW’s main campus, where ample construction related to the University continues to occur. The UMW Foundation will continue its redevelopment of Eagle Village in the years to come. Cowan Crossings is another example of a newer retail-focused development in the U.S. Route 1 Bypass corridor.

The extension of Mary Washington Boulevard to Fall Hill Avenue will improve access between the U.S. Route 1 Bypass corridor and Central Park/Celebrate Virginia, which could create additional interest in redeveloping other commercial centers. Most of the City’s schools are also located along this corridor.

State Route 3 (Blue and Gray Parkway)
From east to west, this route extends from the Rappahannock River to Central Park Boulevard. The development potential is primarily in its western section, between Central Park Boulevard and Woodlyn Drive. That stretch of road includes several older retail and office developments that could, over time, see additional interest from developers. Redevelopment of under-used commercial properties in each of the four quadrants of the Interstate-95/State Route 3 interchange provides significant opportunities to expand the City’s tax base.

The Hylton Group plans a large mixed-use development that would extend from the intersection of Gateway Boulevard to Cowan Boulevard. This land fronts Interstate-95 and is one of the City’s largest remaining undeveloped properties east of the interstate.

There have also been suggestions of redeveloping the corridor between Dixon Street and Lafayette Boulevard from industrial to commercial, including tracts along the northern edge of the Battlefield Industrial Park. The recently approved Telegraph Hill development, near the intersection of the Blue and Gray Parkway and Lafayette Boulevard, will include new homes, offices, and retail uses. The City/Battlefield Industrial Park itself, however, would be difficult to redevelop as anything other than industrial, due to the existing road network that is too limiting for intensive commercial or mixed uses.

Development Corridors
Some corridors were created to open land to development. These roads provide new connections to existing ones, but are not suitable for the same level of development that will occur on through-highways.

Cowan Boulevard
This road connects the Jefferson Davis Highway with Central Park, crossing over Interstate-95, which is a substantial barrier to east-west travel in the City. It is primarily a residential corridor, with some medical offices due to its proximity to Mary Washington Hospital. There is also more land available for that type of development to continue. Cowan Crossings is an example of retail-focused development that has sprung up due to the road’s strategic location. The area adjacent to Interstate-95 also has commercial development potential, primarily with the Hylton Group’s planned project between Cowan Boulevard and State Route 3, on the east side of the interstate. This corridor also includes the institutional uses of Hugh Mercer Elementary School and the Fredericksburg Police Department.

Fall Hill Avenue
This road historically connected Fredericksburg with upriver mills and mines. At one point, it would have been classified as an entryway corridor to the City’s urban core, but the U.S. Route 1 Bypass (Jefferson Davis Highway) changed the City’s development patterns. A section of Fall Hill is now a one-way road, as traffic moves away from downtown. Further, a project to improve Fall Hill Avenue from a two-lane road to a four-lane divided road will end at the Rappahannock Canal, where it will intersect with a new four-lane road (Mary Washington Boulevard) in order to connect to the U.S. Route 1 Bypass (Jefferson Davis Highway).

East of the interstate, Fall Hill Avenue will remain a wooded roadway with very limited development potential. There is a medical complex that can expand and there are several apartment complexes, but most new development will be limited to residential uses. West of Interstate-95, Fall Hill Avenue is being more intensely developed. That stretch of roadway leads into Celebrate Virginia, where the successful Wegman’s grocery store, an expo center, and new hotels are located and additional sites are ready for development. There are additional large parcels across Fall Hill Avenue from Celebrate Virginia that will also be intensely developed.
FUTURE DEVELOPMENT CORRIDORS
A new road will be built, east of Interstate-95, extending Gateway Boulevard from State Route 3 to Fall Hill Avenue. The land between Route 3 and Cowan Boulevard is an undeveloped tract owned by the Hylton Group that is planned for mixed use development. The section between Cowan Boulevard and Fall Hill Avenue is already developing as residential neighborhoods. This road is not programmed for public funding, but will be privately developed.

ENTRYWAY CORRIDORS
Roads that carry traffic from major roadways to downtown Fredericksburg function as entryway corridors. These routes provide a transition from heavily traveled roadways, with the intent to provide a sense of arrival to the historic urban core.

PRINCESS ANNE STREET
This corridor includes post-World War II commercial buildings at its northern end, an industrial section between Pelham and Herndon Streets, and a mix of residential and commercial buildings as this route extends into the Historic District. The areas identified for increased levels of development occur between the U.S. Route 1 Bypass (Jefferson Davis Highway) and Fauquier Street. Of special interest within this corridor is the area called the Mill District, which is an area with large industrial structures and connections to the Rappahannock River. There are substantial opportunities for adaptive reuse of the historic buildings as well as for new construction on nearby vacant land. There are also opportunities within this corridor for smaller infill projects and recruitment of HUBZone businesses, restaurants, and outdoor-focused enterprises that can take advantage of the new Rappahannock River Heritage Trail. The Economic Development Authority offers façade improvement grants for properties along this corridor.

WILLIAM STREET
William Street is a through-corridor designated as State Route 3. The Blue and Gray Parkway is the Route 3 Bypass. As an entryway corridor, William Street starts at the Blue and Gray Parkway and extends to the Rappahannock River. The redevelopment potential for mixed uses is a very short section, from Washington Avenue to Prince Edward Street. Amelia Square, Liberty Place, and Parkview are examples of some of the newer mixed-use projects planned along this corridor. There are additional redevelopment opportunities for the large parcels owned by the Free Lance-Star Publishing Company, which now runs its operations at the Print Innovators, on Belman Road. The stretch of William Street between Prince Edward Street and the River has developed as a lively destination for dining and other nighttime outings. The approach from the east is across the Chatham Bridge, which is an awkward gateway because William Street is one-way, which forces traffic entering the City to immediately turn either right or left.

LAFAYETTE BOULEVARD
Lafayette Boulevard is the U.S. Route 1 Business Route and characterized by mid-twentieth century dwellings at its southern end, the wooded area at the entrance to Lee Drive within the Fredericksburg and Spotsylvania National Military Park, industrial buildings near the Blue and Gray Parkway, and early twentieth century houses and commercial buildings as the road enters into downtown. Much of this route consists of residential subdivisions, with a scattering of commercial activities. Eventually, this road will be improved to a four-lane divided facility, which will maintain the neighborhoods intact, but require the removal of many houses that are close to the road and thus within what will become the new right-of-way.

Redevelopment potential is limited to the section between Lee Drive (the entryway to the National Park) and Sophia Street. Within this corridor, the Virginia Central Railway Trail offers a safe bicycle/pedestrian link between downtown Fredericksburg and areas of the City west of the U.S. Route 1 Bypass. The trail also provides an outdoor amenity for residents of the adjacent Cobblestone Square. The planned mixed-use Telegraph Hill community will also add to the Lafayette Boulevard dynamic on the south side of the Blue and Gray Parkway. The Economic Development Authority offers façade improvement grants for properties along this corridor. The railway station and the Rappahannock River at the northern end of this corridor also create opportunities for future mixed-use development.

DIXON STREET
This road is a four-lane divided road (State Route 2/17) within the city limits, but becomes a two-lane road when it courses into Spotsylvania County. A significant amount of traffic uses this route, which intersects with the Blue and Gray Parkway. There are numerous established residential developments in this area along with the large recreational area known as Dixon Park. The area suitable for continued commercial development is the section between Beulah Salisbury Drive and Lansdowne Road.
**Urban Riverfront Corridor**
The Sophia Street corridor, from the City Dock to Fauquier Street has received attention for several decades as a potential riverfront park. These plans have been amended many times as development has occurred. At present, a riverfront park is being designed for 3 acres of City-owned land between Hanover and Wolfe Streets. The concept for the road corridor is to encourage development on the west side of the street, while leaving the east side open. In addition, traffic calming measures are proposed to be established at the intersection of Sophia and Charlotte Streets, and perhaps at other intersections, as needed.

**Corridor Opportunities**
The challenges in each of these corridors vary, but the consistent emphasis is on improving the roadways themselves as well as providing the appropriate development opportunities through City policies and regulation.

Corridor design guidelines have been developed for Princess Anne Street and Lafayette Boulevard. Similar efforts are needed for other corridors, both to encourage and promote redevelopment as well as to implement improvements that establish a sense of arrival.

**Non-Conforming Signs**
Signs should complement their location, whether mounted on a building or standing alone. Non-conforming off-premise signs (billboards) are not consistent with the City's goal to enhance its overall appearance. At present, there are approximately 25 billboards throughout the City and long range plans are to see their eventual removal.

**Business Opportunity Districts**
Modern development gravitates toward transportation corridors, but business opportunities are also concentrated in centralized locations to create a stronger economic dynamic (as has been done in urban centers for centuries). Central Park serves as both a local and regional shopping area and is a major economic resource. The Celebrate Virginia campus has been planned as a retail and hotel/conference center, to include environmental education and entertainment services and venues. To remain economically viable, these areas will need to address the challenges in business opportunity districts such as the changing dynamic of the retail industry amid a shift to Online shopping. The City encourages the progression of these areas to the next level of intensity that includes a more urban mix of uses and promotes high-quality development and redevelopment that is sustainable and attractive within these business opportunity districts.

**City/Battlefield Industrial Park**
The City/Battlefield Industrial Park is a 300-acre area south of Hazel Run and west of the main north-south railway line (CSX Corporation). The industrial area evolved in this location when the Virginia Central Railway (VCR) provided a rail link between industrial activities on the outskirts of town and the main railway line in downtown Fredericksburg. The VCR is no longer in operation and its tracks have been removed or abandoned, so access to the industrial park is currently achieved from the Blue and Gray Parkway (State Route 3 Bypass).

A sizable part of the industrial park remains in agricultural use, but can be redeveloped whenever that land is needed. There have been some suggestions that the industrial park be redeveloped as something other than industrial, but the existing roads are not conducive to intense commercial or mixed uses. Further, the City needs places where a large employer can find sufficient acreage for development.

**Neighborhood Commercial Locations**
Fredericksburg is an old community that once had numerous small-scale commercial activities within residential areas. Many of these commercial ventures did not survive the arrival of shopping centers, but those that were located at reasonably well-travelled intersections managed to stay in business and even thrive. Those that serve neighborhood needs - such as small restaurants, beauty parlors - are encouraged to remain in business and the City has designated many of the older residential areas for neighborhood commercial uses.

Mixing commercial and residential uses requires careful attention to potential impacts though, because the sanctity of the home must be respected. Residents expect to be able to live in a quiet manner and commercial uses in proximity to residences need to operate in such a manner that the two uses can coexist. As an example, a previous use that consisted of a late night bar may no longer be a suitable neighborhood element, but transitioning that use to a neighborhood tavern and restaurant, with different hours, may become an appropriate modification that supports this neighborhood commercial concept.
Economic Development Strategic Plan

In an effort to clarify and focus the City's economic development strategy the City Council, Economic Development Authority, City Manager, and Economic Development & Tourism Director have come together to endorse one single Economic Development Strategic Plan that pulls from all existing visions/plans and encapsulates the most important elements of each. This consolidated 10-point economic development plan, which encapsulates elements from each of the visions (goals are not listed in any specific order), can be found in Appendix A. These 10 points have been incorporated as the first 10 goals for this chapter. The objectives in the economic development plan have also been incorporated in the first 30 Initiatives also for this chapter. The consolidated Economic Development Strategic Plan incorporates unresolved recommendations of all previous plans, including the Garner Plan (2015) and the JumpStart! Report (2006), but effectively upon adoption supersedes them. To accomplish these goals, the City will need to be willing to invest in economic development and tourism and pursue innovative public-private partnerships.

Business Opportunity Goals

Goal 1: Become an Employment Epicenter

The City of Fredericksburg strives to build an economy that is heavy in research-and-development, high-tech-focused jobs delivering solutions in healthcare, national security and innovative technology. These jobs will allow a larger percentage of the region's workforce to find solid employment opportunities closer to home, thereby keeping this talented labor pool at home during the weekdays, generating increased tax revenue and improving local quality of life. The City also strives to improve upon its status as an authentic historic experience and a tourist destination with diverse opportunities in eco-tourism, sports, cultural, and entertainment venues of statewide significance. The City also strives to facilitate and build upon the entrepreneurial spirit that already exists locally.

Goal 2: Develop the Workforce of Tomorrow

Workforce development is an important facet of any economic development program. Companies considering a new community look closely at the workforce to ensure that there is a qualified labor pool from which to draw. While Fredericksburg has a well-educated workforce, it is important to take steps to ensure programs are in place to keep the workforce trained for future opportunities.

Goal 3: Be a Business-Friendly City

Small and large businesses are the lifeblood of the community as well as the City's tax base, and steps must be taken to ensure they feel appreciated and fairly treated. That is accomplished through superior customer service, a reasonable regulatory environment, fair tax rates and excellent business retention efforts.

Goal 4: Enhance the City as a Tourism Destination

Tourism is a major economic driver for the City, bringing in meals, sales and lodging taxes that support the local budget while creating jobs and revenue for local businesses. The Virginia Tourism Corporation estimated in its 2015 report entitled “Economic Impact of Domestic Travel on Virginia” that domestic travelers were responsible in 2015 for $171.4 million in expenditures and more than 1,600 jobs in the City. Driving more tourists, conventions, meetings and events to the City will significantly boost.

Goal 5: Rebrand the City of Fredericksburg and Vigorously Defend Brand Standards

It is vital that the City create a unified brand and vigorously defend its usage for both internal and external communications. This brand would be used for both internal and external communications.

Goal 6: Ensure Proper Infrastructure is in Place to Sustain Growth

The City of Fredericksburg cannot achieve its community development goals if proper infrastructure is not in place. Much of the City’s infrastructure has served citizens for many years, and steps must be taken to ensure it is adequate for both the present and future. Many of City Council's goals and initiatives fall into this category.

Goal 7: Build upon Outdoor Assets

City Council, the EDA, the Garner plan and City staff have all called for enhancing the City’s outdoor recreation assets. The City's location along the Rappahannock River and ownership of easement land along the river provide ample opportunities to develop outdoor amenities that will enhance quality of life for residents, create venues for special events and attract additional visitors.
Goal 8: Build community through cultural vibrancy
The City of Fredericksburg has an active arts and cultural community that enhances the City’s quality of life and attracts new residents. All of the previous Economic Development plans have called for arts and cultural enhancements, and this one does too.

Goal 9: Maintain historic character as part of economic development and tourism strategy
Fredericksburg’s historic character is one of its primary assets in both economic development and tourism. Much of the City’s charm and character derive from its authentic historic look and feel, so the City must protect that while pursuing goals that will attract more businesses, residents and visitors to the historic and walkable core. Organizations and boards including Fredericksburg VA Main Street Inc., the Architectural Review Board, Historic Fredericksburg Foundation Inc. and the UMW Center for Historic Preservation will be important partners in this pursuit.

Goal 10: Enhance gateways into the city
Both the EDA and City Council have put ample emphasis on improving Fredericksburg’s gateway corridors – including Princess Anne Street, Lafayette Boulevard and the Rappahannock River (the region’s “original gateway”). The City requires an extra layer of review for development projects proposed along these two gateways and others. The EDA has facilitated façade improvement grant programs to encourage investments along the City’s primary gateways. These corridors are often the first impression that visitors get when entering the City and Historic District, and it’s important to improve the look.

Goal 11: Downtown as a center for commerce, culture, and community
Ensure that downtown Fredericksburg continues to serve as a center of commerce, art, culture, recreation, historic amenities, and government, in order to provide economic stability and a sense of community. Actively pursue the preservation and adaptive reuse of downtown buildings and ensure that infill projects are designed with sensitivity to the City’s historic character.

Goal 12: A well-balanced mix of uses downtown
Achieve a sustainable mix of commercial and residential development in downtown Fredericksburg that fits the historic character of the urban core and helps people to live, shop, and work in the city center.

Goal 13: Business development
Ensure the City can accommodate and capture its projected share of regional economic growth, by actively recruiting desired new businesses and providing for retail and office space development in areas identified for growth.

Goal 14: Community character
Preserve and enhance the City’s visual appeal by pursuing patterns of development that respect the City’s historic growth pattern (mixed-use development) and by installing landscaping and street trees (complete streets).

Goal 15: Mixed-uses in corridors
Achieve mixed-use development patterns as redevelopment occurs within designated corridors, by blending commercial and residential uses, as appropriate to specific locations.

Goal 16: Complementary and connected business districts
Ensure that new suburban business districts complement and connect to the City’s downtown and its business corridors, through multi-modal transportation and a commercial mix that offers a wide variety of goods, services, and jobs. Strive to create pedestrian connections between all business districts, as feasible and appropriate.

Goal 17: A live here/work here community
Enhance business development opportunities through provision of an educated and skilled work force, incubation of local businesses, and active recruitment of desired outside businesses.
**BUSINESS OPPORTUNITY POLICIES**

The following key policy statements provide guidance for enhancing new and existing business opportunity districts:

1. Pursue mixed-use land development patterns, while also respecting and enhancing viable business districts and the City’s industrial park.

2. Continue to develop corridor-specific studies and area plans, as needed.

3. Actively pursue public and private initiatives to install street trees and landscaping, and to relocate overhead utilities, as feasible and where appropriate. Seek consistency in landscaping materials and encourage use of native plants in landscape design.

4. Improve the existing suburban business districts to accommodate pedestrians, cyclists, and transit.

5. Implement development/redevelopment standards that promote a human-scale, pedestrian-oriented, transit friendly community, through site layout, building configuration, landscaping, signage, parking lot design, vehicle and pedestrian circulation, stormwater management, and environmental protection.

6. Continue to coordinate with neighboring jurisdictions when developing long range plans for infrastructure and land use.

7. Consider partnership opportunities for establishing a regional visitor center.

---

**FIGURE 36  CENTRAL PARK BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**
**Business Opportunity Initiatives**

The following initiatives outline key steps for implementing the long-term goals and guiding policies for Fredericksburg’s business opportunity districts:

1. Make the attraction of new businesses to the City a main focus of economic development along with business retention and expansion (Immediate)
   
a. Tourism, hospitality, and specialty retail
b. Science and technology, R&D, contracting and consulting
c. Professional and corporate office users
d. Education and health services

2. Update the existing economic incentives program structure and funding levels, and adjust as needed to optimize the City’s competitiveness with respect to attracting the four core industry targets (2017)

3. Move EDT office to a more corporate environment (Late 2017/Early 2018)


5. Partner with Germanna and City Schools on vocational, technology and workforce training (2017-2018)

6. Look for state and federal workforce grant opportunities (Immediate)

7. Promote and help facilitate the innovation, growth and excellence of the local business community (Immediate) by:
   
a. Supporting entrepreneurs through business education events and seminars in conjunction with the UMW Small Business Development Center, Fredericksburg VA Main Street Inc. and the Fredericksburg Regional Chamber of Commerce.
b. Facilitating the creation and expansion of business incubation facilities such as EagleWorks at the UMW Small Business Development Center.
c. Supporting programs such as Startup Weekend Fredericksburg and Made in FredVA.
d. Working with Main Street and the Chamber on encouraging new business creation and collaborating on ribbon-cutting events.
e. Meeting regularly with existing businesses and promoting their success via news releases, blog posts and social media.
f. Pursuit of earned media to better tell our success stories.
8. Streamline, simplify and coordinate the permitting process (Multi-year effort)
9. Review the development standards of the Unified Development Ordinance for any undue obstacles to business development (Multi-year effort)
10. Investigate the creation of a regional tourism bureau (2017-2018)
11. Create a Tourism Opportunity Fund to attract events and meetings (2017-2018)
12. Develop tourism assets in the City and collaborate with partners (Immediate)
13. Take stock of how City brand is currently used (Early 2017)
14. Hire branding firm to create new brand and implement it (2017)
15. Redesign the City logo to reflect the accurate date of its founding (1728).
16. Designate a staff member as “Brand Champion” (Early 2018)
17. Create a parking plan of action for the City (Early 2017)
18. Analyze existing infrastructure and make improvements accordingly (Immediate)
19. Improve transportation assets (Immediate)
20. Develop Riverfront Park and clean up areas along the river (2017-2018)
21. Continue to enhance trails system (Immediate)
22. Attract outdoor recreational venues and companies (2017-2018)
23. Identify a venue and funding sources for a performing arts center (2017-2018)
24. Work with the arts and cultural community on events and programs (Immediate)
25. Support and encourage indoor and outdoor music venues (Immediate)
27. Enhance awareness of historic tax credit programs (Immediate)
28. Facilitate conversations between developers, preservationists, city staff and commissions to make it clear about City’s vision and priorities (2017-2018)
29. Develop partnership between City and EDA on strategic acquisition program (2017-2018)
30. Adhere to corridor design standards and enforce existing city codes (Immediate)
31. Continue to use EDA grants program to facilitate additional improvements (Immediate)
32. Adjust zoning provisions to encourage mixed-use development that allows for greater densities and appropriate building heights, setbacks, and uses.

33. Develop corridor-specific design guidelines that articulate the expected form and nature of new development along designated corridors.

34. Evaluate form-based regulations as optional overlay regulations for use in selected areas, to encourage development that meets adopted goals in designated corridors.

35. Encourage development/redevelopment activity by creating redevelopment plans, especially for older shopping centers, that will diversify uses and provide for improved multi-modal access, landscaped parking areas, and improved lighting and signage.

36. Explore the potential for a regional visitor center near Interstate-95 that could function as a gateway to the area’s Civil War battlefields as well as to the City of Fredericksburg.

37. Pursue both public and private funding opportunities for improvements to corridor infrastructure.

38. Continue to evaluate the effectiveness of commercial zoning standards to include building heights, setbacks, density, ground floor uses, floor area ratios, materials, special exceptions, and other design standards.

39. Work with the Economic Development Authority to develop incentives for development and redevelopment in designated areas.

40. Work with the United States Postal Service to ensure a functioning post office remains in downtown Fredericksburg when the distribution facility is relocated.
CHAPTER 7: RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOODS AND HOUSING

BACKGROUND

The City’s physical design determines how well it functions – whether all residents can get to places beyond their neighborhood, whether children have access to playgrounds and popsicles, and whether a house guest can find a parking space. Older parts of the City exhibit architectural diversity, tree lined streets, and sidewalks, which are all features that define Fredericksburg’s character and contribute to its charm. Newer development, however, is often more typical of suburban communities, where the emphasis on automobile access sometimes comes at the expense of pedestrian safety. Suburban style neighborhoods are attractive in their own right, but their basic design can sometimes limit interaction.

It is the interaction of neighbors that constitutes a well-functioning and successful community. To this end, the residential setting must be considered welcoming and safe. Neighbors must be comfortable with their surroundings. Fredericksburg was initially a relatively compact place. Electricity and automobiles, however, facilitated growth beyond the urban center and publicly funded roads opened up outlying areas to development. Suburban growth is dispersed, dramatically increasing average daily travel between houses, jobs, and shopping.

A community’s cohesion is thus directly linked to its design. In recent years, the environmental sustainability of buildings has been rated through a system called Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED). The U.S. Green Building Council, which developed LEED, has also worked with the Congress for New Urbanism and the Natural Resources Defense Council to extend the LEED certification concept to entire neighborhoods. This new set of standards is called LEED-ND (Neighborhood Design). The idea is to develop neighborhoods that are accessible, interconnected, and strong components of the community. When LEED-ND standards are applied locally, the desired characteristics of an integrated community are already evident, which is no surprise since the standards were developed by looking at historic places like Fredericksburg in the first place.

The neighborhood concept is important to Fredericksburg’s long-term health and welfare, serving as the first level of community interaction. There is a growing emphasis, through LEED-ND and otherwise, on creating what are called livable communities. The concept is to ensure neighborhoods are accessible and affordable. Safety, walkability, transportation options, social interaction, and access to shopping, work, and health services are universal goals and should be available to all citizens, including children, elderly persons, and persons with disabilities.

While neighborhoods are the building blocks of the community, individual houses are the building blocks of a neighborhood. Fredericksburg has an array of housing types, ranging from Colonial era dwellings to modern day condominiums. Numerous historic neighborhoods impart a traditional charm to the community, while newer neighborhoods provide additional housing choices to a growing population. The City’s housing and real estate market is affected by three major factors, as follows:

1. The City is closely linked, by rail and roadway, to the Northern Virginia/Washington D.C. Metropolitan Area and the higher wages/salaries paid in this larger metropolitan context influences the cost of local housing, causing prices to increase.
2. The value of Fredericksburg housing is increasing faster than local incomes, which is causing a severe problem with affordability.
3. The student population at the University of Mary Washington brings a strong demand for rental units to adjacent City neighborhoods.
Neighborhood Design

Some of Fredericksburg’s residential neighborhoods are new, many are old, and some are part of the residential/commercial mix that is downtown. Most of the City’s residential areas are accessible by means other than automobiles and are also close to services and entertainment. Where neighborhoods already approach full accessibility and livability, the City intends to protect those conditions. Where neighborhoods were established without full accessibility or have other limitations, the City will explore options to better integrate those neighborhoods into the larger community. Potential solutions to address isolated areas include trail connections and transit services.

Identified challenges facing Fredericksburg’s neighborhoods include a variety of issues related to infrastructure, provision of services, tree cover, parking management, traffic control, and redevelopment pressures. Some problems are self-inflicted. Decisions to widen neighborhood sidewalks from four to five feet, for instance, have too often reduced the area between the sidewalk and the curb that was provided for street trees when the neighborhood was developed. There is no state or federal requirement that sidewalks must universally accommodate two wheelchairs side by side. As a consequence, this trend can be halted and even reversed, allowing a healthy tree cover to be maintained/reestablished.

The design of existing neighborhoods also merits more respect. Some neighborhoods have alleys, which provide a route for overhead wires (leaving the streets open for trees) and offer options for off-street parking and trash service. Some of these alleys have become blocked over the years - by trees, fences, and debris – eliminating their carefully designed neighborhood function. Alleys need to be returned to a functioning status.

Buildings and roads can be built almost anywhere because of contemporary engineering capabilities. For a community to function, however, its individual components need to be assembled in a logical pattern that places due emphasis on the residents of the community rather than the initial developer. When evaluating new development or redevelopment, there are four essential principles of neighborhood design, regardless of size. Those persons who will actually live within and experience the environment being built, the users, are the focus of these principles:

- Function – Ensure that the proposed environment will work effectively for the convenience and comfort of all users.
- Order – Ensure users will be able to readily understand and orient themselves to the environment.
- Identity – Ensure that the visual image of the environment reflects the community’s values and character.
- Appeal – Ensure that the environment will give pleasure to its users, over time.

The following guidelines provide a comprehensive approach to planning, by acknowledging travel of all kinds. This emphasis on transportation is important because infrastructure is such a basic component of functional design. Cities do not work well with only one mode of transportation, as has become the case in outlying suburban jurisdictions. The following urban goals must also be considered very early in the development process because they are the means for the community to grow economically, while remaining functional to all of Fredericksburg’s citizens.

Provide a Pedestrian-Friendly Environment

- Design streets to ensure safe pedestrian crossings to bus stops.
- Reinforce pedestrian access through appropriately sized and unobstructed sidewalks.
- Provide shade trees on all streets, to the maximum extent feasible.
- Allow streets to frame vistas or to terminate at places with visual appeal (parks, etc).
**Ensure Pedestrian Connections**
- Provide a coordinated system of internal sidewalks as well as bicycle/foot trails that connect to other parts of the City.
- Locate pedestrian routes and hiking/biking trails along existing travelways, as much as possible, rather than in the rear of residential areas.
- Link pedestrian routes and hiking/biking trails to local destinations. Where street connections are not feasible, provide properly designed alternative linkages between residential and commercial areas.
- Ensure pedestrian routes and hiking/biking trails link to bus stops.
- Provide bicycle racks at various destinations (multi-modal exchange points, commercial areas, recreational sites).

**Provide Interconnected Streets**
- Avoid uninterrupted block faces that preclude pedestrian circulation.
- Provide multiple travel routes that do not require the use of arterial roadways.
- Provide a coherent and interconnected street system, to diffuse traffic as well as to ensure convenient pedestrian and bicycle circulation.

**Maintain a Clear Hierarchy of Streets**
- Construct neighborhood streets so as not to compromise pedestrian safety and to avoid excessive automobile speeds.
- Ensure primary and secondary streets provide appropriate connections, yet discourage through-traffic in neighborhoods with traffic calming features incorporated into the secondary roadway design.
- Avoid the use of arterial streets within residential neighborhoods. Where busy roadways already pass through neighborhoods, implement traffic calming measures.
- Ensure local streets are no more than adequate for automobiles and emergency and service vehicles, as a means to provide for travel and parking without creating the conditions that encourage excessive speed. This configuration will also allow street trees to form an overhanging canopy.

**Maintain/Reestablish Alleys**
- Make use of alleys for overhead utilities (leaving the streets open for trees) and for access to off-street parking (relieving on-street parking).
- Reclaim alleys that have grown up with trees or been blocked by debris and/or fences. Integrate Transit into the Community
- Use transit stops as community focal points.
- Allow mixed uses around transit stops, so users can combine activities into one trip.
- Consider transit needs very early in the development process.

**Provide Linkages**
- Anticipate pedestrian travel routes to bus stops and other destinations and provide the appropriate pedestrian facilities.
- Ensure that persons with disabilities can access the community through accessible transportation options.
- Ensure pedestrian routes are easily recognized through unified pavement textures, trees, signs, and street furniture.

**Ensure the Safety of All Users**
- Without compromising automobile safety, design local streets with minimum widths, turning radii, and design speeds as a means to ensure pedestrian access and safety.
- Design intersections with minimum widths, both to slow traffic and to reduce pedestrian crossing distances.

**Evaluating Development/Redevelopment Plans**
There is no single means to provide an attractive, well functioning community. Instead, the guidelines noted above are considered together and deliberate steps taken during the development/redevelopment process to achieve results that meet the City’s needs. This process is not limited to residential areas, but should be used when considering development adjacent to intact neighborhoods. The integrity of cohesive residential areas must be protected from incompatible uses, disruptive impacts such as noise, light, and traffic, and from the unmitigated loss of trees and open space.
Housing

During the past several decades, the Fredericksburg area has experienced rapid housing development to meet the needs of a growing population. The predominant type of construction has been townhouses and apartments, but the City has also seen its share of new single-family detached housing. Much of this growth is a direct result of the area’s physical links to the Northern Virginia/Washington D.C. metropolitan area and its strong economy related to supporting government functions. Since Fredericksburg is within commuting distance of this massive employment center, the anticipated demand for new housing is in the townhouse and condominium market. Interestingly, condos and townhouses are key elements of mixed uses, which characterize Fredericksburg’s historic development.

Historically, downtown Fredericksburg had residential units above commercial storefronts. Many of these units have been brought back into use and other downtown residential development is being built. Suburban development had deliberately moved away from mixed uses, but this trend proved to be economically unsustainable and there is a renewed appreciation of greater densities and mixed uses. Increased density, within a high-quality urban setting, provides a residential retail base and also promotes social interaction, which leads to a place becoming a community. A strong urban design is key, however, because increased density, in and of itself, is not sufficient to produce a sense of place. As has been noted above, good urban design is the critical component for creating attractive/desirable neighborhoods.

Projected professional job growth for the City and the region is anticipated to maintain the market demand for townhouses and condos. Unfortunately, housing costs will continue to rise as well, which is a welcome tax base, but a challenge for being able to ensure that all citizens will be able to find a decent place to live within their means.

Impact of University of Mary Washington

The University of Mary Washington has developed new housing for its student population. Residential capacity is a total of 2,786 beds, 1,826 of which are on campus. Off-campus, 342 beds are available in an apartment complex on William Street and another 618 students are housed in apartments that are part of a mixed-use development called Eagle Village. There are slightly more than 1,700 students who commute, but only about 500 students list Fredericksburg as their place of residence. There are no records to indicate if these students live with family or find rental units near the campus. Another 250 students (approximately) do not list an address, but are very likely to live in rental units near campus. The City and the University recognize that neighborhoods around the campus have a high percentage of rental properties and are working together to reduce any adverse impacts to the host neighborhoods.

Inventory

Completion of Interstate-95, in the early 1960s, opened up the City and surrounding counties to considerable residential growth. Beginning in the 1970s, there was a decided increase in multi-family dwelling units and the City experienced a shift toward a renter-oriented household population. Table 7-1 shows the trend, although it should be noted that the category for multi-family units includes everything from duplexes to apartments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 7-1</th>
<th>TYPES OF UNITS IN FREDERICKSBURG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CENSUS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>4,571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>6,339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>8,063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>8,888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>10,603</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

U.S. Census Bureau (Note: The identical numbers for 2000 and 2010 are correct.)

By 2000, the mix of housing types had become heavily multi-family. Shifting the emphasis toward single-family development arrested this trend and the City’s single-family detached houses held steady at 41 percent of its housing stock in 2010. This figure had increased to 42 percent in 2014 and the American Community Survey indicates that 86.8 percent of such housing in Fredericksburg is owner-occupied. Single-family detached housing, however, also represents the most expensive housing option. The demand for rental units has not diminished and rents have increased significantly.

A 2014 report by the National Low Income Housing Coalition (Out of Reach) illustrates the cost burden of the City’s housing to a lower income population. A minimum wage earner, for example, needs to work 115 hours per week to afford to buy a two-bedroom apartment. Alternatively, that unit would be affordable to 2.9 minimum wage workers working 40 hours per week. For renters, a two-bedroom apartment in Fredericksburg would require 3.9 full-time jobs to be affordable.
One of the trends in Fredericksburg housing over the past 15 years has been the increase in condominium units. Although apartments and condominiums can look similar, condominiums are sold to an owner and they are typically built to a higher standard, due to the differences between the rental and ownership markets. The incidence of new single-family detached homes is going to diminish in the future because there are very few tracts of land available for such development. Instead, the number of multi-family units is going to increase within this urban setting, with condominiums likely to increase the homeownership rate.

**Homeownership**

Evaluating homeownership levels involves looking at both economic as well as demographic trends. Comparative census data reveals a startling increase in the City’s housing values. During the period 1980 to 1990, local housing more than doubled in value, from an average of $48,700 to $104,900. These figures represent an increase of 115 percent, when the statewide average increase was 89 percent. The 2000 census showed the average price of a house in Fredericksburg to be more than $134,500. The 2010 census revealed that this average value had jumped to $333,000, which reflects a change of an astonishing 148 percent. The national housing crisis of 2008 had only a minimal impact on local real estate values, reflecting the substantial influence of Washington D.C./Northern Virginia on the local market.

Demographic data shows a higher number of low-income persons in urban centers than are found in suburban jurisdictions. These statistics translate to higher rates of homeownership in the suburban counties. Homeownership levels in Spotsylvania and Stafford Counties, for instance, are 78.0 and 78.3 percent, respectively. These percentages are slightly more than double the rate of homeownership in Fredericksburg (see Table 7-2), but a straight comparison is neither realistic nor useful. Cities simply have a more diverse population than suburbs. The City has taken several steps to increase its homeownership, through community development programs and attention to neighborhood design that attracts owners. This effort has been reasonably successful in stabilizing the percentage of homeowners in the City. Fredericksburg continues to actively evaluate other ways to increase homeownership rates.

**Table 7-2 Homeownership in Fredericksburg**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Census</th>
<th>Ownership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>50.9 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>40.9 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>37.3 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>35.6 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>37.6 percent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While a comparison with similar sized cities shows that Fredericksburg has a lower homeownership rate than many other places, some additional factors need to be considered. The barriers to homeownership relate to the City’s median income compared to median home values. For example, Fredericksburg’s median income, at $45,951, is comparable to Charlottesville ($44,535) and Winchester ($45,959). Fredericksburg’s median home value, however, is at $333,000, while those other two jurisdictions are lower (Charlottesville $286,400, Winchester $241,900) and therefore relatively more affordable.

Fredericksburg’s real estate prices reflect the City’s proximity to the housing market in Northern Virginia, which is an enormous external factor. Still, Fredericksburg’s homeownership rate, at 37.6 percent, is not much lower than Charlottesville’s, at 40.8 percent. Winchester is at 49.5 percent, though. As noted above, the City has managed to increase its homeownership rate over the past decade and ongoing townhouse and condominium development should help to increase this rate in the future.

**Special Needs**

According to the 2010 Census, there are 2,447 persons in Fredericksburg who are considered to have a disability. This number is 9.9 percent of the City’s overall population and does not include any persons who are institutionalized. Many special needs persons live with families, but a large number live in the community independently, which often requires modifications and adaptations to their existing housing units. The City has a community development program that helps to eliminate architectural barriers and other organizations do similar work.

The City encourages development of a variety of housing. Some developments are reserved for eligible low-income families and individuals. The Uniform Statewide Building Code requires that newer multi-family housing include a percentage of units that can be adapted to persons with disabilities. These units are also typically located on the first level of residential complexes.
HOUSING CONDITIONS

Slightly more than 15 percent of Fredericksburg’s houses were built prior to 1940. Twenty-nine percent were constructed prior to 1960. The age of a building is not typically a determinant of condition, however. The City has entire neighborhoods of very early homes that are exceptionally well-maintained, while some newer neighborhoods exhibit significant signs of wear. The City has actively enforced the Virginia Maintenance Code, which is part of the Uniform Statewide Building Code, as a way to monitor building conditions. A rental property inspection program also discovered large numbers of residential units that were substandard, but this program was discontinued due to budget constraints.

Fredericksburg has long recognized the interrelationship of both human and physical resources in developing strong, livable communities. Established neighborhoods benefit from a solid base of homeowners, but low income residents who occupy older homes often lack the means to adequately maintain them. Fredericksburg is a Community Development Block Grant entitlement community, which brings in funds from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. Using these funds, the City provides several programs that relate to neighborhood conservation. Numerous houses occupied by lower income owners have been maintained through timely repairs to roofing, plumbing, and electrical systems (arresting water damage and addressing safety). A homeowner assistance program also helps low income homebuyers to acquire a house.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The importance of stable housing cannot be overstated, but the need for affordable housing surpasses its availability. A safe, decent home is a basic need for human dignity. In addition, a child needs proper housing for a fair start in life. The concept of affordable housing looms large for localities trying to meet the needs of a diverse population, but defining such a term has been problematic. In 1989, the City of Fredericksburg adopted the following affordable housing policy that recognizes the full range of the community’s social and demographic conditions:

- All persons who live and/or work within the City of Fredericksburg should have the opportunity to rent or purchase safe, decent housing within their means.

The City has consistently allowed a wide range of housing types to be developed. In addition to neighborhoods of single family homes, many apartment complexes serve a wide range of economic levels. There have been concerns that too much multi-family housing negatively impacts the City’s homeownership rate, especially when Fredericksburg is compared to the neighboring counties of Stafford and Spotsylvania. Suburban counties, however, will always have higher homeownership levels because of the nature of their dispersed development patterns. Cities are more compact, which allows a wider range of residents to more readily gain access to jobs and local services without having to own an automobile (or their own home).

The City’s affordable housing policy has emphasized and encouraged a range of housing to be developed and has also resulted in specific programs to help keep housing affordable. One program provides tax relief to qualifying elderly persons. Other programs provide emergency home repairs to lower income homeowners, which helps to keep homeowners in their homes and as well as maintain the City’s affordable housing stock. The City also seeks to prevent persons with housing from becoming homeless.

Research indicates there are two major subgroups of homeless persons. The first is a relatively small group of unaccompanied individuals with disabling conditions, such as a mental disorder and/or substance abuse problems. They experience a long-term homelessness because of an inability to adapt to employment or to maintaining a household. The second group of homeless persons is much larger and consists of families as well as individuals who do not have a disabling condition and are typically employed, but who experience short-term or intermittent periods of homelessness because their permanent housing situation is precarious.

Churches, non-profit organizations, and the City government have partnered for decades to address homeless needs. An evolving understanding of the two types of homelessness has helped to focus these efforts considerably. For the larger, non-chronically homeless, population, housing affordable to their income level is the critical need. For the chronically homeless, however, recent research has begun to make evident that the most cost-effective way to actually reduce homelessness is to get a homeless person into a residential unit appropriate to that person’s needs, as quickly as possible. There will always be a need for emergency shelters and programs to train people in life skills, but rapid re-housing has been shown to cost less than the monetary impacts of leaving persons unsheltered. The success of this concept has made it the focus of available federal funds.
GOALS FOR RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOODS AND HOUSING

GOAL 1: NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER
Preserve the character of the City’s neighborhoods, by respecting and maintaining their functional design (sidewalks, alleys, street trees, etc.).

GOAL 2: NEIGHBORHOOD QUALITY
Enhance the quality of the City’s residential areas, to promote livability and a sense of community. Livability is defined as safe and walkable, with a variety of housing choices and ready access (walking, biking, transit, automobile) to work, shopping, and services.

GOAL 3: DISTINCT AND ATTRACTIVE NEIGHBORHOODS
Ensure the residential areas of the City continue to comprise a collection of distinct and attractive neighborhoods, each possessing a sense of place, history, and shared identity.

GOAL 4: ADEQUATE PUBLIC SERVICES AND FACILITIES
Ensure that residential neighborhoods are adequately served with efficient and multi-modal transportation, available parking, street trees, and public services.

GOAL 5: ENHANCED CONNECTIONS
Support inclusive neighborhoods for the elderly and persons with disabilities, through multi-modal transportation that enhances connections between affordable and accessible housing, places of employment, other neighborhoods, and services.

GOAL 6: COMPATIBLE DESIGN AND FUNCTIONALITY
Ensure that development and redevelopment is visually compatible with the overall character of the City as well as functional for all citizens, with visit-ability standards that ensure a basic level of access to all new housing, such as no-step entryways, wide hallways, and other features that allow homes to be adapted to persons with disabilities.

GOAL 7: AFFORDABLE HOUSING
All persons who live and work in Fredericksburg should have the opportunity to rent or purchase safe, decent, and accessible housing within their means.

GOAL 8: VARIETY OF HOUSING
Provide a variety of housing opportunities throughout the City that respect the character of the community.

GOAL 9: HOMEOWNERSHIP
Encourage homeownership opportunities and seek to achieve a homeownership rate within the City of at least 40 percent.

GOAL 10: HOUSING MAINTENANCE AND UPKEEP
Maintain and protect the City’s housing stock, through proper enforcement of state and local codes, to ensure an adequate supply of housing that is safe and healthy.
Policies for Residential Neighborhoods and Housing

Fredericksburg has adopted its housing and neighborhood policies to enhance a livable community for all citizens.

1. Respect the integrity and the character of the City’s neighborhoods.
2. Control and manage on-street parking, as needed, in residential neighborhoods near the University of Mary Washington, and monitor for effectiveness.
3. Implement traffic calming measures in neighborhoods where cut-through traffic endangers resident safety.
4. Protect existing and re-establish missing tree cover in residential neighborhoods.
5. Establish and maintain connections between neighborhoods and the overall community, through multiple modes of transportation.
6. Allow for greater housing density when creating or redeveloping mixed-use neighborhoods.
7. Incorporate the concept of complete streets (travel ways for automobiles, pedestrians, and cyclists, with attractive tree cover) in new residential neighborhoods.
8. Increase homeownership opportunities while also ensuring the City achieves an appropriate mix of housing choices (single-family homes, townhouses, loft apartments, accessory apartments, etc.).
9. Maintain the supply of affordable housing through appropriate community development programs that rehabilitate existing owner-occupied housing and improve the physical quality of housing and neighborhoods.
10. Ensure residential rental properties are properly maintained in a condition that is safe and sanitary, in accord with state and local regulations.
11. Eliminate vacant housing blight through aggressive property maintenance programs.
12. Provide options for citizens to age in place, through senior housing programs that help adapt houses to developing needs.
13. Ensure that persons with disabilities are able to find housing that is accessible and where they can obtain housing support, if needed.
14. Do not allow gated communities within the City limits.
15. Encourage infill development that is compatible with established neighborhoods, in terms of scale and massing.
LONG-TERM GOALS FOR ITS RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOODS:

1. Continue to evaluate infill regulations to ensure that additions and new construction do not adversely impact the character of existing neighborhoods.

2. Monitor neighborhood parking needs and develop appropriate on-street restrictions, as needed. Monitor existing restricted areas to ensure effectiveness.

3. Ensure neighborhood infrastructure needs continue to be met through the Capital Improvement Program.

4. Study the condition of all existing alleys in residential neighborhoods to determine how they can be re-opened and/or reestablished to enhance the maintenance, service, and parking needs of residential units.

5. Continue to implement the City’s Consolidated Plan for Community Development Programs.

6. Reestablish the pro-active rental property maintenance program to improve conditions in City neighborhoods and to address problems that create unsafe and unsanitary conditions for renters as well as result in neighborhood degradation.

7. Continue to work with the University of Mary Washington to address student/resident issues in neighborhoods surrounding the University.

8. Actively pursue initiatives to develop housing opportunities for senior citizens and persons with disabilities.

9. Ensure new development adjacent to established neighborhoods is properly buffered.
CHAPTER 8: HISTORIC PRESERVATION

BACKGROUND

Fredericksburg is characterized by a rich concentration of historic buildings, dating from 1737 to the modern day. The Virginia House of Burgesses established the town in 1728 and its historic downtown represents nearly three centuries of human occupation. The City established its Historic District and adopted its first historic preservation ordinance in 1968. An appointed Architectural Review Board administers the necessary review process within that Historic District, to help preserve the City’s historic resources as well as manage the changes inherent to an active and growing community.

Downtown Fredericksburg is the community’s government center, its central business district, a residential neighborhood, a center for local arts and culture, and a venue for entertainment. Downtown is also the focus of the City’s history, with hundreds of historic buildings in active use as homes and businesses. The proximity of the Rappahannock River also reflects the relationship between the built environment and a natural resource that once powered local industries and remains a source of drinking water.

There are also a great many resources outside of the downtown Historic District. Within the City limits, there are a variety of historic mansions, riverfront industrial buildings and sites, and battlefield terrain. Outside the City limits, but still on City-owned land, are aboriginal sites, additional mill sites, Civil War trenches, navigational canals, and remnant of dams.

FIGURE 40  307 AMELIA STREET UNDER RESTORATION


**Fredericksburg’s Historic Character**

**Historic Development**

The first successful English settlement in the New World occurred at Jamestown, in 1607. The next year, Captain John Smith explored the Chesapeake Bay and worked his vessel up to the falls of the Rappahannock River. This farthest reach of navigable river, where Fredericksburg would one day be built, was as far west as Smith would penetrate. His contact with the Native American inhabitants proved hostile and the explorers withdrew. Europeans would not return until conflicts with Native Americans in the Tidewater region had forcefully cleared the way.

When the Virginia Colony finally began to grow beyond the Tidewater, it established trade centers at the falls of major waterways. Fall line settlements included Petersburg on the Appomattox River, Richmond on the James River, Fredericksburg on the Rappahannock River, and Alexandria on the Potomac. River-powered mills initially served agricultural needs, but soon drove manufacturing. Some of these industries provided arms and equipment to George Washington’s army during the American Revolution.

Following independence from Britain, the Virginia Piedmont’s agricultural base grew and the discovery of gold drew the attention of miners. During the first half of the nineteenth century, various interests attempted to construct canals, turnpikes, and railroads as critical transportation links to the west, but state support proved inadequate and most of these improvements remained marginal efforts.

The region became a battleground during the Civil War. Railroads constructed just a few years earlier, on a north/south axis, effectively linked the two warring capitals of Washington D.C. and Richmond. The iron rails provided the means to field and sustain enormous armies and Union and Confederate forces subsequently fought at Fredericksburg (1862), Chancellorsville (1863), and the Wilderness and Spotsylvania Court House (1864). The end of slavery also wrecked the local economy as tens of thousands of enslaved persons left the area to seek new lives as free men and women.

Recovery from the Civil War took decades. Gold mining in Virginia had been significant before the war, but had also been very labor intensive because the gold had to be extracted from quartz. When the California strikes occurred in 1849, the mining companies had moved on to an easier extraction of this noble metal in the West. Tobacco farming continued to give way to wheat and produce and a growing seafood industry survived, but it was the flow of the Rappahannock River that revived milling and manufacturing operations. Still, the pace of recovery proved exceedingly slow.

The river-oriented industrial pattern began to change in the early twentieth century. Improved roads removed an almost exclusive reliance on railways and river shipping. Electrical power, even when water-generated, reduced the need to locate actual industries along waterways, where they were susceptible to flood damage. As north-south highways established links to other economic regions, only Richmond retained its east-west orientation between Virginia’s interior reaches and its primary seaport in the Norfolk area.

Changing political conditions also resulted in a permanent military presence in the region. The Marine Corps established a training base at Quantico during World War I. The U.S. Army set up Camp A.P. Hill during World War II. In 1918, the U.S. Navy established its weapons proving ground at Dahlgren, which is now called the Naval Surface Warfare Center. There are additional military facilities beyond this region, in and around Norfolk and Washington D.C. and their growth during the Cold War dramatically changed the region’s economy and demographics.

**Historic Character**

The significance and value of historic buildings and sites is found in people’s response to them. History is not contained in just places, in and of themselves, but rather in their power to evoke reactions such as an appreciation of traditional craftsmanship, a delight in architectural innovation, or an interest in historic persons and events. Yet what a community finds important is always changing.

Fredericksburg is clearly a place that has developed over time. Its buildings and architecture stretch across nearly three centuries, yet it remains a contemporary commercial center and a vibrant residential area. It is both continuity and change that defines the community. There are buildings that date to the mid-eighteenth century adjacent to structures constructed in the twenty first century. The historic integrity of these various resources is important, but what the historic setting exhibits more than anything else is resilience. Preservation of historic resources within the context of an active, living community is what makes Fredericksburg attractive, both as a place to live as well as to do business. The dynamic of continuity and change is also a challenge that requires preservation policies that protect local resources while allowing new development.
LEGAL BASIS OF PRESERVATION

FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL RULES
The U.S. government involves itself in preservation through the 1966 National Historic Preservation Act. This statute makes historic preservation an integral part of federal undertakings. The regulation of land use, however, is a state function and such things as zoning, building codes, and historic preservation occur well beyond any federal authority.

Virginia’s enabling legislation for historic preservation is quite brief, but provides the basis for localities to protect their historic assets. The statute provides for establishment of historic districts, a related review process, and an avenue for appeals. In describing the review process, the State Code specifies that local review is to ensure that development will be “architecturally compatible” with the designated historic district’s landmarks, buildings, and structures.

A few things deteriorate faster than vacant buildings so historic preservation policies seek to keep historic buildings in active use. Fredericksburg’s preservation ordinance requires its review board to refer to The Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for the Rehabilitation of historic properties, which were developed to help adapt historic buildings to contemporary uses, while protecting their significant features. These rehabilitation standards were designed to protect the historic character of such buildings, accommodating changes within clear parameters so they can be reused for their original purpose (such as a home) or adapted to a new use if the historic use is no longer realistic (such as a mill or a stable).

MANAGING CHANGE
Since the key to preservation is for buildings to remain in active use, the Fredericksburg review board works with property owners who seek a viable use of their property, whether residential, commercial, or otherwise. The City has recognized that the historic parts of the City remain attractive as well as active because they have been adapted to meet changing needs (such as plumbing and air conditioning). Old buildings not adapted to contemporary uses end up abandoned as economically unfeasible. Revitalization also entails new construction where buildings lost to time or neglect have left gaps in the streetscape. Historic preservation does not seek to encapsulate an image but rather recognizes the dynamic of human activity. The City’s challenge is to ensure historic buildings can be used and maintained and that new development occurs without compromising its historic setting. The City’s historic character is a perceivable and tangible asset that merits recognition and protection.

FREDERICKSBURG’S STEWARDSHIP OF HISTORIC RESOURCES
The City regulates certain aspects of construction undertaken by private property owners within the established historic district. In addition, the City has developed incentives and other supports for preservation as well as engaged in identifying historic resources throughout the City. These various activities are described more fully below.

HISTORIC DISTRICT ZONING OVERLAY
The local historic district ordinance provides for the associated regulatory process that preserves Fredericksburg’s historic character, but the City also sought formal recognition of its historic downtown by the Virginia Historic Landmarks Commission. In 1971, the State Historic Landmarks Commission voted to place Fredericksburg’s Historic District on the Virginia Landmarks Register. In 1972, the Keeper of the National Register listed Fredericksburg’s Historic District on the National Register of Historic Places. Listing downtown Fredericksburg on the two registers allows property owners to seek state and federal historic preservation tax credits. The City expanded its locally designated historic district to include an industrial area, a school built around 1900 to serve African American students, and portions of a prominent Victorian neighborhood on Washington Avenue (see Map 8-1). These areas are potentially eligible for listing on the State and National Registers, but the necessary nomination documentation has not been developed.

PUBLIC OUTREACH
To better support the considerable efforts of private property owners, the City developed a Historic District Handbook. This publication has become extremely popular with local residents as well as investors. It contains an overview of the City’s history, an explanation of how a historic zoning overlay functions, clear guidance for preservation of existing buildings, criteria for new construction within the Historic District, and appendices on architectural terms, architectural styles, and more. In addition, a related brochure provides a ready reference on Historic District procedures as well as identification of city offices where help is available.
MAP 9  **Fredericksburg’s Historic District Zoning Overlays**

MAP 10  **Potential National Register Historic District Expansion Area**
CERTIFIED LOCAL GOVERNMENT PROGRAM
The Federal government’s Certified Local Government program was meant to establish a standard for local government historic district administration that would reflect federal and state preservation standards. Fredericksburg meets all applicable criteria and has been designated a Certified Local Government since 2004. There are significant differences between federal and state programs and local government regulation, but the intent of the CLG program is to enhance communication by standardizing the discussion that is preservation.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION INCENTIVES
Most historic properties in Fredericksburg are privately owned and the City has developed several programs to help with their maintenance. The Commissioner of Revenue, for instance, has a property tax abatement program that phases in the increased property taxes that result when a property is improved. The City also has a Property Maintenance Code Official to ensure that properties are not allowed to deteriorate from neglect. A related Rental Property Maintenance Program had been very successful in helping to ensure these types of properties were properly maintained. For citizens who do not have the means to repair older homes, the City provides housing rehabilitation and emergency repair programs, using federal funds (Community Development Block Grant) to ensure those houses remain safe and sanitary.

HISTORIC BUILDINGS INVENTORY
The City has partnered with the Virginia Department of Historic Resources to survey all buildings within the Fredericksburg Historic District as well as buildings within a potential expansion area for the District. This process began in 2006 and progressed in three phases through 2010. The total number of buildings surveyed was 1,497 and this data is being used to update the Historic District listing on the National Register of Historic Places. The initial Nomination for this District was 1971, which means that only properties that were built in 1921 or earlier are eligible for state and federal preservation tax credits. Updating the Federal listing in 2015 will expand the eligibility for state and federal tax credits to buildings constructed in 1965 or earlier. The local preservation zoning overlay is separate from this Federal designation.

The City Council has also directed staff to nominate the Historic District expansion area to the State and National Registers, but not place those areas within the local zoning overlay. This step will allow property owners to benefit from historic preservation tax credits, if they desire, but will not bring them under the purview of the local Architectural Review Board. Placing properties within the Historic District overlay is typically initiated by the affected neighborhoods. The Historic District and the potential expansion area are shown on Map 9 and on Map 10.

CITY-OWNED HISTORIC PROPERTIES
The City owns an impressive collection of buildings. These properties are maintained according to preservation standards, while providing for continued public use. In the downtown area, the City maintains City Hall, the Renwick Court House, the City Visitor Center, and the Old Stone Warehouse. In other parts of the City, designated departments maintain a stone dwelling, selected cemeteries, and the Rappahannock Canal. The City also protects archaeological sites within municipal parks and has also routinely engaged in archaeological investigations during construction of public buildings. The City seeks to serve as a model for rehabilitating historic buildings and construction of new buildings that are compatible with their historic surroundings.

BATTLEFIELDS
Fredericksburg is intimately associated with the Civil War and has developed a close working relationship with the Fredericksburg and Spotsylvania National Military Park. The City and the Park have readily accommodated one another, when feasible. As an example, the City relinquished its right of access to a portion of Sunken Road, so the National Park could restore that scene to its 1862/63 appearance, as much as possible. The City has a downtown Civil War walking tour that links the urban battlefield within the Fredericksburg Historic District with the National Park’s visitor center at Sunken Road. The Park has allowed the City to construct a portion of a bicycle-foot trail on Federal lands, recognizing that the new trail will open up opportunities for interpretation in a previously obscure part of the battlefield along the Virginia Central Railway and in the Hazel Run valley.

The City partnered with the Central Virginia Battlefields Trust and the Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation to acquire 11 acres of battlefield land along Smith Run, near Cowan Boulevard. This parcel has since been transferred to the City’s custody. When Gateway Boulevard is extended from State Route 3 to Cowan Boulevard, this property will be accessible to vehicles and will be improved as a City park. A battlefield trail has already been established along Smith Run, through wooded land under easement. Near Hugh Mercer School, the City preserved another five acres of battlefield land as mitigation for construction of a regional stormwater pond. Other preserved sites in City ownership include Confederate earthworks and a large winter encampment.
**BATTLEFIELD LINES OF SIGHT**
Fredericksburg has a distinctive skyline, established during a period of ambitious construction during the 1840s and 50s. The iconic view includes the steeples of St. George’s Church (1849) and Fredericksburg Baptist Church (1855) as well as the cupola of the Fredericksburg Court House (1852). The many photographs and sketches that came out of the Civil War established this skyline as one of Fredericksburg’s character defining features and there are three overlooks of this historic vista within the Fredericksburg and Spotsylvania National Military Park – at Chatham, Willis Hill, and Lee’s Hill.

When reviewing development/redevelopment within these battlefield vistas, the City seeks to determine if any new features will extend above the horizon within the viewsheds. A new building that would remain below visible tree lines and buildings would not be considered intrusive, but a new building that would break the horizon would need to be addressed to ensure it would not visually compromise an identified historic view. The National Park Service has the challenge of establishing vistas that give a feel of the 1860s landscape, which is the Park’s period of significance. Fredericksburg’s period of significance, however, did not end with the Civil War and ongoing construction is part of the City’s history. As a consequence, the policy to evaluate lines-of-sight does not seek to avoid anything new being visible. Essentially everything is visible. Instead, the policy is to avoid visual intrusions into the City’s skyline. The defined battlefield lines of sight are shown on Map 11.

**HISTORIC SITES ON THE CITY’S WATERSHED PROPERTY**
Fredericksburg owns nearly 4,800 acres of riparian property within five upriver jurisdictions (Spotsylvania, Stafford, Culpeper, Orange, and Fauquier Counties). Fully 4,232 acres have been placed in a conservation easement and many historic sites on this acreage have been carefully identified. There are still remnants of Native American settlements there, as well as sturdy canal locks, military entrenchments, gold mines, foundations of industrial mills, road traces, and more. The City presented this extensive mix of historic sites in a publication called Historic Resources Along the Rappahannock and Rapidan Rivers.

Most of this upriver acreage is relatively inaccessible by land, but canoeing and other river activities are feasible through various boat ramps. The City permits low-intensity recreation, but does not allow the integrity of the natural and historic resources to be compromised. Some areas could be integrated into a tourism program where canoes or other craft are the vehicle of access. In addition, some sites have the potential to yield significant information, through archaeological investigation. City policy is to tread lightly in natural areas and leave its riparian property unencumbered by signs and wayside panels.
MAP 11  BATTLEFIELD LINES OF SIGHT
Preservation Activities by Private Organizations

Washington Heritage Museums
In 1890, the Association for the Preservation of Virginia Antiquities (APVA) acquired the Mary Washington House and preserved it as a visitor attraction. The local Mary Washington Branch of the APVA assumed responsibility for the management and care of that house and also took on three other buildings that the APVA acquired over the years. These additional properties include the Rising Sun Tavern, the Hugh Mercer Apothecary Shop, and St. James House. The Mary Washington Branch recently established a new organization, called Washington Heritage Museums, to take local ownership of these houses. The goal is to enhance oversight and management and to develop dynamic educational opportunities. In 2013, Preservation Virginia (formerly the APVA) transferred ownership of these four eighteenth century properties to the local Washington Heritage Museums, which oversees them with great care.

Historic Fredericksburg Foundation
This local preservation group organized itself in 1955 and assumed the mission to preserve, protect, and revitalize the distinctive historic environment of the Fredericksburg area. Its headquarters is in the carefully restored Lewis Store, a 1749 commercial building constructed by George Washington's brother-in-law and apparently the oldest extant retail building in America. This group accomplishes its mission through education, advocacy, and financial support.

Fredericksburg Area Museum and Cultural Center
In 1985, the Commonwealth of Virginia chartered the Fredericksburg Area Museum and Cultural Center, which had established itself in the historic town hall (built in 1824). This government building had recently been vacated when City offices were transferred to a newly renovated post office, two blocks away. The Museum's mission is to collect, interpret, and present the history and culture of the Fredericksburg area. In addition to renovating the old town hall, the FAMCC has seen to the rehabilitation of the historic Market Square for public use, and acquired a former bank building at the corner of William and Princess Anne Streets, where it has expanded its programs and displays.

The George Washington Foundation
The APVA was not the only local organization to acquire and protect local properties related to George Washington and his family. In 1922, a private group purchased Kenmore, which was the former residence of Washington's sister Betty and her husband Fielding Lewis. The Kenmore Association carefully restored this prominent brick dwelling and opened it to visitation. In 1996, this association aided in the acquisition and protection of Washington's boyhood home, called Ferry Farm. The organization also changed its name to George Washington's Fredericksburg Foundation, under which it acquired Augustine Washington's ironworks site, called Accokeek Furnace, in Stafford County. The foundation changed its name again in 2008, when it became The George Washington Foundation.

Central Virginia Battlefields Trust
Founded in 1996, this non-profit organization acquires battlefield land in fee simple and holds it in trust until it can be transferred to a government agency that will open it to the public, such as the National Park Service. This group has helped to acquire over 1,000 acres of land, 40 acres of which are within the Fredericksburg city limits. All of the newly protected acreage within the City has been transferred, either to the National Park or to the City government. The City-owned parcel consists of 11 acres adjacent to Cowan Boulevard that will be made into a park once access is provided from an extension of Gateway Boulevard. In addition, this group purchased the ante-bellum Howison House (Braehead) and resold it to a private owner, after placing preservation easements on the house and its surrounding 18 acres. The easement is held by the Virginia Department of Historic Resources.

Central Rappahannock Heritage Center
Founded in 1997, this non-profit organization provides a repository for historically valuable documents related to the central Rappahannock region. Their first-rate archival facility is in the Maury Center, which is open to the public and has been visited by researchers from all over the nation.

Fredericksburg VA Main Street, Inc.
The National Trust for Historic Preservation developed a program that helps localities to revitalize their traditional downtown through enhanced appearance, economic stability, community pride, and quality of life. Fredericksburg VA Main Street, Inc. has adopted the mission preserving and enhancing historic Fredericksburg’s diverse and vibrant downtown community by pooling resources, ideas, and experiences to make Fredericksburg a better place to live, work, and play. This organization's vision is that 300 years of history can be blended into contemporary life.
PRESERVATION PLANNING

In 2010, a citizens group identified a series of steps that could become a comprehensive historic preservation program in Fredericksburg. Their many recommendations fell into three broad goals, asking the City to pursue the following goals:

− Coordinate with the National Park Service
− Address Internal Procedures
− Develop an Archaeological Plan

COORDINATION WITH THE NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

Coordination between the City and the National Park Service is effective and mutually beneficial. This strong liaison has helped with the City’s trails development as well as with planning improvements to Lafayette Boulevard, near Lee Drive. In turn, the National Park has been able to restore the look of the historic Sunken Road and extend its holdings to Willis Street. The City’s support of the National Park’s mission is ongoing.

INTERNAL PROCEDURES

Coordination of public sector responsibilities has been productive and brought several departments together to better manage development and redevelopment in the Historic District. As an example, the Planning Division has worked out effective procedures with Building Services to better administer the historic district review process and to provide stronger enforcement. The City also provides a technical review committee for comprehensive review of proposed development and an interdepartmental team to engage in coordinated enforcement of various codes.

AN ARCHAEOLOGY PROGRAM

Fredericksburg’s archaeological resources are an integral part of the City’s history. Artifacts include such things as human remains, tools, bottles, dishes, nails, and more. The areas where such objects are found include graves, wells, privies, trash pits, ice houses, basements, and foundations. Archaeological sites, when properly excavated, can provide information that contributes to the general history of the community and to the particular histories of its inhabitants.

Since 1992, the City has funded archaeological digs during the course of large public projects. Extensive investigations were part of the construction related to the Virginia Railway Express parking areas, the municipal parking deck project, the downtown Marriott, and the new court house. The associated reports are on file with the City. Private developers also routinely engage in archaeology as part of their construction permitting process when Federal permits are required. The investigation and data recovery reports from these private efforts are filed with the Virginia Department of Historic Resources.

Associated with some of these archaeological investigations have been related educational components. Several display cases in the Marriott lobby have provided a venue to tell the story of that site as revealed by the archaeological record. The Fredericksburg Area Museum has developed displays of artifacts recovered from other private development sites. The new court house has a prominent display of artifacts recovered from that site.

Discussions related to developing a City archaeology program have focused on bringing public and private efforts together. Developers who send their archaeological results to the state agency have expressed a willingness to also provide the City with copies. The City would use this data, with its own material, for continued research when developing information for both visitors and residents. The City also has custody of a growing collection of artifacts and a large permanent location will need to be part of this ongoing discussion.

An archaeology planning group worked to develop an archaeology plan as a basis for developing an archaeological ordinance. The essential elements were to identify areas of the City where the ordinance would be applicable, as required by the State Code, and work out a process to protect them and recover information if they must be compromised. The Fredericksburg Area Museum, or some other educational entity, is also a critical component because an archaeological program without an educational aspect would have no discernible purpose. This work is ongoing.
HISTORIC PRESERVATION GOALS

GOAL 1: CITY CHARACTER
Protect and enhance the character of Fredericksburg’s historic area and city center as a means to preserve the community’s sense of place, to promote economic strength, and to ensure the City’s continued appeal to residents, businesses, and visitors.

GOAL 2: REDEVELOPMENT
Promote redevelopment of downtown properties in a manner that reflects the character of the City as a vibrant and growing community.

GOAL 3: HERITAGE RESOURCES
Continue to recognize, protect, and interpret significant architectural, historical, and archaeological resources that constitute the community’s heritage.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION POLICIES
Fredericksburg has adopted its preservation policies to enhance a dynamic and growing downtown community:

1. Maintain a comprehensive historic preservation program that helps to keep the City’s historic buildings intact and in use.
2. Continue to improve entryway corridors into the Historic District.
3. Continue to set a high standard for sensitive stewardship of City-owned properties.
4. When considering the adaptive reuse of any public building, ensure that the structure’s historic integrity will be preserved.
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**Historic Preservation Initiatives**

These initiatives outline key steps in implementing the City’s long term goals for historic preservation and an active downtown community:

1. Expand the National Register Historic District (using newly available documentation of the City’s historic resources) so property owners can seek state historic preservation tax credits.

2. With property owner support, consider expanding the historic overlay district or create new historic overlay districts to more fully protect the historic resources of the City.

3. Ensure that the City’s zoning ordinance encourages reuse of historic buildings, through varied uses, flexibility in applying zoning requirements, and attention to development patterns that preserve the City’s character.

4. Maintain local incentives, such as the property tax abatement program, to encourage investment in the City’s historic buildings. Continue to monitor for effectiveness.

5. Protect buildings from neglect and disrepair, by maintaining a strong property maintenance program.

6. Explore the creation of a fund to foster the preservation of significant historic structures that are slated for demolition or otherwise endangered.

7. Encourage development of educational experiences through tourism and school programs.

8. Coordinate internal government procedures to enhance the effectiveness of the City’s historic preservation program.

9. Protect and enhance the continued public use of the Renwick Court House complex.

10. Continue to work with the National Park Service, to protect the integrity of the National Military Park as well as to address infrastructure issues and traffic patterns.

11. Respect battlefield lines-of-sight when evaluating development/redevelopment in areas of the City visible from Lee’s Hill, Willis Hill, and Chatham.

12. Create a program that will identify and protect Fredericksburg’s archaeological resources.

13. Continue to interpret historic sites along City trails and on sites owned by the City, such as the Mary Washington monument and Smith Run battlefield.

14. Re-institute the brick sidewalk program and relocation of utility lines.

15. Work with neighborhoods that may not be appropriate for historic district designation, but whose character is worth preserving through overlay zoning or other conservation measures.
CHAPTER 9: INSTITUTIONAL AND JURISDICTIONAL PARTNERSHIPS

BACKGROUND

The municipal government has a great influence on life in Fredericksburg, but so do several other public and private institutions. The City does not have the means or the authority to meet the needs of everyone or everything within the jurisdiction and so works with other institutions, as appropriate. These other institutions have their own responsibilities and authority, but also share a commitment to the community in which they live and work. Useful partnerships have been forged to share information and coordinate various efforts.

University of Mary Washington is the largest employer in Fredericksburg and thus has a tremendous economic impact on the City. The student population, which exceeds 5,000 enrolled students, also have an impact. The City meets with University officials on a regular basis to review and discuss areas of mutual interest and concern.

Mary Washington Healthcare is the second largest employer in Fredericksburg and the associated Mary Washington Hospital is enormously important to the entire region. The City has consistently worked with and supported the hospital as it has expanded.

National Park Service administers the Fredericksburg and Spotsylvania National Military Park, which is comprised of more than 7,000 acres of preserved ground in the City and four counties. The Battlefield Park is a nationally significant resource that was established in 1927, under the control of the War Department. The National Park Service assumed responsibility in 1933. The City works with the Park staff on a regular basis, not only to ensure that ongoing development does not compromise the Park’s integrity, but to integrate recreational facilities, as feasible and appropriate to the battlefield visitor experience.

Upriver Watershed Property Easement Holders include the Virginia Outdoors Foundation, the Nature Conservancy, and the Virginia Board of Game and Inland Fisheries. The Department of Game and Inland Fisheries owns and maintains the boat landing at the City Dock. City staff routinely works with these organizations to ensure the easement properties are not compromised by encroachments or other intrusions. This liaison includes scheduled inspections of the easement properties, to monitor conditions, as well as evaluation of recreational impacts related to special events. In addition, the various easement holders assisted in developing watershed property management policies.

George Washington Regional Commission coordinates planning within the City and the Counties of Caroline, King George, Spotsylvania and Stafford to ensure a competitive regional economy, to reduce redundancies in government, and improve implementation of regional projects. There are 21 planning districts in Virginia and this area is designated as Planning District 16. The Planning District Commission also staffs the Fredericksburg Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (FAMPO), which engages in regional transportation planning.

Continuum of Care is a coalition of governments and service providers working to end homelessness within the community. It consists of facilities such as shelters as well as services that reach beyond temporary housing to address problems such as mental illness, alcoholism, and drug addiction. The emerging concept in providing this type of assistance is to get homeless persons into housing as rapidly as possible, with all applicable support and services to help them remain housed. This approach has been found to be measurably more cost effective than absorbing the costs of homeless shelters, homeless services, and homeless persons seeking medical care through hospital emergency rooms. A cohesive continuum of care is cost effective.
**Goals for Institutional and Jurisdictional Partnerships**

**Goal 1: Information Exchange**
Exchange information, coordinate services, and arrange for joint use of facilities between the City and its institutional partners.

**Goal 2: Regional Planning**
Work collaboratively and coordinate efforts with neighboring jurisdictions.

**Goal 3: New Partnerships**
Identify new institutional/jurisdictional partnerships, as needed to achieve regional goals.

**Policies for Institutional Jurisdictional Partnerships**

1. Maintain strong liaisons with the University of Mary Washington and Mary Washington Hospital, to share information, support each other’s initiatives, and to coordinate efforts.
2. Ensure that neighborhoods near the University are not adversely impacted by growing enrollment.
3. Continue to work with neighboring jurisdictions on regional planning and transportation, through the George Washington Regional Commission and the Fredericksburg Area Metropolitan Planning Organization.
4. Maintain regional partnerships that are actively reducing the incidence of homelessness in the community.

**Initiatives for Institutional and Jurisdictional Partnerships**

1. Continue to participate in regularly scheduled Town/Gown meetings.
2. Support the University of Mary Washington’s Small Business Development program.
3. Continue to work with the City’s riparian easement holders to protect the upriver watershed properties.
4. Continue to maintain a close liaison between the Planning Department and the National Park Service.
5. Continue to participate in all aspects of regional planning.
6. Identify specific projects and areas of aligned interests that may be developed in collaborative efforts.
7. Actively participate in the regional continuum of care process.
PART III: LAND USE

Fredericksburg’s land use plan translates adopted policies into the community’s desired development pattern. It establishes the City’s vision and expectations for how land will be used. Every parcel of land within the City carries a land use designation. This Plan defines the full set of land use categories and then maps them.

This Part III outlines the overall land use plan and identifies specific planning areas:

- Chapter 10: Land Use Plan
- Chapter 11: Planning Areas

BACKGROUND

Fredericksburg’s Land Use Plan reflects both existing land use patterns as well as the goals for future land use. It is the foundation for decision making when land is zoned for specific uses.

HISTORIC AND EXISTING LAND USE PATTERNS

Fredericksburg’s current land use patterns are a direct result of its changing transportation links. The older parts of the City, including the historic central business district, are oriented to the Rappahannock River and the railway. Later development became concentrated along major roadways. Construction of Interstate-95 linked the City firmly with the Northern Virginia- Washington D.C. area.

The City’s annexation pattern illustrates Fredericksburg’s response to the changing transportation routes, upon which any community depends. The original 1728 town expanded twice before the Civil War with a clear focus on the Rappahannock River. The post-war industries still relied on the river and the railway as connections to the larger economy, but new roads, beginning in the early twentieth century, began to alter the economic focus. U.S Route 1 initially passed through town, but newer highways deliberately bypassed urban areas. This new concept allowed through-traffic to flow better, but also drew commercial activity and housing construction away from the urban core. Annexations in 1951 and again in 1955 were efforts to gain control of intersections where economic development could become part of the City’s tax base. The last annexation occurred in 1984, when the City gained approximately 4.4 square miles from Spotsylvania County, including three full quadrants of the interchange at Interstate-95 and State Route 3 and a portion of the fourth quadrant.

FIGURE 44  DOWNTOWN FREDERICKSBURG’S TRADITIONAL STREET GRID
LAND USE CATEGORIES AND CLASSIFICATIONS

This Comprehensive Plan calls out seven general land use categories, such as residential, commercial, and so on. Within these general categories are 17 land use classifications, such as low-density residential, commercial-downtown, and so on. These categories and classifications are summarized below.

RESIDENTIAL

Low-Density Residential – Residential development at four units per acre is generally a conventional subdivision. Some parts of the City are zoned for two units per acre, but these districts are typically rezoned to a higher density so they can be developed in a manner more appropriate to an urban location. Where the land has historic resources and/or attractive natural features, the City encourages innovative layouts and clustering, to retain attractive open space and to protect sensitive lands.

Medium-Density Residential – New development that should be made consistent with existing neighborhood patterns may need to have greater densities than a conventional subdivision. Many infill and transition areas are more suitable to having eight units per acre, with the flexibility to be able to include a planned mix of single family-detached as well as single family– attached units.

High-Density Residential – Apartment development needs a density of 12 units per acre or more. Denser residential districts exist in several locations within the City, but no additional land is anticipated to be zoned for development that exceeds 12 units per acre. There is also a residential district for mobile homes, but there is only one such district in the City and no new mobile home districts will be allowed.

Planned Development-Residential – By definition, mixed-use development consists of activities that can function independently, but which benefit from proximity to one another. This flexible land use category is characterized by a combination of medium or high density residential development with a supporting commercial element. This approach can also be used where compatible design elements are desired, where open space preservation is feasible, and other related concepts are appropriate. Of particular interest if being able to plan the layout and construction so as to protect and incorporate watercourses and associated stream valleys, forest cover, scenic vistas, as well as preservation of historic resources.

Residential-Mobile Home – Mobile homes are no longer allowed in Fredericksburg, but a mobile home park still exists in the northeast quadrant of State Route 3 and the U.S. Route 1 Bypass. This quiet, well-kept neighborhood cannot be expanded.

COMMERCIAL

Commercial-General – The City has two general commercial categories. The category for shopping centers encourages development of grocery stores, personal service establishments, and similar operations that serve the local community. A category for commercial activity along highways is generally characterized by retail and wholesale activities, services, offices, and restaurants. The City discourages further strip retail development, however, and seeks to replace these two commercial categories with a more suitable planned development-mixed use category.

Commercial-Downtown – Downtown Fredericksburg has historically been a relatively dense urban setting that encouraged a variety of interrelated uses. This designation promotes continued harmonious development and redevelopment, with an emphasis on maintaining pedestrian circulation, the integrity of the street grid, and continuity with the historic character of the community.

Commercial-Transitional/Office – The areas between residential and commercial districts are transitional spaces. This Commercial-Transitional/Office category provides for limited retail uses and small scale offices, with appropriate landscaping and screening, to provide a transition between quiet residential areas and more intense commercial districts.

Planned Development-Commercial – This category is reserved for large scale development near major transportation routes. Planned Development-Commercial encourages a wide range of commercial retail and service uses oriented to serve a regional market. The City also encourages employment centers that combine office and professional business development within a landscaped, high quality setting.

Planned Development-Mixed Use – The Planned Development-Mixed Use category encourages office, retail, and residential uses, designed in a unified and cohesive manner. The intent is to promote development that has a pedestrian-scale, urban forms and amenities, and pedestrian links within the development as well to the larger community. Many areas of the City suitable for redevelopment would benefit from the substantial flexibility from conventional use districts, with their dimensional requirements. As noted above, the City seeks to replace the Commercial-Shopping Center and Commercial-Highway districts with this Planned Development-Mixed Use category that is more suitable to an urban environment. Specific regulations for such mixed use areas would establish a variety of levels of intensity, to reflect specific neighborhood characteristics and circumstances.
INDUSTRIAL
Industrial-Light Intensity – This category is designed to encourage research and development type uses in well-landscaped industrial park settings, with surfaced driveways and walks that are compatible with all types of adjacent uses. With this light intensity industrial category, the City seeks a broad range of clean industries operating under high performance standards.

Industrial-General – The general industrial category allows for manufacturing, wholesale and limited ancillary retail uses, warehousing, offices, and distribution facilities. These districts are located where they can be served by adequate transportation access.

INSTITUTIONAL
This land use category includes public and semi-public uses such as City-owned facilities, schools, and churches, as well as larger institutions such as the University of Mary Washington and federally administered battlefields. The City should establish a zoning district for these institutional uses, which account for a substantial portion of the jurisdiction’s land mass.

PLANNED DEVELOPMENT-MEDICAL CENTER
A medical center campus includes closely related medical offices, diagnostic laboratories, pharmaceutical centers, special patient care units, and associated housing units. The medical campus thus provides a convenient and efficient health care and delivery system for the City and the region.

PARKLAND
This category includes open space that is used or intended to be as recreational areas. Existing and proposed City parks are included in this category, as are the holdings of the National Park Service.

PRESERVATION
Land expected to remain essentially undeveloped has been designated under this general category, which acknowledges existing constraints and limitations of floodplains and certain Chesapeake Bay resource protection areas. Limited development may occur in certain areas, but with severe restrictions.

MAP 12  FREDERICKSBURG'S ANNEXATIONS
CHAPTER 10: LAND USE PLAN GENERAL GUIDE

OVERVIEW

LAND USE PLAN AND REVITALIZATION
This Comprehensive Plan designates 10 areas for small area plans, to more effectively evaluate specific conditions and to make clear recommendations for land use within the City of Fredericksburg. In this manner, the general land use principles described in this Plan can be translated into clear policies. Most of the City’s small areas are designated as revitalization areas as defined in Virginia Code 15.2-2303.4, as having:

- Significant structure age, which indicates that revitalization is necessary with structural improvement or replacement. A property may be well maintained in terms of cleanliness and security, however the physical elements of buildings (including, roofs, windows, doors, heating/ventilation/air conditioning facilities) have a functional life span and require periodic replacement.

- A low percentage of vacant residential parcels, which shows that most residential development will be in the form of redevelopment/revitalization. However, vacant commercial areas are typically adjacent to existing commercial projects and have a low-intensity suburban character. This would also indicate the potential for revitalization.

- Large surface parking areas on commercial land, which have revitalization opportunities for the evolution of a suburban pattern of development into a more urban, mixed-use pattern. Broad expanses of surface parking result in fragmented and inefficient development patterns that should be redeveloped so as to create complete communities that are walkable and robust.

In addition, these areas are served by mass transit, include mixed use development as an allowed land use, and are planned to allow for a commercial density of at least 3.0 Floor Area Ratio in a portion thereof.

AREA PLANNING
Full-scale small area plans look in detail at the neighborhood specific issues regarding land use, access and mobility, environmental and open space resources, historic resources, and evaluates the appropriateness for revitalization. These small area plans create a thorough understanding of land use patterns, transportation, and community services. These plans help to understand community networks both within these neighborhoods and their connectivity to the City as a whole. As the small area plans are completed, the Comprehensive Plan will be updated to reflect this progress.

The schedule for this planning process is as follows with adoption of completed plans to follow:

- 2017 Area 3 - Route 3 (adopted 10.24.2017) and Area 6 - Princess Anne Street/Route 1 (north)
- 2018 Area 7 - Downtown
- 2019 Area 1 - Celebrate Virginia/Central Park, Area 2 - Fall Hill Avenue, and Area 4 - Hospital/Cowan Boulevard
- 2020 Area 5 - University/Route 1 (central), Area 8 - Dixon St./Mayfield, Area 9 - Braehead/National Park, and Area 10 - Lafayette Boulevard/Route 1 (south)
Map 13 Planning Areas

Land Use
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Comprehensive Plan
Transects as Planning Tool

The small area plans use the concept of “Transects” in forming policy. Transects are a framework that represents the character of our physical environment. It is based upon an organizing tool used originally by ecologists to explain the material progression of habitats from the ocean to the mountains. Within the context of human settlement, Transects are a framework that identifies a range of habitats, from the most natural to the most urban.

These categories include standards that encourage diversity. The forms and uses found within these transects overlap reflecting the gradation of human communities. Transects integrate environmental and zoning methodologies, to support both social habitats and natural ones. Transects zones help to codify similarities in the built environment and direct more seamless transitions from one zone to another.

Each segment in the transect, lends itself to the creation of zoning categories. Transects are most useful for navigating the interconnectedness of use and form. The addition of form based planning elements to the Unified Development Ordinance, UDO, will, in combination with land use zoning districts, implement the Transect designations in Fredericksburg.

Transects in Fredericksburg

On the following pages, each Transect is identified by its specific traits of Character, Building Types, Frontages, Commercial Activity, Pedestrian Activity, Building Height, and type of Public Space, as well as the most appropriate Uses within each Transect Zone. These are the elements that are most responsible for the delivery of neighborhood character and move beyond the assumption that meeting the quantitative requirements of land use and zoning are enough to deliver a healthy human environment.

The Transect ideal is calibrated specifically to Fredericksburg’s small area plans. Each Transect is defined on the following pages. Specific details concerning appropriateness, transitions, and the gradation of form should always defer to the protection and support of the neighborhoods.

As of 2018, two neighborhoods have undergone intensive small area planning efforts. As planning continues, the remainder of the City will be added to the General Land Use Map with transect designations.

Descriptive and Prescriptive

The use of a Transect based land use designation is both descriptive of current development patterns and prescriptive of desired future development. Where appropriate, the Transect designation is protective of established neighborhoods with rules regarding form that preserve the character. This prevents change in development by describing and aligning with existing patterns. The Transect tool is also used to prescribe areas for desired future development and redevelopment. Transects are established to be permissive and incentivizing to this type of endeavor. Today, property within Fredericksburg is largely built, with a few notable exceptions. While describing these locally-specific Transects, the parameters are also predictive; they prescribe the size, type and character of future infill and redevelopment efforts that will occur through the process of revitalization within these areas.

How to Apply the Transects

The Transect Map is a depiction of the City divided into zones identified by their character, scale, and land use. Consulting this map is the “first stop” in evaluating the appropriateness of future projects. These transect designations will specifically bolster supplementary toolkits and regulations as it suits specific neighborhood revitalization opportunities. The small area plans also highlight key details of the transects form based design as it affects specific neighborhoods.

Over the next few years, the following chapter will be amended to include an in depth analysis for each of the 10 small areas within the City recognizing the opportunities for each and identifying existing historic resources, open space and environmental resources, and addressing issues relating to access and mobility.
TRANSECT ZONES

THE TRANSECT:
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### General Land Use Map Key

**Transects**
- T-1 - Preserved Open Space
- T-3e - Sub-Urban Edge
- T-3 - Sub-Urban
- T-4 - General Urban
- T-4m - Maker
- T-5c - Area Core
- T-5m - Maker
- T-5w - Area Core Workplace
- Civic

### Small Plan Areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area Description</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Celebrate Virginia/Central Park</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Fall Hill</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Plank Road/Route 3</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Hospital/Cowan Boulevard</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. University/Route 1 (central)</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Princess Anne Street/Route 1 (north)</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Downtown</td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Dixon Street/Mayfield</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Braehead/National Park</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Lafayette Boulevard/Route 1 (south)</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Form Based Code

#### Frontage Designations

- Neighborhood
- Secondary
- Node
- Primary
- Primary Corridor

---

**- - - - Proposed Streets**
# TRANSECT ZONES

## TRANSECT ZONES - by Building Type Matrix

The Transect tool below diagrams how the Transect is applied to residential housing types and commercial buildings.

The generalized zone definitions below describe their typical urban character, calibrated to the particular conditions of Fredericksburg: settlement pattern and density, residential makeup (form and type), thoroughfare types, and forms of open spaces. In addition, Civic Zones and T-1 (Natural) Zones are used to describe land use patterns, but are not included in this table, as they do not permit residential/commercial uses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transect Zone</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>T-3</strong></td>
<td>Sub-Urban Zone consists of primarily low-to-medium-density residential areas with some opportunity for semi-detached and supplementary commercial activity; corner stores or live/work homes. Planting is a combination of regular and naturalistic. Setbacks are moderate and regular. Blocks are regular shaped. Most streets have curbs and sidewalks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T-4</strong></td>
<td>General-Urban Zone consists of medium density in a vertical and horizontal mix of uses. May consist of a wide range of building types: detached, semi-detached, and attached houses, small apartment buildings, as well as mixed use buildings and commercial structures. Setbacks and landscaping are variable. A tighter network of streets with curbs and sidewalks define medium-sized blocks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T-4M</strong></td>
<td>General-Urban Maker Zone consists of medium density residential uses, including multi-family, mixed use, attached, multi-unit, and single family homes where appropriate, mixed with commercial and production spaces. Landscaping and setbacks focus on creating a walkable network of blocks with enhanced pedestrian facility concentrated in designated nodes of neighborhood activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T-5M</strong></td>
<td>Core-Maker Zone consists of a higher density diverse mix of uses including mixed use, multi family, commercial, and production spaces designed around the existing building fabric and infrastructure. Blocks and setbacks along the corridor are irregular with landscaping, building enclosures, and pedestrian enhancements concentrated within designated nodes. Third spaces throughout the area unify the district.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T-5c</strong></td>
<td>Core-Corridor Zone consists of higher density mixed uses to accommodate retail, offices, attached and multifamily housing, as well as typically auto-oriented commercial uses. Access and visibility are paramount to tenant success, but careful consideration must also be paid to the pedestrian environment. Blocks reflect an urban character with regular street trees and plazas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T-5w</strong></td>
<td>Core-Workplace Zone consists of a higher density office environment, with retail and/or service and a residential mix of uses. Access and visibility are paramount to tenant success, but careful consideration must also be paid to the pedestrian environment.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Stand alone structures containing one housing unit with front, side, and rear yards, generally fronting on a street.

Takes the form of a single family detached dwelling with front, rear, and side yards, generally fronting on a street or open space, 2-4 unit buildings, typified as in-law suites, duplexes, triplexes, and quadplexes and may have associated out-buildings with accessory dwelling units.

Townhomes and in line structures, each unit with its own entrance fronting on streets and open space generally with only front and rear yards with side yards on end units. and may have variation in design and form.

5 or more units in a single structure. Generally common entrance for all units. Usually fronts on a street or open space.

Typically offers some type of retail, office, or civic frontage with office, hotel, or residences above. Mixed use buildings have minimal side yards and higher requirements for forming a street wall.

Office, retail, or production functions.
THE TRANSECTS

T-1 PRESERVED OPEN SPACE TRANSECT ZONE
This T-1 Zone consists of open space and is focused on the protection of currently preserved or planned open space. Large scale changes of use are not intended or encouraged. Improvements are focused on enhancing the public access, enjoyment and utilization of these naturalistic spaces or to offer public services. This transect is often expressed through a public recreational open space and environmental (PROSE) zoning district.

CHARACTER  Natural environment, naturalistic plantings
BUILDING TYPE  Limited out-buildings permitted.
FRONTAGE  Varies.
COMMERCIAL ACTIVITY  None.
PEDESTRIAN ACTIVITY  Moderate.
BUILDING HEIGHT  1-3
PUBLIC SPACE  Parks, greenways, historic cemeteries.
**T-3e Sub-Urban Edge Transect Zone**

This T-3e Zone consists of single family homes. The T-3e Zone designation is focused on the protection of current neighborhood stability. Large scale changes are not intended or encouraged. Limited future infill and reconstruction is allowed, but only in like kind. Improvements are focused on enhancing connectivity to other zones and in ensuring appropriate - and compatible in scale - transitions to more intense zones. This transect is characterized as 2-4 units per acre with up to .3 commercial Floor Area Ratio. This transect is typically expressed through the R-2 and R-4 zoning districts.

**CHARACTER** Subdivisions; sub-urban residential communities.

**BUILDING TYPE** Single Family detached buildings with limited out-buildings permitted.

**FRONTAGE** Varies; typically generous setbacks and front yards.

**COMMERCIAL ACTIVITY** None.

**PEDESTRIAN ACTIVITY** Low to moderate.

**BUILDING HEIGHT** 1 - 2.5 story maximum.

**PUBLIC SPACE** Schoolyards, Parks and Greenways.

**USE** Residential Only.
**T-3 Sub-Urban Transect Zone**

This T-3 Zone currently consists of a mix of single family homes and townhouses, with a scattering of cluster homes compatible in scale to single family homes, and very limited ground floor commercial use. Infill and redevelopment opportunities are limited to the intended mix of types listed below. Improvements are focused on enhancing connectivity to other zones and in ensuring appropriate transitions to more intense zones. This transect is characterized as with up to 4-8 units per acre and up to .5 commercial Floor Area Ratio. This transect is often expressed through the R-4, R-8, and PD-R zoning districts.

**CHARACTER** Mixed house types in sub-urban neighborhoods with an emphasis on single family homes.

**BUILDING TYPE** Single-family detached, semi-detached, and attached homes and live/work units may also be appropriate if consistent with neighborhood patterns.

**FRONTAGE** Typically modest setbacks – often including front yards and occupied by porches.

**COMMERCIAL ACTIVITY** Minimal.

**PEDESTRIAN ACTIVITY** Moderate.

**BUILDING HEIGHT** 1-3 story maximum.

**PUBLIC SPACE** Schoolyards, Parks, Greens, Squares, Trails and Playgrounds

**USE** Predominantly Residential.

*Home occupation office, live/work retail where approved by underlying zone.*
**T-4 General-Urban Transect Zone**

T-4 zones exist with a horizontal mix of uses ranging from commercial property types, to attached and multi-family residential buildings. Mixed use developments are also appropriate in this zone. Improvements are focused on encouraging development, infill, and redevelopment in a sustainable, integrated, and walkable pattern. This transect is characterized as up to 8-16 units per acre with a commercial Floor Area Ratio of up to .5 to 1.0. This transect is often expressed through the R-8, R-12, PD-R, CT, CH, and PD-MU zoning districts.

**CHARACTER** Generous mix of uses at the ground level, mostly residential above and adjacent in an urban form.

**BUILDING TYPE** Commercial buildings, attached and multifamily residential buildings, and multi-story mixed-use buildings permitted.

**FRONTAGE** No setbacks required - buildings should shape public realm.

**COMMERCIAL ACTIVITY** Medium to High.

**PEDESTRIAN ACTIVITY** Medium to High

**BUILDING HEIGHT** 1-3 stories with 4 stories appropriate under special review; taller buildings transitioning to lower buildings at borders of the T-3e zone. Buildings immediately adjacent to T-3e zones should be of a compatible height to existing neighborhood structures.

**PUBLIC SPACE** Streets, Squares, Greens, and Plazas.
T-4M General-Urban Maker Transect Zone

T-4 maker zones are designed to foster the new creative and urban production economy by providing opportunities for individuals to grow both workplace and homestead designed around existing neighborhood heritage. These areas are encouraged to grow through infill and redevelopment in a sustainable, integrated, and walkable pattern. These areas contain a healthy mix of uses including residential forms of all scales as well as commercial and production buildings. These buildings, and the infrastructure necessary to support their redevelopment, are an integral part of the character of the neighborhood. Incentives for preserving the existing building stock and for creating affordable and workforce housing are encouraged. This may be achieved through a transfer of development rights program to be explored further within the small area plans. These areas are defined by corridors to facilitate industrial activity, nodes designed around pedestrian comfort, and third spaces to foster public activity. This transect is characterized as up to 8-16 units per acre with higher densities possible under special review and with a commercial Floor Area Ratio of 1.0 to 1.5. This transect is often expressed through the Creator Maker zoning district.

**CHARACTER** Development of varying forms to support creative uses, vibrant walkable nodes for pedestrian activity, and third spaces for public activity. Development is designed around existing historic fabric to set the form and supports the infrastructure and architecture necessary to facilitate maker uses.

**BUILDING TYPE** Residential buildings of varying forms as well as multi-story mixed-use and commercial buildings permitted along with production facilities with infrastructure to support maker uses. Reuse of existing building stock is encouraged at all opportunities.

**FRONTAGE** Buildings are encouraged to shape the public realm within designated nodes but may vary along corridors with specific building placement respecting sight lines to contributing buildings.

**COMMERCIAL ACTIVITY** High - Production and Sales

**PEDESTRIAN ACTIVITY** High

**BUILDING HEIGHT** 1-3 stories with 4 stories appropriate under special review; with appropriate transitions where areas meet single family detached neighborhoods.

**PUBLIC SPACE** Squares, greens, parks, and playgrounds.

**USE** Mixed residential, commercial, and production opportunities.
**T-5M Area Core Maker Transect Zone**

T-5 maker zones are designed to foster the new creative and urban production economy by providing opportunities for individuals to grow both workplace and homestead designed around existing neighborhood heritage. These areas are encouraged to grow through infill and redevelopment in a sustainable, integrated, and walkable pattern. These areas contain a healthy mix of uses including residential forms of all scales as well as commercial and production buildings. These buildings, and the infrastructure necessary to support their redevelopment, are an integral part of the character of the neighborhood. Incentives for preserving the existing building stock and for creating affordable and workforce housing are encouraged. This may be achieved through a transfer of development rights program to be explored further within the small area plans. These areas are defined by corridors to facilitate industrial activity, nodes designed around pedestrian comfort, and third spaces to foster public activity. This transect is characterized as up to 12-24 units per acre with higher densities possible under special review and with a commercial Floor Area Ratio of 1.0 to 3.0. This transect is often expressed through a Maker zoning district.

**CHARACTER** Development of varying forms to support creative uses, vibrant walkable nodes for pedestrian activity, and third spaces for public activity. Development is designed around existing historic fabric to set the form and supports the infrastructure and architecture necessary to facilitate maker uses.

**BUILDING TYPE** Residential buildings of varying forms as well as multi-story mixed-use and commercial buildings permitted along with production facilities with infrastructure to support maker uses. Reuse of existing building stock is encouraged at all opportunities.

**FRONTAGE** Buildings are encouraged to shape the public realm within designated nodes but may vary along corridors with specific building placement respecting sight lines to contributing buildings.

**COMMERCIAL ACTIVITY** High - Production and Sales.

**PEDESTRIAN ACTIVITY** High

**BUILDING HEIGHT** 1-4 stories with 5 possible under special review; with appropriate transitions where areas meet single family detached neighborhoods.

**PUBLIC SPACE** Courtyards, Plazas, Roof Gardens, and Squares

**USE** Mixed residential, commercial, and production opportunities.
**T-5c Area-Core Corridor Transect Zone**

This T-5c Zone currently includes typically auto-oriented commercial uses but is appropriate for redevelopment due to its major corridor access and the availability of mass transit. Redevelopment will create a sustainable and urban development pattern that may include upgraded commercial uses, higher density multi-family development and single family attached homes to buffer adjoining single-family neighborhoods, as appropriate. This evolution must include improvements to access and mobility, especially at corridors, appropriate transitions, and improvements to the entry sequences along arterials. Here, access and visibility are paramount to tenant success, but careful consideration must also be paid to the pedestrian environment. Properties in this zone are likely to remain in their current state in the near term with interim improvements encouraged. This transect is characterized as up to 12-20 units per acre with a commercial Floor Area Ratio of up to 1.0 to 3.0 as appropriate with adjoining land uses and within a redevelopment scenario. This transect is often expressed through the R-12, PD-R, PD-MU, and CH zoning districts.

**CHARACTER**  Vibrant, walkable and concentrated retail and commercial ground plane with potential for housing above creating a healthy mix of uses in an integrated urban form.

**BUILDING TYPE**  Mixed, single-use and multi-use buildings; commercial, retail and residential.

**FRONTAGE**  Varies.

**COMMERCIAL ACTIVITY**  High.

**BUILDING HEIGHT**  2-5 stories; with taller buildings transitioning to lower buildings at borders of the T-3e zone. Buildings immediately adjacent to T-3e zones should be of a compatible height to existing neighborhood structures.

**PUBLIC SPACE**  Streets, courtyards, plazas, and roof gardens.

**USE**  Mixed-use, commercial, and residential
**T-5w Area-Core Workplace Transect Zone**

T-5w transect areas are large parcels suitable for primarily commercial workplace uses with large scale development with a mix of uses, and focused, high density commercial activity. This transect is characterized as a commercial Floor Area Ratio of up to 1.0 to 3.0 and up to 12-30 units per acre. This transect is often expressed through the PD-C, PD-MC, and CH zoning districts.

**CHARACTER** Predominately commercial with some mixed use and residential opportunities. Strong expectation for cohesive character.

**BUILDING TYPE** Commercial, retail and residential with Mixed, single-use and multi-use buildings

**FRONTAGE** Varies.

**COMMERCIAL ACTIVITY** Workplace, with additional service and retail for direct support of tenant.

**BUILDING HEIGHT** 4-8 stories.

**PUBLIC SPACE** Parks, plazas, courtyards, and roof gardens.

**USE** Predominately commercial workplace with up to 10% of total gross square footage for residential permitted. The correct metric will be determined at time of General Development Plan.
Civic Transect Zone

The Civic Zone consists of public spaces and civic structures. The Civic Zone designation is focused on recognizing sites that include public institutional uses. Large scale changes are not intended or encouraged. Limited future infill and reconstruction is allowed, but only in like kind. Improvements should focus on enhancing connectivity to other zones. This transect is expressed through the Public, Institutional and Open Space zoning district.

**CHARACTER** Civic institutional uses of varying scaled and building types.

**BUILDING TYPE** Civic.

**FRONTAGE** Varies.

**COMMERCIAL ACTIVITY** None.

**PEDESTRIAN ACTIVITY** Moderate.

**BUILDING HEIGHT** 1-3 story maximum.

**PUBLIC SPACE** Schoolyards, Parks and Squares.

**USE** Civic use only; public activities.
Special Districts

In some areas additional special districts were required to adequately describe the desired form of future development. These districts are areas with unique function, disposition, or configuration that does not conform to the baseline transect zones and therefore requires a unique designation to reflect these specifics. These details will be explored in the respective small area plans.
CHAPTER 11 - PLANNING AREAS

BACKGROUND
The Land Use Plan incorporates ten planning areas, to more readily address specific conditions and to make clear recommendations for each area. Each of these areas is focused around major corridors within the City and the residential neighborhoods that support them. Each area has a distinct and identifiable character with varying land use objectives. Specific area plans are able to more effectively implement the goals, policies, and initiatives in this Comprehensive Plan. As the area plan process progresses, area plans will be updated to incorporate transect and form based analysis.

The planning areas and the year devoted to their review are identified here.

2. Fall Hill (2019)
3. Plank Road/Route 3 (2017)
4. Hospital/Cowan Boulevard (2019)
5. University/Route 1 (central) (2020)
6. Princess Anne Street/Route 1 (north) (2017)
8. Dixon Street/Mayfield (2020)
10. Lafayette Boulevard/Route 1 (south) (2020)

MAP 15  LAND USE PLANNING AREAS
LAND USE PLANNING AREA 1: CELEBRATE VIRGINIA/CENTRAL PARK

SETTING
Planning Area 1 is bounded on the west and north by the Rappahannock River. Its east boundary is Interstate-95. On the south and west, this planning area abuts Spotsylvania County along River Road, Greengate Avenue, and State Route 3. The western half of the Interstate-95/State Route 3 interchange is located in this planning area. This part of the City is characterized by an upland plateau, well served by roadways and being actively developed. The river bluffs and a substantial floodplain comprise a large natural area. Map 16 shows this planning area in more detail.

The character of this planning district is defined by the Rappahannock River, with its adjacent floodplain and steep slopes kept as natural areas, and man-made features such as Central Park, Celebrate Virginia, Interstate-95, and State Route 3. The headwaters of three streams are sensitive land areas. Smith Run and North Hazel Run originate in the developed lands and then flow east, through residential subdivisions outside the planning area. Fall Quarry Run also flows east, but into a natural area just east of the interstate. Storm water management facilities mitigate the impact of the extensive impervious surfaces and ensure that Celebrate Virginia and Central Park do not cause flooding and erosion in the downstream neighborhoods.

Opportunities
This planning area is developing with a diversity of uses. A planned interchange on Interstate-95 will allow for greater commercial activity, but the City has protected a significant amount of open space/natural area along the Rappahannock River. The following opportunities pertain to this planning area:

- Redevelop Central Park as a regional commercial activity center, through revised land use regulations that effectively promote higher levels of mixed use development. A critical component of this redevelopment will be an improved roadway network and bicycle/pedestrian access.

- Integrate the Eco-tourism component of the Celebrate Virginia tourism campus into the protected natural area, while preserving the integrity of natural and historic features.

- Expand recreational activities into the protected river floodplain and natural resource areas so visitors can experience scenic vistas, intact natural areas, and historic sites.

- Support development of a multi-use Minor League Baseball stadium and additional ball fields for tournaments and training through a long-term financial support of the stadium in Celebrate Virginia South. Consider developing a surface parking lot adjacent to the new facility as a city project.

- Develop greenways and nature trails so they do not erode or damage the natural area and ensure such facilities avoid damage to historic sites.

- Develop a new interchange at Interstate-95 to serve the Celebrate Virginia area while also providing regional congestion relief at the Route 3 interchange.

- Enhance this gateway to the City, which is highly visible to travelers in the Interstate-95 corridor, to provide a distinctive and appealing sense of arrival.

- Work with other jurisdictions and resource agencies to establish a regional visitor center.

- Construct Fire Station #3 in Celebrate Virginia.

- Protect residential neighborhoods from existing and proposed commercial development through transitional uses and design standards that minimize adverse impacts.
MAP 16  LAND USE PLANNING AREA 1: CELEBRATE VIRGINIA AND CENTRAL PARK

LEGEND
- Planning Area
- Sub-planning Area

1A  1B  1C  1D  1E  1F  1G  1H
**Existing Land Use**

This planning area is predominantly commercial, consisting of the tourism campus known as Celebrate Virginia and a regional retail center called Central Park. Celebrate Virginia includes multiple hotels, an exposition and conference center, retail activities, multifamily housing, and various services. Central Park consists of major retail, service, and office uses. A conservation easement covers 129 acres of both private and City-owned land along the river and its floodplain. There is also an additional 40 acres of City-owned riparian property outside the easement limits. This natural area is part of the visitor campus and is proposed to include outdoor recreational opportunities as well as historic interpretation areas. The area along River Road includes a townhouse development as well as a very low density residential neighborhood.

**Table 11-1  Land Use Summary for Planning Area 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corridor</th>
<th>Development</th>
<th>Type of Use</th>
<th>Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall Hill Avenue</td>
<td>Celebrate Virginia</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>497 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall Hill Avenue</td>
<td>Noble Cars</td>
<td>Auto Sales</td>
<td>8.5 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall Hill Avenue</td>
<td>Riverside Manor</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>188 townhomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River Road</td>
<td>Unnamed</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>4 single family homes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route 3, Cowan Blvd.</td>
<td>Central Park</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>310 acres</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Environmental Factors**

This planning area is characterized by erodible soils, moderate to steep slopes, highly permeable soils, a large floodplain, a large abandoned quarry, and wetlands. Most of the property drains to the Rappahannock River, but a portion drains to Fall Quarry Run, which flows under Interstate-95 to the east. The entire planning area is within designated Chesapeake Bay resource protection areas.

This planning area includes excellent views of the river and the adjacent landscape. The 169 acres of private and City-owned property, 129 of which are under easement, will screen development within Celebrate Virginia from in-stream activities on the Rappahannock River. The natural resource protection easement is held by the Virginia Outdoors Foundation.

**Table 11-2  Preservation Areas in Planning Area 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City owned riparian lands</td>
<td>101 acres</td>
<td>Wooded floodplain and uplands, historic sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celebrate VA conservation easement</td>
<td>68 acres</td>
<td>Wooded uplands and historic resources</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Historic Resources**

Planning Area 1 includes a large number of Civil War sites as well as remains of a canal along the river. The uplands include a scattering of archaeological sites that have either been left intact in designated protection areas or formally investigated, with reports filed with the Virginia Department of Historic Resources. Of special interest is the site known as Banks Ford, which was decisive terrain during the Civil War. The City owns the land on both sides of this crossing, which includes not only the ford itself, but two nearby pontoon bridge sites, a canal and its locks, wartime roads, and related earthworks. This planning area was also a battleground on May 4, 1863, but the tactical terrain has been considerably altered.
TABLE 11-3  HISTORIC RESOURCES IN PLANNING AREA 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SITE NAME</th>
<th>PERIOD OF SIGNIFICANCE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>OWNERSHIP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bank’s Ford, Scott’s Ferry</td>
<td>Used since earliest settlement, Civil War</td>
<td>Three crossing points, roads, earthworks, canal and locks</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor’s Canal, Rappahannock Navigation</td>
<td>Built in 1830’s</td>
<td>Canal and locks, evidence of a dam</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confederate Defenses</td>
<td>Civil War</td>
<td>Extensive earthworks on slopes overlooking river</td>
<td>Private (VOF Easement)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confederate encampment</td>
<td>Civil War</td>
<td>Hut holes near Fall Quarry Run</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarry</td>
<td>Possibly Ante-bellum origins, used into 20th</td>
<td>Stone Quarry</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboriginal Sites</td>
<td>Archaic/ Woodland Period</td>
<td>Prehistoric Camp Sites</td>
<td>Private (VOF Easement)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LAND USE POTENTIAL

Three east-west corridors in Fredericksburg cross Interstate-95 into this planning area. State Route 3 and Cowan Boulevard are substantial roadways and the third road, Fall Hill Avenue, will be upgraded to a four lane divided road within the next two years. As a consequence, this planning area will continue to experience intense commercial development.

TABLE 11-4  LAND USE POTENTIAL  LAND USE AREA 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUB PLANNING AREA</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>CURRENT ZONING</th>
<th>RECOMMENDED LAND USE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>120 acres</td>
<td>R-2 residential</td>
<td>Planned Development-Mixed Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>12 acres</td>
<td>R-2 residential</td>
<td>Institutional: Visitor Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>8 lots, 4.25 acres</td>
<td>1 lot Commercial-Transitional ; 7 lots R-2 Residential</td>
<td>Commercial-Transitional Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D</td>
<td>37 acres</td>
<td>R-1 Residential</td>
<td>Planned Development-Commercial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1E</td>
<td>21.5 acres</td>
<td>R-1 Residential</td>
<td>Planned Development-Commercial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1F</td>
<td>11 acres</td>
<td>Commercial Highway</td>
<td>Planned Development-Commercial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1G</td>
<td>22.75 acres</td>
<td>Commercial Highway</td>
<td>Commercial-General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1H</td>
<td>135 acres</td>
<td>Planned-Development Commercial</td>
<td>Stadium, supplemental fields, regional commercial</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sub Planning Area 1A
This 120 acre site is adjacent to the Celebrate Virginia. The terrain is relatively flat, with grade differentials of around 30 feet, until abruptly dropping toward the river. The river bluffs are environmentally stable as well as picturesque. Proposed development is mixed-use, but residential development could also be an attractive option. The City has ensured that its own riparian lands constitute an intact wooded buffer, but this parcel represents a potential gap. The City should work with the landowner to maximize development on the upland, in return for protecting the steep slopes overlooking the river and their stabilizing tree cover.

Sub Planning Area 1B
The Virginia Visitor Center, with access to and from Interstate-95, is a 12 acre site controlled by the Commonwealth of Virginia. No land use changes are recommended. The proposed interchange would impact this area, but all preliminary planning for the road project retains the Visitor Center in this general location. This property has also been identified as a potential site for a telecommunications tower.

Sub Planning Area 1C
Eight single-family homes are located at the intersection of Fall Hill Avenue and River Road, but the intensity of the nearby development is isolating this once quiet residential area. The recommended future land use is Commercial-Transitional/Office, with the anticipation that the eight lots would eventually be consolidated and redeveloped comprehensively.

Sub Planning Area 1D
This tract is 37 acres in size and has frontage on both Fall Hill Avenue and Cowan Boulevard. The terrain is relatively flat and the proposed land use is Planned Development-Commercial. This parcel fronts on the planned signalized intersection on Fall Hill Avenue and a road connection between Fall Hill Avenue and Cowan Boulevard is feasible and should be established when the property is developed. Further, this new road should accommodate cross connections from Sub Planning Area 1E, when that property is developed, in order to provide a sound urban design.

Sub Planning Area 1E
This 21.5 acre parcel extends from Fall Hill Avenue to Interstate-95, but access is from Fall Hill Avenue only. The terrain is relatively flat and the proposed land use is Planned Development-Commercial. Planned traffic signals on Fall Hill Avenue fall outside this property’s frontage so access is limited to right-in, right-out. Cross connections across the adjoining property should be considered to gain access to a signalized intersection.

Sub Planning Area 1F
This property has access to Fall Hill Avenue and could be used for a variety of things including as an expansion area for existing auto sales. Sub Planning Area 1G – The southwest quadrant of the Interstate-95/State Route 3 interchange has been previously developed with motels and restaurants and has the potential for more intense commercial development.

Sub Planning Area 1G
The southwest quadrant of the Interstate-95/State Route 3 interchange has been previously developed with motels and restaurants and has the potential for more intense commercial development.

Sub Planning Area 1H
The north eastern 135 acres of Celebrate Virginia South should be developed as cohesive entrance to the City containing a public and private use stadium, supplemental ball fields for tournaments and training, and regional commercial uses. Use of the properties within 1H shall be arranged so that a new interchange from I-95 and its ramps can serve the area and tie into a cohesive and interconnected network of collector roads that join Carl D. Silver Parkway and Gordon W. Shelton Boulevard. Commuter parking areas should also be considered.
INFRASTRUCTURE

Central Park has direct access to State Route 3, but substantial improvements are needed to properly serve the commercial development in Celebrate Virginia. There are several projects either underway or contained within the regional long range transportation plan developed by the Fredericksburg Area Metropolitan Planning Organization.

ROADS

Fall Hill Avenue – This east-west route will be improved from a two lane road to a four lane divided roadway, with sidewalk on its south side and a multi-use bicycle/foot trail on its north side. This roadway will connect with Spotsylvania County’s Bragg Road at its western end, which has already been expanded to a four lane, divided road to State Route 3. The overall project also includes replacement of the existing bridge over Interstate-95 and construction of a new bridge that matches the design of the road. This new bridge will also accommodate the new high occupancy toll lanes on the interstate. Construction began in 2015.

Central Park has benefited from enhanced access from State Route 3 and completion of Cowan Boulevard. Fall Hill Avenue is already a four-lane divided road in this planning area and is being improved beyond this planning area to a four-lane divided road. The internal road network within Central Park is adequate to serve the completed development, but if redevelopment at higher densities is to be feasible, this internal network will need to be reconfigured for greater efficiency. As an example, a cross connection from Carl D. Silver Boulevard to Sub Planning Areas 1D and 1E would provide a transportation link consistent with good urban design.

High Occupancy Vehicle/Toll Lanes on Interstate-95 – The HOV/HOT lanes under construction in Northern Virginia will be extended as far as Exit 126, south of Fredericksburg. This project is funded through the Virginia Department of Transportation and anticipated to be under construction between 2021 and 2025. This project will entail significant changes to the Interstate-95/State Route 3 interchange.

Interstate-95 Interchange – A new interchange is under study to provide access to Celebrate Virginia This project will provide substantial congestion relief to the Interstate-95/State Route 3 area and will provide access to Celebrate Virginia South and Central Park. A street connection should be made between Gordon Shelton Boulevard and Carl D. Silver Parkway as uses develop adjacent to the proposed site of the interchange. This connection will complete the network of collector roads necessary to carry traffic from Interstate 95 to the City’s road network.

TRAFFIC CALMING

There are no traffic calming features in this planning area and none proposed.

TRAILS

Cowan Boulevard includes a bicycle trail on its south side and a sidewalk on the north side, which provides pedestrian access across the interstate. The improved Fall Hill Avenue will also have a bicycle trail on its north side and a sidewalk on its south side. A trail connection can be made between these two facilities through Sub Planning Area 1D.

Two new trails are proposed to link Celebrate Virginia with the rest of the City. One trail (Embrey Dam/Rappahannock River Trail) will course along the Rappahannock River, passing under the Interstate-95 bridge. At its western end, it will connect with a network of recreational trails in Celebrate Virginia and at Motts Run, in Spotsylvania County. At its eastern end, it will link with the Rappahannock Heritage Trail/Canal Trail, which will provide access to the City’s overall trail network. The second trail is part of the Fall Hill Avenue widening project and will cross over Interstate-95 on a new bridge that will replace the narrow existing bridge.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall Hill Avenue</td>
<td>Gordon W. Shelton Blvd. to Mary Washington Blvd (Extended)</td>
<td>Improve the Existing Road to Four Lanes, divided with bicycle/pedestrian facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall Hill Avenue Bridge</td>
<td>Fall Hill Avenue and Interstate-95</td>
<td>Replace bridge in its entirety, as part of Fall Hill Avenue widening project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate-95 Interchange, Preliminary Engineering</td>
<td>U.S. Route 17 (Stafford) and State Route 3</td>
<td>Establish new facility to access Celebrate VA as well as enhance commuting to and from Spotsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordon W. Shelton Boulevard and Carl D. Silver Parkway collector link</td>
<td>Gordon W. Shelton and Carl D. Silver Parkway</td>
<td>Establish a four lane divided connection between Gordon Shelton Boulevard and Carl D. Silver Parkway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate-95 HOV/HOT Lanes, Design and construction</td>
<td>Garrisonville Rd. (Stafford) to Exit 126 (Spotsylvania)</td>
<td>Construct high-occupancy vehicle/toll lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embrey Dam/ Rappahannock Canal trail</td>
<td>Along river, between Fall Hill Avenue and Celebrate VA</td>
<td>Independent trail along river and canal - natural surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall Hill Avenue-Cowan Boulevard-Link</td>
<td>Through Sub Planning Area 1D</td>
<td>Bicycle/pedestrian trail along a new road or as a trail on its own alignment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LAND USE PLANNING AREA 2: FALL HILL

SETTING
Planning Area 2 (Map 17) is bounded by Interstate-95 on the west, the Rappahannock River on the north and east, and by the properties along Fall Hill Avenue, which is this area’s arterial roadway. The land use is predominantly residential, with some minor commercial development. There is considerable protected open space as well as substantial recreational facilities. Some of the preserved land is privately owned, but a significant amount of acreage is publicly owned and will be developed as a park. The historic Fall Hill mansion sits on a prominent hill overlooking Fredericksburg and the Rappahannock valley.

OPPORTUNITIES
Most of the goals for the area relate to protecting the integrity of the natural areas when public recreational amenities are developed and maintained.

- Develop a City park accessible from Fall Hill Avenue that protects the natural areas on the uplands overlooking the Rappahannock River.
- Develop a riverside trail that maintains the natural and scenic qualities of the river, its floodplain, and wetlands.
- Protect environmentally sensitive lands through clustering of residential development.
- Protect historic sites such as the Fall Hill mansion and Civil War earthworks.
- Continue to improve the Sunshine Ball Park and develop additional recreational amenities, as feasible.
- Ensure that an extended Gateway Boulevard, between State Route 3 and Fall Hill Avenue, is included in all development plans for affected properties, since this facility will provide a critical north-south connector for vehicles and cyclists/pedestrians.
- Protect established residential neighborhoods from existing and proposed commercial development, through transitional uses and design standards that minimize adverse impacts.
TABLE 11-6  LAND USE SUMMARY FOR PLANNING AREA 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CORRIDOR</th>
<th>DEVELOPMENT</th>
<th>TYPE OF USE</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall Hill Avenue</td>
<td>Heritage Park</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>200 Apartments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall Hill Avenue</td>
<td>Central Park Townhomes</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>264 Townhomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall Hill Avenue</td>
<td>Crestview</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>180 Apartments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall Hill Avenue</td>
<td>Riverside Manor</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>189 Townhomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall Hill Avenue</td>
<td>Riverview Apartments</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>96 Apartments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall Hill Avenue</td>
<td>River Walk</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>59 Single-family detached homes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall Hill Avenue</td>
<td>Bragg Hill retail center</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Small storefronts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

This planning area is characterized by highly erodible soils, moderate to steep slopes, and some wetlands. In 2003-2004, material dredged from the river during the Embrey Dam removal project was deposited on the uplands and reconfigured to serve as a base for the Sunshine Park recreational ball fields. The environmentally sensitive areas are primarily found along the Rappahannock River and the Rappahannock Canal, but Falls Quarry Run extends up into the planning area, just east of the interstate.

TABLE 11-7  PRESERVATION AREAS IN PLANNING AREA 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Butler-Brayne Park</td>
<td>108 acres</td>
<td>Wooded uplands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall Hill</td>
<td>23 acres under State easement</td>
<td>Historic brick mansion, outbuildings, wooded uplands</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HISTORIC RESOURCES

Planning Area 2 contains the brick mansion called Fall Hill (built c. 1779) and a collection of associated outbuildings. This high ground provided tactical advantages during the Civil War and a variety of Confederate earthworks are extant.

TABLE 11-8  HISTORIC RESOURCES IN PLANNING AREA 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SITE NAME</th>
<th>PERIOD OF SIGNIFICANCE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>OWNERSHIP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall Hill mansion</td>
<td>Built c. 1779</td>
<td>Brick dwellings with outbuildings; Civil War earthworks</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embrey Dam (site)</td>
<td>Built 1910, removed 2003</td>
<td>Concrete abutments</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crib dam (site)</td>
<td>Built 1850's, removed 2003</td>
<td>Stone abutments, canal lock, and spillway</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rappahannock Canal</td>
<td>Built 1830's</td>
<td>Canal, stone bridge abutment at Fall Hill Avenue</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confederate earthworks</td>
<td>Civil War</td>
<td>Gun pits and infantry trenches</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LAND USE POTENTIAL

This planning area has a very limited number of sites that remain undeveloped. Some of the larger parcels are in City ownership and will be developed as parks. The other parcels are recommended to have residential uses of various densities.

### TABLE 11-9  LAND USE POTENTIAL IN PLANNING AREA 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUB PLANNING AREA</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>CURRENT ZONING</th>
<th>RECOMMENDED USE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>32 acres</td>
<td>R-2 Residential</td>
<td>Low Density Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>20 acres</td>
<td>R-2 Residential</td>
<td>Low Density Residential; Preservation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C</td>
<td>13 acres</td>
<td>R-2 Residential</td>
<td>Low Density Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D</td>
<td>5 acres</td>
<td>Commercial-Transitional</td>
<td>Medium density residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E</td>
<td>28 acres</td>
<td>R-2 Residential</td>
<td>Parkland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SUB PLANNING AREA 2A

This 32 acre site is located north of the Central Park Townhouses (formerly Bragg Hill), adjacent to Interstate-95. Portions of the site are relatively flat, but there are also steep slopes where a small stream drains to the north. The proposed land use is Low Density Residential, but the difficult topography suggests that a planned development configuration could be considered.

### SUB PLANNING AREA 2B

This 20 acre parcel is divided by the Rappahannock Canal. The portion on the east side of the canal is low lying wooded ground that is also a floodplain. The topography west of the canal includes steep slopes. Access is from Fall Hill Avenue. The recommended land use is low density residential development, but a greater density could be considered in return for preservation of open space and sensitive environmental features (both natural as well as historic). An improved Fall Hill Avenue, connecting to Mary Washington Boulevard, could also make this site attractive for medical related development.

### SUB PLANNING AREA 2C

A 13-acre parcel along the north side of Fall Hill Avenue has preservation easements on it, held by the Virginia Department of Historic Resources. The easement allows construction of no more than five single family homes. Access will be facilitated when Fall Hill Avenue is widened to a four-lane divided road, but shared ingress/egress will be required.

### SUB PLANNING AREA 2D

This five acre parcel is located just east of the Riverview Apartments and has frontage on Fall Hill Avenue. The property is recommended for medium density residential development.

### SUB PLANNING AREA 2E

The City of Fredericksburg owns this 28-acre tract on the south side of Fall Hill Avenue. Much of the site has been leveled with fill material to accommodate playing fields, but there are still steep slopes along a small stream that drains to the east. A portion of this land will be used as right-of-way for the Fall Hill Avenue widening project. The recommended use of the remaining land is for public recreation.

### INFRASTRUCTURE

#### ROADS

Fall Hill Avenue – The Virginia Department of Transportation is widening this two lane route to be a four lane divided road, with sidewalks on its south side and a multi-use bicycle/foot trail on its north side. The expanded Fall Hill Avenue will not cross the Rappahannock Canal into the Normandy Village neighborhood. Instead, Mary Washington Boulevard will be extended, as a four lane divided road, along the west side of the Rappahannock Canal, to connect the widened Fall Hill Avenue to the U.S. Route 1 Bypass. The Fall Hill Avenue widening project will also include a new bridge over Interstate-95, which will provide for future interstate improvements.

High Occupancy Vehicle/Toll Lanes on Interstate-95 – The HOV/HOT lanes under construction in Northern Virginia will be extended as far as Exit 126, south of Fredericksburg. This project is funded through the Virginia Department of Transportation and anticipated to be under construction between 2021 and 2025.

Gateway Boulevard (extended) – A four lane divided road, with sidewalks on one side and a multi-use bicycle/foot trail on the other, will extend from State Route 3 to Fall Hill Avenue. This facility is to be privately funded by those who will develop the intervening land.
TRAILS
Embrey Dam/Rappahannock Canal Trail – In addition to the bicycle/foot trail that will be constructed along a widened Fall Hill Avenue, there is a trail along the Rappahannock Canal, north of Fall Hill Avenue that is called the Embrey Dam/Rappahannock Canal Trail. This trail has a natural surface and courses along the Rappahannock River into Celebrate Virginia. When all of the required right-of-way has been acquired, this trail will be extended farther upstream, to eventually link to Motts Run Park.

Rappahannock Canal/Fall Hill Avenue Bridge – A new bridge has been installed to carry Fall Hill Avenue across the Rappahannock Canal. The design allows the Canal Trail to pass underneath the roadway and there are two pedestrian bridges across the Canal, which removes all at-grade crossings for cyclists and pedestrians.

Canal Path – There will be only limited pedestrian facilities along Mary Washington Boulevard when this roadway is widened. The main pedestrian avenue along that route already exists along the Rappahannock Canal Trail.

North-South Trail – A north-south multi-use trail is needed east of Interstate-95, which will be accommodated through the design of Gateway Boulevard (extended). It will connect to the new trail along Fall Hill Avenue.

TRAFFIC CALMING
There is one traffic calming feature in this planning area and one more planned. The existing feature is the new Fall Hill Avenue/Canal Bridge. When Fall Hill Avenue west of this bridge is improved to a four lane roadway, this two lane bridge will reduce through-traffic into the Normandy Village neighborhood. The second feature is a planned roundabout west of the new bridge, where Fall Hill Avenue will connect to an extended Mary Washington Boulevard.

TABLE 11-10  TRANSPORTATION SUMMARY FOR PLANNING AREA 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall Hill Avenue</td>
<td>Gordon W. Shelton Blvd. to Mary Washington Blvd. (extended)</td>
<td>Improve the existing road to four lanes, divided, with bicycle/foot facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall Hill Avenue Bridge</td>
<td>Fall Hill Avenue and Interstate-95</td>
<td>Replace entire bridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate-95 HOV/HOT Lanes, Design and Construction</td>
<td>Garrisonville Road (Stafford) to Exit 126 (Spotsylvania)</td>
<td>Construct high Occupancy Vehicle/Toll Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gateway Boulevard (extended)</td>
<td>State Route 3 to Fall Hill Avenue</td>
<td>Construct new four lane divided road with bicycle/foot trail and sidewalk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SMALL PLAN AREA 3: PLANK ROAD/ROUTE 3

GENERAL CHARACTER
Located on the western side of Fredericksburg, just east of I-95. The area serves an entrance to the City with high visibility and direct access from the interstate and points west. The Area is predominately three lower-density residential neighborhood zones (single family homes primarily) with some medium density multi-family use bisected by Plank Road/Route 3, a regional auto-oriented thoroughfare, lined with regional shopping offerings. This thoroughfare and the highway interchange create a vast area with a generic identity. While the retail offerings are generally well-used, the strip centers are characterized by large impervious surface parking, aging buildings, and are in need of revitalization with aesthetic improvements.

THE CHALLENGES
The Plank Road/Route 3 corridor suffers from severe traffic congestion. This problem is only exacerbated by superfluous site entrances and complex merging requirements. These elements together severely limit opportunities for pedestrian activity along or across it. The corridor currently exists as a major division for residents to its north and south. Despite the plentiful open space and water channels that weave throughout Area 3, the current trails do not connect directly into the larger, City-wide trail network. Large privately owned undeveloped parcels require careful planning to ensure appropriate development occurs to respect the current pattern of development and uses the land for the highest and best use. The Idlewild/Downman house ruin is in danger of total collapse without appropriate and timely intervention. The impervious automotive environment increases the amount of storm water runoff and excess nutrients flowing from the site into the Rappahannock River, and ultimately into the Chesapeake Bay.

THE SOLUTIONS
Simplify the travel and safety lanes and entrances along Route 3 and add a permanent median to limit left turn lanes to address traffic congestion along Route 3.

Similarly, a fully connected trail system could benefit multiple modes of transport, connecting residents to local destinations without traveling along Route 3.

Extend Gateway Boulevard north of Route 3 to add north/south travel options and incorporate pedestrian and biking trail along the entire length to offer residents preferred options for mobility.

Integrate additional, simple, but well-connected network of off-road trails into the neighborhoods to allow for continuous, inter-parcel movement options. Improve pedestrian access to Route 3 with opportunity to include full pedestrian crossings at Gateway Boulevard, and midway down Route 3 across from the Greenbrier Shopping Center, to better connect north and south neighborhoods. These crossings should allow for safe-crossing (crossing signals, sufficient timing, medians and safe landing points).

Use the new extended Gateway Boulevard to promote the undeveloped parcels North of Route 3 as an opportunity for a large scale workplace campus development.

If deemed appropriate, construct, a new elementary school at the entrance to the Idlewild community to enhance education services. A new school adjacent to the Idlewild neighborhood should be pedestrian accessible for the neighborhood and serve the community with access to facilities and recreation space.

Transform the Idlewild/Downman House as a regional outdoor event space that supports the new elementary school with shared parking facilities, if deemed appropriate through a feasibility study.

Provide a vehicle and pedestrian bridge across I-95 to connect Central Park and a Gateway work center. Also provide pedestrian access across I-95 to continue the Spotsylvania Towne center and adjacent vacant property of the City to the Idlewild community.

Finally, the Route 3 corridor should be enhanced with gateway elements and enhancements to create a feeling of arrival for those entering the City.
**Market Analysis**

Based on a 2016 market analysis, there is no additional demand for new retail development. The total amount of existing retail space in this area simply cannot be supported by the amount of expenditures reasonably available to it. The ability for these centers to maintain their viability is greatly limited by the immense competition from nearby Central Park and Spotsylvania Towne Centre, both regional commercial hubs. This contributes to a current and future oversupply of retail that will subsequently drive down retail lease rates and drive up vacancy. The market study states that the current mix of discount retailers are appropriate economically for this space, as well as an option for a mix of street-activating, non-retail uses. More details concerning the specifics of this area’s market analysis can be found in the market study.

**Map 18  Area 3 Transect Zones**

![Map of Area 3 Transect Zones](image)
TRANSECTS

The Transect Map illustrates current settlement and commerce patterns, and directs the character and intensity of new development, infill development or redevelopment within Neighborhood Area 3. The Transect Map for Neighborhood Area 3 was developed after studying the existing and potential future built environment. Neighborhood Area 3, which is served by public transportation, includes opportunities for revitalization with integrated mixed-use and some areas for higher density development.

Approximately 100 acres of underdeveloped parcels in the northwest corner of Area 3 offers opportunity for major changes and large-scale new developments in the long term. Along Route 3, change is expected to occur at a smaller scale - through incremental redevelopment, infill projects and similar efforts. Small Area Plan 3 consists of seven hierarchical Transect Zones:

AREA 3 TRANSECT ZONES

T-1 (PRESERVED OPEN SPACE) This area contains preserved or planned open space. The expanded area of open space along Cowan Road and the Smith Run Trail reflects an ongoing research effort into the historic significance of the ridge. This Civil War related history, combined with the challenging natural topography, making development inappropriate in the area, are the reasons for this expansion. However, streets and utility connections through the property would be appropriate.

T-3E (SUB-URBAN EDGE) There are several stable and mostly built, low density residential neighborhoods within Small Area 3 that are classified as T-3E; Altoona, Huntington Hills, and Idlewild Estates to the south of Route 3 and Great Oaks and Oak Hill Terrace to the north. All future infill and development within these neighborhoods should follow the form and use outlined in the T-3E discussion. To protect these areas, neighboring development should be completed with careful consideration to create appropriate transition especially where these neighborhoods meet with T-5c zones. This is imperative for the protection of the neighborhoods.

T-3 (SUB-URBAN) The Idlewild neighborhood is designated as a T-3. It contains a mix of house types and scales including both attached and detached single family housing. The neighborhood has a strong inclusion of public shared open space and values their connection to the designated trails as part of the T-1 space surrounding the neighborhood.

T-4 (GENERAL URBAN) In Area 3, this Zone currently consists of some higher density residential development, Kendalwoods and River Woods apartments, which will need revitalization as the buildings age. Medium density residential development, such as the Governor’s Row townhouse project, and a mix of other uses provides transition between protected Great Oaks neighborhoods to the purely commercial highly trafficked main corridor of Plank Road. The 45 acres undeveloped parcels, (east of Gateway Boulevard Extended) is encouraged to develop into a sustainable, integrated, and walkable pattern with a mix of medium and high density housing types. This residential development is necessary to support the vision for an adjoining workplace urban center.
**T-5C (AREA-CORE)** Route 3 corridor currently exists as local and regional neighborhood serving retail. This includes the Gateway Village, Westwood, and Greenbrier shopping centers as well as the Westwood office complex. These large scale shopping center and strip shopping developments with pad sites may soon outlive their economic value. Interim improvements are necessary but when future long term redevelopment occurs, it should more fully embrace a mix of uses including residential. This area is suitable for 3.0 commercial Floor Area Ratio and mixed use development. Large developed parcels under single ownership are encouraged to be revitalized in a more sustainable and urban pattern. Good planning practice encourages the retrofit of the Route 3 suburban strip, including adaptive reuse of existing structures, the replacement of structures, development of large parking lots, and the revitalization of natural systems on previously developed land. The Route 3 commercial strip is a prime candidate for retrofitting with up-graded commercial, office, and high density residential development. As this redevelopment occurs special attention must be paid to respect the transition to protective T-3E neighborhoods including Oak Hill Terrace to the north of Route 3 and the Altoona subdivision to the south. Development in the T-5C zones must ensure appropriate and compatible in scale transitions to these less intense zones. This commercial strip suffers from competition from Central Park and the Spotylvania Towne Center. This competition, in combination with the Internet economy, has resulted in the loss of anchor retail tenants, the backfilling of retail space with less-dominant retail uses, and vacant retail spaces.

**ADDITIONAL NOTES ON T-5C REDEVELOPMENT AND REVITALIZATION IN AREA 3**

**USE/BUILDING TYPE:** As redevelopment is considered for end-of-term shopping centers, multi- and mixed-use projects should be the target succession strategy. Retail along with Residential are the primary uses promoted in this area, with office and civic uses permitted. Building types can be a mix of use and house types that transition to types reflective of surrounding neighborhoods. The primary corridor frontage along Route 3 warrants a large continued commercial role in redevelopment scenarios.

**PLACEMENT:** Taller buildings built along primary frontages in Area 3 should form the public realm while buildings along existing residential fabric should reflect that adjoining setback, orientation, and scale. Redevelopment on this corridor warrants a combination of build-to-line and varying setback from property lines to create the best opportunity for a gateway entrance corridor.

**HEIGHT:** Buildings here should reflect a primarily 2-5 story building height tapering in height to appropriately transition to adjoining residential neighborhoods.

**PARKING:** The majority of parking should be incorporated in the middle of blocks with some visible parking on main frontages for commercial uses. Shared parking and reduced parking requirements are expected.

**TRANSITIONS:** Transitions from intense use (along Route 3) to adjoining residential areas should be made carefully, with appropriate buffers and neighborhood serving connections and access. Continuing existing roads as travel ways through redevelopment forms a connected block and street pattern that better transitions between the more intense commercial core and the adjoining single family neighborhoods.

**SITE DESCRIPTION**
The goal for the redevelopment of this older suburban strip shopping centers is threefold:

- Right size retail space available along Route 3 while maximizing development opportunity to incorporate residential uses in an urban form.
- Capitalize on proximity of nearby neighborhoods and customers by enhancing connectivity internally, reducing the necessity for automobile travel and congestion along Route 3 thereby supporting the commercial activity along the core.
- Encourage a more sustainable development pattern for under-utilized sites by incorporating residential uses of varying forms.

This sample design includes these form based elements in an opportunity for total redevelopment and revitalization of this T-5c shopping center in Area 3. This is intended to be illustrative of the goals for redevelopment not confining to this design or site.
**T-5W (Area-Core Workplace)** An extension of Gateway Boulevard will create an important north/south connection from Route 3/Plank Road to Fall Hill Avenue. This T-5w Transect Zone, (±55 acres) along the western edge of extended Gateway Boulevard, has high visibility and direct access from/to I-95. As the gateway to the City, this property is a valuable asset, and should provide a unique opportunity to attract a high-quality, office/institutional tenant or a developer of commercial space to Fredericksburg. This Transect Zone – due to its major corridor access and the availability of mass transit - is appropriate for revitalization, with a mix of uses, and limited, higher density commercial activity up to 3.0 commercial Floor Area Ratio. Planned Development Commercial or Planned Development Residential may be the appropriate zoning districts to actualize this gateway work center.

**Additional Notes on T-5W Development and adjoining T-4 in Area 3**

**Use/Building Type:** Work place/commercial; residential/multifamily, small apartment buildings, cluster homes, town homes for the creation of an integrated gateway work center.

**Placement:** Taller buildings front onto Gateway Boulevard and planned open spaces. The dual entry strategy of work places should be used here, facing the street/open space, as well as creating easy entry from the parking areas. This site warrants a overall block structure to enable multiple types of residential, all oriented to create a street edge. This site warrants a combination of build-to-lines for work places and consistent minimal setbacks for residential types from road rights-of-way.

**Height:** As noted in the Transect Map, work place buildings to the west of Gateway Boulevard should consist primarily of 4-8 stories with T-4 residential buildings to the east of Gateway Boulevard having 2-4 stories to transition to the two story homes in the adjacent neighborhoods.

**Parking:** Commercial parking (west) should be located as a buffer along the I-95 edge, and incorporated in the middle of blocks. Commercial uses require some visible parking to survive, and visible parking spaces are important where supportive (retail, service) uses are located. Residential parking is located off a rear alley system. Shared parking and reduced parking requirements are expected.

**Transitions:** Utilize street facades to shape Gateway Boulevard corridor; residential buildings to taper toward the eastern edge of property.

**Site Description**

The goal for the development of this large property is threefold:

- Maximize the highly visible and easily accessed, large property on I-95 to attract a high-quality, office/institutional tenant or a developer of commercial space to Fredericksburg.

- Create an integrated, walkable community with planned relationships, connections, and open spaces to elevate the potential neighborhood experience.

- Maximize the development value of the property, while considering and respecting its context, including the use and size of the neighbor parcels.

The sample form based design, on the next page, addresses all of these elements to maximize opportunity for development. This serves as an illustrative representation of a potential development scenario in this T5-W transect designated area. All effort should be made to create an integrated walkable live work center. Structured parking, common greens, and building defined streetscape are all to be encouraged.
KEY

- Open Space
- Property Line
- Build To Line
- Parking Access
- Access Roadway
- Roadway Connection

- Commercial
- Office/Workplace
- Multi Family
- Single Family Attached
CIVIC In area 3, Civic designation is most appropriate for a large parcel south of Route 3 and to the east of Gateway Boulevard. This is publicly owned land and is the site of the Idlewild/Downman house ruins and is a suitable location for potential future school development. Any development here must benefit the neighborhood regarding access to the site and shared use of amenities. There is also an opportunity to expand the Fire Station use within Area 3. A new location may be appropriate to best serve the City.

IDLEWILD SCHOOL
This civic property in the village of Idlewild is the last vacant school site and is designated as a potential site for the third elementary school for the City of Fredericksburg. If determined to be a school site, the design should be consistent with the traditional urban design of the community and make pedestrian access easy for neighborhood residents. The main facade should face onto Idlewild Boulevard and present a civic front to the main road with a significant pedestrian zone to enter school from a public entry plaza. Playgrounds and playfields should be adjacent to the neighborhood, and integrated into the larger woodland park with minimal tree clearing. The playgrounds and playfields at this school would be a tremendous asset to this community in the non-school hours and every effort should be made to create community availability.

Access from Gateway Boulevard would ensure through-movements and reduce congestion into Idlewild neighborhoods. Parking should be a shared facility between the school and Idlewild event venue, described below.

The goal for the construction of any new elementary school is fourfold:

- Good urban design fronting on Idlewild Boulevard across from Hampton Street and blending into the network of sidewalks.
- Ensure the school building and its accompanying recreational facilities are an integrated asset and are open to use by the neighborhood.
- Primary vehicular access will come from Gateway Boulevard, with minimal effect on the neighborhood.
- Share facilities for parking and access with the Idlewild house event venue and open space.

SITE DESCRIPTION
USE/BUILDING TYPE: Civic/School; Cultural/Existing

PLACEMENT: School building with primary facade and main pedestrian access facing Idlewild Boulevard, and centered on Hampton Street intersection.

HEIGHT: 1-3 stories.

PARKING: Parking should be minimized. Accessed within the bus drop-off and used as a buffer between the school and I-95. Create a minimally disruptive, forested-lane loop road up to the Downman House to allow parking along its length.

TRANSITIONS: Transitions should be considered to buffer existing homes that back up to the school yard and playing fields.
**Idlewild/Downman House Ruin**

The historic Downman House was built in 1859 as a high style of example of the Gothic Revival architecture. In April 2003, a fire destroyed most of the interior and collapsed the roof. The gables and the exterior walls are still intact and are currently held up with an external structure. The property is owned by the City of Fredericksburg and is adjacent to the site of a future elementary school.

While full reconstruction of the house would be a costly challenge, the building would have significant value as a “permanent ruin”. Stabilizing the building envelope will preserve the history of the site and will allow for a cultural/civic use for the building shell. An open air space should serve as an outdoor performance or event venue with regular community and regional access. This idea is not without challenges and costs, but it is also not without precedent. This creative idea would transform the site into a true community asset.
ACCESS, MOBILITY, AND PUBLIC FACILITIES

ACCESS BY MASS TRANSIT
This area is currently served by mass transit with bus routes serving the Idlewild neighborhood and the shopping along Route 3. Future development should continue to incorporate mass transit.

IMPROVEMENTS TO PLANK ROAD/ROUTE 3
To reduce traffic congestion and confusion along Plank Road the roadway should be standardize with three thru-lane alignment in each direction, permanent raised medians to normalize the turning movements, and a reduction of curb cuts along Plank Road. The V-DOT Stars Plan provides details for these improvements. Inter-parcel connectivity will reduce movements required along Route 3. Safe at grade pedestrian crossings are key. Corridor improvements should include updated lighting and simplification of overhead signage and power lines to create a more memorable view shed for drivers.

IMPROVEMENTS TO I-95/ROUTE 3 INTERCHANGE
Improvements to east north and south ramps are under construction. South bound express lanes are funded and North bound express lanes are planned. Additional right of way may be needed for North bound express lanes in this area.

CROSSING I-95
Future redevelopment along the west side of I-95, adjacent to Spotsylvania Towne Center should include a pedestrian crossing over 95. This would allow neighborhoods to access the regional shopping without traveling first onto Route 3. With the development of Gateway Blvd, a vehicle and pedestrian crossing should connect a future Gateway work center to the Central Park area.

CITY GATEWAY ELEMENT AT I-95 AND PLANK ROAD
Fredericksburg’s entry point could be further enhanced with gateway signs on Plank Road after crossing I-95, as well as on I-95 for north and south bound travel.

COMPLETION OF GATEWAY BLVD FROM ROUTE 3 TO FALL HILL AVENUE
The completion of this road will provide an important north/south connection just east of I-95. This new road will allow access to the development parcels astride the road, and should reduce the congestion on Plank Road, and regionally to Carl D. Silver Parkway and Route 1 as well. Also, this road construction should provide area for a continuous, off-road pedestrian and bike trail along its length.

CONNECTIVITY IMPROVEMENTS
In order to increase connectivity as sites redevelop along the Route 3 corridor, increased east-west mobility should be implemented whenever possible. Additional east-west roadway connections could help relieve pressure and ease congestion on Route 3 if there were more ways to move between neighborhoods parallel to the Highway, especially for shorter trips from neighborhood to neighborhood. An example of a new connection is shown as a recommendation in the redevelopment of shopping centers that currently occupy large parcels along Route 3. Connections of this type should be accommodated in the specific design for any redevelopment plan.

PEDESTRIAN EQUITABLE INTERSECTION AT GATEWAY BOULEVARD
An at-grade north/south pedestrian crossing should be integrated into the design to complete the intersection at Gateway Boulevard and Plank Road. This intersection needs to serve the entire Neighborhood Area with obvious and accessible pedestrian access, landing areas on the north and south sides, and be timed to allow a comfortable crossing for pedestrians. A dividing median will be necessary to allow for safe haven within half-crossing movements. Increased vehicular efficiency can decrease pedestrian safety if not properly considered from all perspectives. Pedestrian safety and sufficient crossing times must be integrated into the intersection design.

CROSSING I-95
Future redevelopment along the west side of I-95, adjacent to Spotsylvania Towne Center should include a pedestrian crossing over 95. This would allow neighborhoods to access the regional shopping without traveling first onto Route 3. With the development of Gateway Blvd, a vehicle and pedestrian crossing should connect a future Gateway work center to the Central Park area.
**City Gateway Element at I-95 and Plank Road**

Fredericksburg’s entry point could be further enhanced with gateway signs on Plank Road after crossing I-95, as well as on I-95 for north and south bound travel.

**Improved Trail Network**

Additional trails are proposed to complete the existing trail system. Further expansion of this system will allow for more interparcel movement options. Multiple options for residents to utilize off-road transportation systems will reduce the overall car traffic on the roads. Any aid to reduce traffic will improve the traffic congestion in Fredericksburg. The conceptual network of trails and connections is includes:

- Continuous, multi-use trail along the entire length of Gateway Boulevard, with safe crossings at major intersections;
- Greenway trails to connect to existing crossing at Route 1;
- Multiple trails to connect into the new elementary school and regional open space/playground;
- North/south trail connecting through Idlewild with access through the Altoona neighborhood to Greenbrier Shopping Center on Plank Road;
- Completed trail connection to the Smith Run Trail across the Vermont Ridge Battlefield property.
- Crossings over I-95

![Map 19: Area 3 Access, Mobility, and Public Facilities](image-url)
HISTORIC RESOURCES

No historic dwellings have fully survived in this small plan area. However, there is a historic railway bed (that has become the Virginia Central Railway Trail), considerable battlefield terrain from May 4, 1863 (known as Vermont ridge), and an extensive Confederate winter encampment. The fire-damaged antebellum Downman House (Idlewild) is individually listed in the National Register of Historic Places, and is the only home remaining of several historic properties that once dotted this area. The National Park Service interprets its Fredericksburg holdings as the battleground of December 13, 1862. A second battle of Fredericksburg occurred on May 3, 1863 across this same ground and additional fighting took place in this area on May 4. The City of Fredericksburg has begun to interpret the events of the second battle of Fredericksburg within its trail system and this effort will continue.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SITE NAME</th>
<th>PERIOD OF SIGNIFICANCE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>OWNERSHIP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Central Railway</td>
<td>Ante-bellum</td>
<td>Graded railway bed with stone culverts</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downman House</td>
<td>Ante-bellum, Civil War</td>
<td>Brick Gothic Revival mansion, built 1859; Lee’s HQ on 4 May 1863</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Idlewild)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confederate winter</td>
<td>Civil War</td>
<td>Hut holes near Hazel Run</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>encampment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith Run Battlefield</td>
<td>Civil War</td>
<td>Representative site</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont’s Ridge</td>
<td>1863</td>
<td>Site of the 6th Vermont counterattack during the Battle of Salem Church</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OPEN SPACE AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES

The Environmental Facilities highlighted on the map are specific areas where new or retrofitted stormwater facilities may be located. Examples in Area 3 include the Smith Run Pond, medians and green spaces adjacent to Route 3, and some of the village of Idlewild Ponds. These areas are designated because they meet the criteria established by a high level planning survey factoring in the size and character of drainage areas, the age and/or adequate presence of existing stormwater infrastructure, location and concentration of stormwater outfalls, and the general land ownership pattern of this area. The upgrade or establishment of new environmental facilities in these sites should be explored during privately led redevelopment, site plan, or land use application process. The highlighted areas should also be considered conceptual public facilities shown on the Comprehensive Plan in accordance § 15.2-2232.A.

Implementing policies and planning infrastructure aimed at achieving the City’s Environmental Protection goals will remain an on-going process. The conceptual environmental facilities will be further refined through the development and implementation of the required Chesapeake Bay Total Maximum Daily Load Action Plan. The Plan will form the basis for future updates to this Environmental Facilities section.

Hazel Run forms the southern boundary of this planning area, which is also the south City limit. Its headwaters are in Spotsylvania County west of I-95. Inadequate stormwater management has increased flooding and erosion along this waterway. Appropriate erosion and sediment control and stormwater management are needed upstream, to protect the environmental quality of this waterway, which flows through an attractive area of steep slopes and rock outcroppings that are scenic features of the Virginia Central Railway Trail. Just south of Route 3/William Street is North Hazel Run, which also has inadequate stormwater management upstream. It too is an undeveloped natural corridor with scenic values, but also drainage issues.

North of Route 3/William Street, Smith Run drains a large watershed and also suffers from stream bank erosion. A large regional stormwater pond has been established on this stream, but continued implementation of best management practices and low impact development are needed to reduce the risk of flooding, with its attendant damage.
### TABLE 11-12  ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES WITHIN PLANNING AREA 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hazel Run/Virginia Central Railway</td>
<td>151 acres (City-Owned: 125 in City; 26 in</td>
<td>Natural stream valley; historic railway, battlefield, Confederate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corridor/ Downman House (Idlewild)</td>
<td>Spotsylvania County)</td>
<td>encampment, historic house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Hazel Run</td>
<td>12 acres (City-owned)</td>
<td>Natural stream valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith Run battlefield</td>
<td>11 acres (City-owned)</td>
<td>Natural area; battlefield</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MAP 20  AREA 3 ENVIRONMENTAL AREAS

**KEY**

- **Environmental Facilities**
- **Open Space**
- **Civic Structures**
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REVITALIZATION

The dominant existing development along Plank Road is a series of strip shopping centers and free-standing businesses developed in the 1970 and 1980s. The strip centers include retail, service, motel, and office uses, but contain no residential use. To the south is a neighborhood developed in the 1970s and a newer community developed in the 2000s. To the north are apartments from the 1970s and single family homes built in the 2000s. Additional single family homes, townhouses, and apartments built in 1980s are found along Route 1. The development form of Plank Road is suburban in nature, characterized by buildings that are set back from the landscape they dominate; the commercial buildings are the dominant spatial figures in the development, as opposed to public roadways or public parks or spaces. The buildings tend to be dedicated to a single use with development that is almost entirely auto-dependent, involving large surface parking lots surrounding the buildings. Land bays are connected by driveways or roads.

Area 3 has 80% of its commercial area devoted to surface parking. This percentage indicates a low intensity suburban land use pattern with a ready potential for redevelopment and revitalization with infill development into a more intense urban pattern. Only 10% of the Area 3’s residential structures were built before 1980, however, these older dwellings are concentrated in a 100 unit single family and a 187 unit apartment neighborhoods. Once structures reach an age of 30 to 40 years, their mechanical systems, roofing systems, and other structural elements are need of updating or replacement, an indicator of the need for revitalization. Further, less than 1% of lots in the residential portion of this area are vacant. While there is vacant land zoned for residential uses in the area, it is generally planned for more intensive development. Revitalization of neighborhoods will be focused on existing units.

This section of the City is designated as a revitalization area that encompasses mass transit, includes and provides for mixed use development, and allows for a density of 3.0 floor area ratio in commercially zoned areas in a portion thereof. Commercial density, higher than allowed by-right, should be allowed only as a Special Use and when any negative impacts of such additional density are addressed, such as traffic and parking congestion and the massing and scale of the project. In this small area, commercial zoning is currently established along Route 3 and is adjacent to single family and multi-family development. Impacts on these residential areas should be carefully considered before a special use permit is approved for higher commercial density.

MAP 21 AREA 3 REVITALIZATION
IMPLEMENTATION

INFRASTRUCTURE

- Implement the VDOT Stars program to reduce traffic congestion and improve safety on Route 3 by creating three continuous lanes, adding a permanent median to limit left turns, encouraging inter-parcel connectivity, and reducing superfluous curb cuts.

- Improved pedestrian safe-crossing across Route 3 to better connect north and south neighborhoods: include full pedestrian crossings at Gateway Blvd., include full pedestrian crossings connecting the Greenbrier and Westwood Shopping Centers, include crossing signals, sufficient timing, medians and safe landing points.

- Improve infrastructure along Route 3 by reduce clutter of highway-oriented signage, overhead power lines and other disruptive vertical infrastructure in order to provide a more memorable view shed for drivers.

- Obtain right-of-way and construct Gateway Boulevard from Route 3 to Fall Hill Avenue.

REGULATIONS

- Rezone Commercial Shopping Center land to Commercial Highway

- Create a Public, institutional, and open space zoning district and zone publicly held land and preserved open space into this PIOS district.

- Develop the Chesapeake Bay TMDL Action Plan as part of the Comprehensive Plan.

- Revisit the PD-C and PD-R regulations for clarity of use in T-5w transects.

PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS

- Incentive the redevelopment of shopping centers, investigate and consider development of special revenue districts, to facilitate revitalization and construction of necessary public infrastructure.

- Create a trails extension plan to ensure greater multi-modal options focusing on connecting neighborhoods to the commercial core, safe crossings at major intersections, increasing access to existing trails and open space amenities, and for crossing I-95 to connect the community across this barrier.

- Conduct feasibility study to transform the Downman House ruin into an integrated outdoor event venue by stabilizing the ruin and restoring the grounds and gardens and integrating the space into the elementary school facility.

- If determined as future school site, develop urban design performance standards for the 27 acre site at Gateway and Idlewild Blvd for the future elementary school site. Ensure this facility is integrated with the Downman house venue including shared parking, trails, and public facilities fitting with the Idlewild neighborhood.

- Enhance Route 3 as a City entry by incorporating gateway elements including way finding, welcome signage, and branding opportunities along high visibility locations.

- Consider the establishment of a Vermont Ridge memorial park.

| Immediate | Ongoing | As Resources Permit |
LAND USE PLANNING AREA 4: HOSPITAL/COWAN BOULEVARD

SETTING
Planning Area 4 (Map 22) extends from Interstate-95 to the U.S. Route 1 Bypass (Jefferson Davis Highway). The MWH Medicorp/Mary Washington Hospital medical campus is a substantial presence, with access from the U.S. Route 1 Bypass and Cowan Boulevard. When Fall Hill Avenue is widened from a two-lane to a four-lane road, it will be linked to Mary Washington Boulevard, providing another access route to the medical campus. The remainder of the Cowan Boulevard corridor is primarily residential, with a small commercial component.

OPPORTUNITIES
− Support the continued development of the MWH Medicorp/Mary Washington Hospital medical campus and surrounding supportive services.
− Protect the integrity of historic resources, including Civil War sites, and establish a series of wayside exhibit panels along the Cowan Boulevard multi-use trail.
− Preserve the environmental integrity of the Smith Run valley.
− Preserve the aquatic resources and adjacent natural lands of the Rappahannock Canal, Snowden Pond, Snowden Marsh, and other wetland resources.
− Plant substantial numbers of trees along Cowan Boulevard, to calm traffic and to provide shade along existing trails and sidewalks.
− Protect established residential neighborhoods from existing and proposed commercial development, through transitional uses and design standards that minimize adverse impacts.

FIGURE 47 Mary Washington Hospital Entrance
MAP 22  LAND USE PLANNING AREA 4: HOSPITAL/COWAN BOULEVARD

LEGEND
- Planning Area
- Sub-planning Area

Planning Area 04: Hospital / Cowan Boulevard
**Existing Land Use**

This planning area includes significant multi-family residential development along Cowan Boulevard as well as the Mary Washington Hospital campus. Mary Washington Hospital is a full service facility that serves the entire region. The hospital is the largest employer in the region.

**Table 11-16 Land Use Summary for Planning Area 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corridor</th>
<th>Development</th>
<th>Type of Use</th>
<th>Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Route 1 Bypass</td>
<td>Snowden Office Park</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>48,000 square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Route 1 Bypass</td>
<td>Snowden Executive Center</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>65,000 square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Route 1 Bypass</td>
<td>The Park at Snowden</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>100,000 square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Washington Blvd</td>
<td>Mary Washington Hospital</td>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>437 bed hospital and related medical buildings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowan Boulevard</td>
<td>Mary Washington Healthcare campus</td>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>Various</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowan Boulevard</td>
<td>Pratt Medical Center</td>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>15,440 square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowan Boulevard</td>
<td>Hugh Mercer School</td>
<td>Government</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowan Boulevard</td>
<td>Police Station</td>
<td>Government</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowan Boulevard</td>
<td>Snowden Village</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>254 apartments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowan Boulevard</td>
<td>Monticello Apts.</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>263 apartments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowan Boulevard</td>
<td>Belmont at Cowan Place</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>300 apartments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowan Boulevard</td>
<td>Madonna House</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>260 apartments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowan Boulevard</td>
<td>Evergreens at Smith Run</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>130 apartments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowan Boulevard</td>
<td>Hills at Snowden</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>78 single family homes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowan Boulevard</td>
<td>Preserve at Smith Run</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>133 single family homes; 50 townhouses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowan Boulevard</td>
<td>Cowan Center</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>31,680 square feet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Environmental Factors**

This planning area is characterized by highly erodible soils, moderate to steep slopes, highly permeable soils, the Rappahannock Canal, and several wetlands. Environmentally sensitive areas include the Rappahannock Canal, Snowden Pond, Snowden Marsh, and several unnamed tributaries and ponds. The Smith Run valley is an area of mature woodlands that extends across several private properties where residential apartments front on Cowan Boulevard. When these properties were developed, the City allowed the existing densities in return for dedicated open space along the stream. This protected natural area abuts City properties that are similarly protected.

**Table 11-17 Preservation Areas in Planning Area 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Snowden Marsh and Snowden Pond</td>
<td>6.6 acres</td>
<td>Sensitive natural areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zig-zag trenches</td>
<td>5.0 acres</td>
<td>Confederate earthworks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hugh Mercer School/Police Station easement</td>
<td>4.5 acres</td>
<td>Historic area related to Smith Run battlefield, wooded slope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith Run valley</td>
<td>15 acres (approx.)</td>
<td>Dedicated open space (woods) on private property (apt. bldgs. on Cowan Blvd.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HISTORIC RESOURCES

Planning Area 4 contains a variety of Confederate earthworks as well as the historic Snowden mansion. This Federal period dwelling, originally known as Stanbury, is a Greek Revival building on a rise overlooking the Rappahannock Canal, just east of Mary Washington Hospital. The original structure, built c. 1815, partially burned and was rebuilt, in 1926, replicating the original dwelling. Snowden currently houses the executive offices of Mary Washington Healthcare.

**TABLE 11-18  HISTORIC RESOURCES IN PLANNING AREA 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SITE NAME</th>
<th>PERIOD OF SIGNIFICANCE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>OWNERSHIP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zig zag trenches</td>
<td>Civil War</td>
<td>Distinctive Confederate earthworks</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huger's Battery</td>
<td>Civil War</td>
<td>Earthen double gun pit</td>
<td>Mary Washington Healthcare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snowden (Stansbury)</td>
<td>C. 1815 dwelling; rebuilt 1926</td>
<td>Greek Revival brick mansion</td>
<td>Mary Washington Healthcare</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LAND USE POTENTIAL

This planning area is characterized by a substantial medical campus as well as significant residential development along Cowan Boulevard. The Mary Washington Healthcare medical campus continues to grow and is already well served by Cowan Boulevard, Mary Washington Boulevard, Sam Perry Boulevard, and Hospital Drive. The terrain is relatively flat on the plateau where Cowan Boulevard is located, but drops off to the northeast. Continued development will include additional offices and medical related facilities.

**TABLE 11-19  LAND USE POTENTIAL IN PLANNING AREA 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUB PLANNING AREA</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>CURRENT ZONING</th>
<th>RECOMMENDED USE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4A</td>
<td>4.76 acres</td>
<td>R-8, Residential</td>
<td>Commercial-Transitional/Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4B</td>
<td>Various parcels in medical campus</td>
<td>Commercial transitional</td>
<td>Institutional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4C</td>
<td>10.55 acres</td>
<td>R-16, Residential</td>
<td>Commercial-Transitional/Office, High Density Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUB PLANNING AREA 4A**

This 4.76-acre parcel is adjacent to the Mary Washington Healthcare medical campus and zoned for residential uses. It is bisected by a stream valley, with steep slopes and will require a substantial amount of earth moving before any development is possible. There is a Confederate gun pit and a trench on a hill at the western side of the parcel, but there are no legal protections in place for these historic features.

**SUB PLANNING AREA 4B**

The Mary Washington Healthcare medical campus is a 46-acre tract that is substantially developed. There are still several parcels, however, that remain vacant and which will be developed as offices/medical facilities.

**SUB PLANNING AREA 4C**

This 10.55-acre parcel is behind the Monticello Apartment complex, with access is to Cowan Boulevard.
INFRASTRUCTURE

ROADS
Mary Washington Boulevard (extended) – This four-lane divided roadway provides access to Mary Washington Hospital from the U.S. Route 1 Bypass (Jefferson Davis Highway). It will be extended as a four-lane divided road to connect with Fall Hill Avenue, west of the Rappahannock Canal.

Gateway Boulevard (extended) – A new four-lane divided road is planned to extend from State Route 3 to Fall Hill Avenue. A portion of this roadway has been built as Mahone Street, in the neighborhood known as the Preserve at Smith Run. This two lane street will eventually be two lanes of a four lane facility, with bicycle/pedestrian facilities along either side.

High Occupancy Vehicle/Toll Lanes on Interstate-95 – The HOV/HOT lanes under construction in Northern Virginia will be extended as far as Exit 126, south of Fredericksburg. This project is funded through the Virginia Department of Transportation and anticipated to be under construction between 2021 and 2025.

TRAILS
There are three trails in this planning area. Cowan Boulevard includes a bicycle/foot trail, the Rappahannock Canal is a bicycle/foot route, and Sam Perry Boulevard connects these two routes with its own pedestrian links.

TRAFFIC CALMING
There is a traffic circle where Sam Perry Boulevard and Mary Washington Boulevard intersect, near Cowan Boulevard.

TABLE 11-20  TRANSPORTATION SUMMARY FOR PLANNING AREA 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mary Washington Boulevard</td>
<td>Between Mary Washington Blvd. (existing) and</td>
<td>Four-lane divided road, to connect Mary Washington Blvd. to Fall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extension</td>
<td>improved Fall Hill Avenue</td>
<td>Hill Avenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gateway Boulevard (extended)</td>
<td>Between State Route 3 and Fall Hill Avenue</td>
<td>Four-lane divided road, with bicycle/pedestrian facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate-95 HOV/HOT Lanes</td>
<td>Garrisonville Road (Stafford) to Exit 126</td>
<td>Construct high occupancy vehicle/toll lanes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LAND USE PLANNING AREA 5: UNIVERSITY/ROUTE 1 (CENTRAL)

SETTING

Planning Area 5 (Map 23) is on top of the high ground to the west of the older sections of Fredericksburg. Smith Run cuts through the ground from the northwest and joins Hazel Run on the southern boundary of the planning area. The area also includes a significant portion of the U.S. Route 1 Bypass (Jefferson Davis Highway) and William Street corridors, with both commercial and residential uses along each. The University of Mary Washington occupies the plateau overlooking the City and is flanked by residential neighborhoods east and west of the campus. On the west side of the U.S. Route 1 Bypass, the University, through its related foundation, has redeveloped a shopping center into a mixed-use center known as Eagle Village and connected this commercial/residential area to the main campus by a pedestrian bridge over the U.S. Route 1 Bypass.

OPPORTUNITIES

− Promote mixed-use development along the U.S. Route 1 Bypass and William Street corridors.

− Protect established residential neighborhoods from existing and proposed commercial development, through transitional uses and design standards that minimize adverse impacts.

− Continue to work with the University of Mary Washington to reduce adverse impacts to surrounding neighborhoods, such as under-age drinking, parking impacts and overcrowded rental units.

− Protect environmentally sensitive areas, including College Marsh and the Rappahannock Canal and enhance public access.

− Engage in an aggressive landscaping program along the U.S. Route 1 Bypass, planting trees where they will not interfere with lines of sight and installing lower level vegetation where visibility is critical to safety and commercial activity.

**FIGURE 48**  **Pedestrian bridge spanning U.S. Route 1 provides connection between the University campus and Eagle Village**
**Existing Land Use**

This planning area includes the Fredericksburg campus of the University of Maryland Washington. The traditional street grid that characterizes downtown Fredericksburg extends into the neighborhoods called College Terrace and College Heights. The U.S. Route 1 Bypass (Jefferson Davis Highway) cuts through this planning area and provides a break between the traditional grid pattern and the less organized street pattern of suburban development west of the Bypass.

**Table 11-21 Land Use Summary for Planning Area 5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corridor</th>
<th>Development</th>
<th>Type of Use</th>
<th>Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Route 1 Bypass</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Individual parcels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Route 1 Bypass</td>
<td>Eagle Village</td>
<td>Commercial and Institutional</td>
<td>22+ acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Route 1 Bypass</td>
<td>Stratford Square</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>121 apartments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Ave./Hanover Street</td>
<td>College Heights</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>400+ single family homes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Ave./Hanover Street</td>
<td>University of Maryland Washington</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>Athletic facilities and fields</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spotsylvania Avenue</td>
<td>Mobile Home Park</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>30+ mobile homes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue and Gray Parkway</td>
<td>National Guard Armory</td>
<td>Institutional</td>
<td>6.7 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue and Gray Parkway</td>
<td>Greenbrier</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>258 apartments; 50 condos</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Environmental Factors**

Stormwater runoff from the University of Maryland Washington and College Heights is primarily to the east, toward the Kenmore drainage. The Kenmore flume has been extensively improved to handle this runoff and more. Drainage to the west and south is toward Smith Run and Hazel Run. Environmentally sensitive areas include Gayles Pond and College Marsh, adjacent to the Rappahannock Canal, and the Smith Run and Hazel Run stream valleys.

**Table 11-22 Preservation Areas in Planning Area 5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>College Marsh/College Pond</td>
<td>5 acres (approx)</td>
<td>Natural Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alum Springs Park</td>
<td>34 acres</td>
<td>Natural area, playground, picnic area, historic sites</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Historic Resources**

Historic resources in this planning area are largely under State ownership. The University of Maryland Washington owns the ante-bellum house called Brompton, which is used as the residence of the University president. There are also some remnants of Confederate earthworks on the University campus. Much of this planning area was a Civil War battleground, contested during the December 13, 1862 as well as the May 3, 1863 battles of Fredericksburg.

**Table 11-23 Historic Resources in Planning Area 5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Period of Significance</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Owner-Ship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brompton</td>
<td>Ante-bellum, Civil War</td>
<td>1830's brick mansion</td>
<td>University of Maryland Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery site on UMW campus</td>
<td>Civil War</td>
<td>Earthen gun pit and trench</td>
<td>University of Maryland Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose Hill</td>
<td>Ante-bellum, Civil War</td>
<td>1852 brick mansion with c. 1900 addition</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LAND USE POTENTIAL
This planning area has been extensively developed and is beginning to see extensive redevelopment. The College Heights and College Terrace neighborhoods are attractive and accessible, due to their traditional street grid. College Terrace is not going to change much, except for a limited amount of infill development and additions to homes. College Terrace will also be considerably enhanced by a systematic reclamation of the existing alleys, which will relieve on-street parking and keep service functions at the rear of properties. College Heights, on the other hand, is more subject to the influences of the University of Mary Washington and of the U.S. Route 1 Bypass. As redevelopment occurs in the College Heights area, the highly functional street grid will need to be respected and kept intact.

SUB PLANNING AREA 5A
This 34.7-acre tract is controlled by the University of Mary Washington and has frontage on the U.S. Route 1 Bypass as well as Greenbrier Drive. Potential uses include student housing, but there could also be a potential mix of commercial and residential uses, as has occurred at Eagle Village. This level of development could provide much needed student housing and allow the College Heights neighborhood to recover from excessive numbers of rental units and reestablish itself as an owner-occupied community.

SUB PLANNING AREA 5B
The northeast quadrant of the intersection of State Route 3 and U.S. Route 1 Bypass has a variety of land uses, but also has the potential to be redeveloped at a higher intensity. Potential uses include student housing as well as a mix of commercial and residential uses, as has occurred at Eagle Village. The basis of this level of development would be to provide much needed student housing and allow the College Heights neighborhood to recover from excessive numbers of rental units. This area is directly adjacent to College Heights, especially along Rappahannock Avenue. Redevelopment plans would need to be designed to become a positive contribution to that neighborhood and help it to reestablish itself as an owner-occupied community.

SUB PLANNING AREA 5C (U.S. ROUTE 1 BYPASS CORRIDOR)
The U.S. Route 1 Bypass/Jefferson Davis Highway became functional when a new Falmouth Bridge was completed in the 1940s, providing a way for travelers to avoid driving through downtown Fredericksburg when they did not intend to stop there. Commercial development followed the new traffic pattern and over the years a great variety of uses have gravitated to that roadway. A more cohesive commercial corridor could provide appropriate transitional land uses between the commercial activity along the highway and the nearby residential neighborhoods.

INFRASTRUCTURE
ROADS
The Blue and Gray Parkway has effectively diverted a significant amount of through traffic from William Street. Additional improvements are related the U.S. Route 1 Bypass and portions of William Street. There are planned improvements to the U.S. Route 1 Bypass/State Route 3 interchange, which would include related improvements to both roadways.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUB PLANNING AREA</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>CURRENT ZONING</th>
<th>RECOMMENDED USE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5A</td>
<td>34.7 acres</td>
<td>R-2 Residential</td>
<td>Low Density Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5B</td>
<td>30 acres</td>
<td>Commercial, R-4, Residential, and Mobile Home</td>
<td>Commercial-Transitional/Office; Low Density Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5C</td>
<td>1.3 miles of the U.S. Route 1 Bypass Corridor</td>
<td>Planned Development-Medical Campus, Commercial-Highway, Commercial-Shopping Center, Commercial-Transitional/Office, R-12 Residential</td>
<td>Commercial-General; Commercial-Transitional/Office; Institutional</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Trails
On the east side of the U.S. Route 1 Bypass, this planning area is interconnected by a comprehensive network of sidewalks that extends to downtown Fredericksburg. In the areas west of the U.S. Route 1 Bypass, there are sidewalks in selected areas, such as around Mary Washington Hospital, but most of the pedestrian ways are multi-use trails, such as the Canal Trail and the Cowan Boulevard Trail. Pedestrian connections across the U.S. Route 1 Bypass occur at grade at Cowan Boulevard and College Avenue. Grade separated crossings occur at the Rappahannock Canal (underpass) and at College Avenue (bridge). The Virginia Central Railway Trail courses through this planning area, crossing the U.S. Route 1 Bypass at grade. A bridge is proposed to be established there to enhance user safety.

Traffic Calming
College Avenue is a busy connector, but densely parked vehicles on either side of the roadway serves a traffic calming function by narrowing the perceived travel lanes. There are two additional routes in this planning area that would benefit from traffic calming devices - Stafford Avenue and Keeneland Road/Westwood Drive. Earlier traffic calming devices on Stafford Avenue were not designed well and proved inadequate. These have been removed. Properly designed traffic calming devices are still needed.

Table 11-25  Transportation Summary for Planning Area 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Route 1 Bypass Bridge</td>
<td>Rappahannock Canal</td>
<td>Replace bridge and improve bicycle/pedestrian facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Route 1 Bypass Bridge</td>
<td>Hazel Run</td>
<td>Replace bridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Route 1 Bypass Bridge</td>
<td>State Route 3 interchange</td>
<td>Replace interchange bridge (no added capacity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Street</td>
<td>Between Blue and Gray Pkwy. and Gateway Boulevard</td>
<td>Widen road to six lanes, with bicycle/pedestrian facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intersection Improvements</td>
<td>U.S. Route 1 Bypass and Augustine Avenue</td>
<td>Improve intersection for efficiency and safety; in partnership with Eagle Village</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic calming</td>
<td>Stafford Avenue, Westwood Dr./Kenneland Rd.</td>
<td>Install traffic calming devices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCR Trail Bridge</td>
<td>U.S. Route 1 Bypass</td>
<td>Construct bicycle/pedestrian bridge over highway</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 49  Mary Washington students at Main Gate
LAND USE PLANNING AREA 6: PRINCESS ANNE STREET/ROUTE 1 (NORTH)

GENERAL CHARACTER

Area 6, located on the northside of downtown is one of the key gateways into Fredericksburg. This area is home to distinct commercial corridors, strong neighborhoods, and natural and historic resources. The area is bounded by the Rappahannock River and the Rappahannock Canal, both of which provide amenities, trails, and views that are a key part of the neighborhood’s identity. These primarily single-family neighborhoods are stable and walkable communities. They maintain a high degree of architectural integrity and are a strong example of early and mid-20th century workforce housing. The area is home to the City’s James Monroe High School, which moved to the area in 1952 with a new facility built in 2006.

In the 20th Century, this area became defined by three key corridors, Princess Anne Street, US Route 1, and Fall Hill Avenue. The area is home to the City’s historic 19th-century Mill District, which includes the Silk Mill (1889) Washington Woolen Mills (1909), and Germania Mills (1936). This area originally served as the City’s industrial center fueled by the Rappahannock River and Canal. When Princess Anne Street became US Route 1 in 1926, the corridor burgeoned with mid-20th century highway-oriented businesses including hotels, motor courts, diners, and automobile service stations. When Jefferson Davis Highway, the new US Route 1, opened in 1946, new commercial development including Lee Plaza and the Fredericksburg Shopping Center grew along the modern highway. Fall Hill Avenue developed as a medical corridor, surrounding the former Mary Washington Hospital (1949-1995) at 2300 Fall Hill Avenue. The medical facilities and support offices that clustered around the hospital created a significant employment center.

The three key corridors represent distinct phases of development that are each approaching their next cycle of evolution. Today, Princess Anne Street’s existing land use regulations do not efficiently permit this evolution in the corridor. The automobile-focused commercial development on Route 1 is approaching the end of these buildings’ physical life cycle and must respond to a change in retail habits. After the hospital relocated out of the area in 1995, Fall Hill Avenue, once a cohesive medical complex, was left with underutilized buildings and associated parking lots that now create gaps in the fabric of the neighborhood.

The goal of the small area plan is to guide the next phase of area evolution and redevelopment of these corridors that best supports and compliments the surrounding neighborhoods while developing in a modern and sustainable fashion.

THE CHALLENGES

- Corridors within the area face a changing economic environment and must adapt to the next generation of economic evolution.
- The existing structures along the corridor run a full spectrum of form, scale, and setback that do not consistently conform to the current overlay district.
- Original and new entrepreneurs wanting to develop small sites struggle to meet multiple regulatory requirements. The expertise and cost required for redevelopment in the corridor limits opportunities for small-scale redevelopment.
- Businesses along Route 1 face a changing retail market with increased pressure from regional shopping destinations in addition to on-line shopping. The market study indicates the area is currently oversupplied with retail and the area will need to rightsize existing offerings. The physical buildings of these shopping centers are nearing the end of their life cycle and will soon require redevelopment.
- Along Fall Hill Avenue, the medical and office facilities, once prominent in the area, have since relocated and have left blocks of parking lot and empty office buildings. Underutilized, these resources break neighborhood continuity.
- Residential neighborhoods have strong form, unique architectural character, and a diverse mix of affordable housing types, may conflict with current trends in infill development including modern (larger) housing sizes.
- The northern gateway into the City is not attractive, presenting a cluttered and confusing entrance to the City that does not enhance City’s character.
- The Rappahannock Canal and other area environmental features require attention to both ensure these elements are accessible recreational facilities and to meet environmental and stormwater regulations.
Current transportation infrastructure are vestiges of commercial and institutional land use patterns no longer desired for this area. The remaining asphalt configuration results in increased speeds extending into residential areas.

Residents of the area lack a centralized community space and third spaces that foster neighborhood interaction.

The commercial corridors in the area are expansive and not conducive to walkability both in infrastructure and distance. Route 1 also creates a significant barrier to pedestrian activity effectively separating large neighborhoods to the west from local serving retail along Princess Anne St.

**The Solutions**

- Create and promote a maker district in the area to foster the new creative/urban production economy by providing incentives to preserve historic buildings and by reducing barriers to individuals and companies looking to start and expand businesses along the corridor.

- Increase housing opportunities specifically geared for low and moderate income households, seniors, and students through incentive zoning and other programs.

- Preserve natural and historic features that define the character of the district. Develop a transfer of development rights program to preserve these features while enabling appropriate development.

- Ease the burden of redevelopment for new and expanding businesses by simplifying regulatory barriers and merging the Princess Anne Corridor Overlay guidelines into the maker district

- Permit, both the types of buildings and infrastructure that foster production activities to grow and expand where appropriate.

- Advance opportunities to increase multi-modal travel within the area by reducing the speed of vehicles in residential neighborhoods and increasing comfort for pedestrians by including bicycle and pedestrian equitable design in transportation projects.

- Implement the Chesapeake Bay Total Maximum Daily Load action plan and ensure the continued quality and maintenance of the Rappahannock Canal and other environmental features.

- Improve the Route 1 corridor with gateway elements and enhancements to create a welcoming sense of arrival for those entering the City from the north.

- Explore opportunities to improve travel along Route 1 including creating consistent widths and travel lanes, vacating excess right of way as necessary, and closing superfluous and unsafe access points.

- Evaluate the conversion of one-way streets, Washington Ave., Fall Hill Ave., and Maury St. back into two-way streets to slow traffic through neighborhood streets and increase pedestrian safety and comfort while maintaining traffic flow and on-street parking.

- Identify regulations that conflict with the specific character and diversity of neighborhoods and develop solutions that maintain the livability and affordability of Fredericksburg’s unique residential areas including preserving the pattern of missing middle housing. Guard existing architectural character with pattern books and / or conservation districts when property owners determine that additional regulations are appropriate for their neighborhood.

- Establish corridors, nodes, and third spaces within the maker district. Public improvements create uniformity along the corridor allowing for variation in building form, Nodes create pedestrian scaled areas of activity defined by the building form. Third spaces unify the district.

- Expand opportunities informal and formal community spaces including the possible conversion of the 1839 Kitchen dependency to a Northside community center.
MARKET ANALYSIS

Area 6 currently has an excessive amount of retail space compared to local demand, but there is potential for a better quality of retail development. As the population of the area has increased in size and income, the retail located within it has not responded accordingly. Particularly along Route 1, outdated retail centers should be redeveloped into vibrant interconnected mixed-use nodes. The market will better support this reuse before the development of new space. Within this area, there is demand for urban infill town homes as well as apartments and senior housing centered around desirable neighborhood assets. Additional density within the neighborhood will also increase support for the total amount of retail that can be supported and is necessary for the evolution of commercial activity along the Princess Anne Corridor. There also may be demand for a boutique hotel. This analysis summarized the findings of a 2016 area market study completed by Streetsense as part of the 2016 Area 6 small area planning effort.

TRANSECT MAP

The Transect Map illustrates the zones used to identify current settlement and commerce patterns and to direct new development, infill development or redevelopment within Area 6. This was developed after studying the existing and anticipating future built environment. Area 6, which is served by public transportation, includes opportunities for revitalization with integrated mixed-use and some areas for higher density development.

Area 6 consists of eight standard Transect Zone.
**CIVIC** (Within Area 6, there are two areas of civic use, James Monroe High School and the Heritage Trail Gateway Park at the entrance to the canal path. These are large scale City serving resources that are outside of the standard transit in their form and placement. James Monroe High School includes a number of specialized facilities that should be maximized by opening them to the general public when not in use by school programming. Currently, high school students park in the adjacent neighborhoods creating conflict with residential neighbors. Students should be encouraged to park in the provided lot.

**T-1 (PRESERVED OPEN SPACE)** Area 6 is bounded on the north by the Rappahannock River. Many properties that border the river as well as portions of Old Mill Park remain in a natural state with native plantings and riparian areas. Land adjacent to the River is within the floodway. To protect the floodway no development should be considered here. Alternatives to development, such as transfers of development rights, should be provided. The Rappahannock Canal and associated trails surround area 6 are recreational and environmental features with the capacity to expand stormwater facilities to improve environmental quality with their reversion to a more natural state.

**T-3E (SUB-URBAN EDGE)** The Normandy and Riverside neighborhoods composed of the Fairgrounds (1939) subdivisions and Normandy Village (1955) subdivisions, on the western side of Route 1, are categorized as T-3E. These neighborhoods have maintained their character as smaller, affordable, owner occupied homes from the mid-20th century. They are well maintained and have a high degree of architectural integrity. This neighborhood is enhanced by river views and associated trail amenities. This connection should be maintained. These neighborhoods, however, isolated from shopping opportunities by Route 1. Another neighborhood, Elmhurst (1935) to the east of Route 1, so named for the historic Elmhurst house (1871) it surrounds, contains single family homes with large lots and setbacks. All future infill and development should follow the form within these neighborhoods. With support from the majority of residents, these neighborhoods would benefit from the creation of a neighborhood pattern book or a character/conservation district. To further preserve the character, the neighborhood may request to work with the City on a comprehensive property maintenance review program. The City should consider refunding and applying the Rental Inspection program in this area to address housing quality. Current densities in these neighborhoods range from 3 to 8 units per acre.

**T-3 (SUB-URBAN)** In Area 6, neighborhoods on the east side of Route 1 made up of Bruilles (1923), Doswell Field (1924) and Elwood City (1935) subdivisions are categorized as T-3. These neighborhoods have a tight network of connected streets of primarily small lot single family detached housing with an additional mix of residential types with densities that far exceed the existing zoning. These neighborhoods are well established and walkable. The majority of the neighborhood homes were built in the early and mid 20th century and future infill should reflect this character with single family detached and semi-detached homes of a similar scale and form. Townhomes are not currently found within these neighborhoods and are not appropriate for future development. With support from the majority of residents, these neighborhoods would benefit from the creation of a neighborhood pattern book or a character/conservation district. To further preserve the character, the neighborhood may request to work with the City on a comprehensive property maintenance review program. The City should consider refunding and applying the Rental Inspection program in this area to address housing quality. Current densities in these neighborhoods range from 8 to 18 units per acre.

**T-4 (GENERAL URBAN)** The T-4 Zone currently consists of a horizontal mix of uses, with a range of commercial property types, converted residences and some low and high density residential. In this area, T-4 zones serve to buffer the Normandy Village and Riverside neighborhoods from Route 1 providing a transitional scale of commercial and residential development. T-4 commercial uses could easily transform to support higher density residential development as demand increases. Semi-detached and attached single family housing may both be appropriate. Future development is encouraged to transition to the form found across the street front. Continued commercial development should continue to support the neighborhood.

**T-5C (AREA CORE CORRIDOR)** The Route 1 corridor, currently exists as local neighborhood serving retail. This area is a prime candidate for retrofitting with up-graded commercial, office, and high density residential development. With proper access, compatible design, and the right mix of uses, this area is suitable for 3.0 commercial Floor Area Ratio and Mixed Use development. Good planning practice and market analysis encourages the retrofit of the Route 1 suburban strip, including adaptive reuse of existing structures, the replacement of structures, development of large parking lots, and the revitalization of natural systems on previously developed land into interconnected vibrant mixed-use nodes. This will require an update to the current zoning to allow commercial highway form based zoning opportunities. This area is also appropriate to receive additional density through a transfer of development rights program. With proper access, compatible design, and the right mix of uses, this area is suitable for 3.0 commercial Floor Area Ratio and Mixed Use development. Redevelopment should effectively transition to abutting single family neighborhoods and to adjacent open space.
**T-4M (GENERAL URBAN MAKER)** The T-4 maker zone currently consists of two main corridors of commercial activity connected by larger, primarily vacant, inner-area parcels. The Fall Hill Avenue corridor is a former medical hub with large scale commercial buildings and parking lots that define the corridor’s character and shape the streetscape. These include the 2300 Fall Hill Avenue building the former Mary Washington Hospital, (1949-1995) and its parking and outbuildings, and the adjoining PNC Bank building and its parking lots, (1975). These parcels are poised for redevelopment, all of which are encouraged to evolve into a more sustainable, integrated, and walkable fabric. Princess Anne Street, is defined by small scale commercial and retail structures dating to the mid 20th century. Contributing structures are encouraged to be reused and are ideal for creative commercial and making uses. Redevelopment should transition appropriately to neighborhoods. New development should respect the form established by the neighborhoods and contributing structures along the corridors. Parcels containing contributing structures should be sending areas for development rights in order to incentivize preservation.

This demonstrates one option for revitalization opportunities in this area.

**T-5M (AREA CORE MAKER)** This area benefits from a close proximity to downtown, only one mile to the south, and vibrant adjoining neighborhoods and as such has the potential to be the core of a 21st-Century maker district serving both the neighborhood and region. This area is characterized by early industrial and mid-20th century highway commercial buildings with distinct architectural character and features essential to support maker production. This zone currently consists of auto-oriented commercial uses that are poised for evolution to a greater mix of uses including residential. Large developed parcels under single ownership are encouraged to redevelop into a mixed use pattern. Improvements to the entry sequence at Route 1 should create a welcoming gateway into the district and City. Consideration for access and mobility, especially at corridors, will be essential in future transportation initiatives. New development should transition appropriately to any adjacent low density residential. Parcels containing contributing structures within this area are suitable to be sending areas for development rights in order to incentivize preservation.
Additional Notes on a Maker District in Area 6

Why a Maker District in T4-M and T5-M?
The establishment of a maker district expands the City’s policy toolkit to foster the evolution of the aging Route 1 corridor. Creating a unified district to foster a new creative/urban production economy would be a strong response to the redevelopment challenges affecting the area. It would enable local purveyors to produce the goods they sell and distribute throughout the region. This new district should complement downtown activities and include incentives to preserve historic structures and natural features while reducing barriers for both small scale entrepreneurs and larger companies looking to start and expand businesses along the corridor. This encourages more employment and apprenticeships in growing fields. This new type of district will respect the variations of form found in the existing patterns of development with special attention paid to structures deemed critical to district identity. The district will grow the area as both workplace and homestead for a cohesive neighborhood supporting a wide range of activity. To encourage this evolution, appropriate incentive programs should be explored including a targeted property acquisition program, facade grants, small business loans, and an expansion of the arts and cultural district.

Both the T4-M and T5-M are characterized with maker uses the areas are in two levels of density in order to create a viable scale of maker uses with appropriate transitions to adjacent single family neighborhoods.

Corridors, Nodes, and Third Spaces
The form of any future maker district in this area will thrive when built around its existing character. The Maker District should contain both automobile and pedestrian environments, public, and third spaces to include plazas, courtyards, and green spaces that serve as informal community spaces. The corridor will retain a mix of large scale facilities with varying setbacks in order to utilize truck infrastructure and automobile architecture necessary for commercial and production uses. Meanwhile, within concentrated nodes existing buildings shape the public realm and uniform public improvements would enhance the pedestrian environment. Parks, playgrounds, squares, greens, plazas, roof gardens, and courtyards, could potentially evolve out of existing asphalt and car storage to create places for people. The conversion of these areas should not be mandated, but rather encouraged as a means to generate the type of unifying nodes of human scaled activity that are currently missing from the corridor. This mix of corridor, node, and third spaces, the public spaces beyond home and work for community and entertainment, will create a district that supports the essential elements of a strong neighborhood.

Historic and Environmental Resources
In Area 6, the Princess Anne Street and Fall Hill Avenue corridors feature distinctive characteristics including a mix of unique historic structures and an urban form that contribute to a vision for a maker district. 30 structures have been identified as contributing to the character of the corridors. These all date to a period of significance for the neighborhood linked to the area’s boom at the expansion of the highway system in the mid-20th century. Sight lines to these structures are to be considered when identifying appropriate frontages for redevelopment and infill. The preservation of these structures should be encouraged by transfer of development rights and sight-line setbacks to allow development to occur in appropriate locations while ensuring the continued preservation of the identified character defining structures. This program should also be used to transfer development potential from the environmentally sensitive areas found within the floodway. Areas suitable for sending development rights are calculated for the density allowed on any parcel containing a contributing structure or environmentally sensitive area. Receiving areas outlined may receive this development potential on any parcel within the outlined area provided that the redevelopment of that site does not result in the demolition of or loss of integrity for any contributing structure, or impact of any environmentally sensitive areas.

Housing
New and existing residential uses will be key for successful redevelopment in this maker district area. The Market Study indicated demand for increased housing opportunities in the amenity-rich area. Small single-family detached and semi-detached homes as well as town homes and multi-family housing are all appropriate within the T-4m areas. Within T-5m areas, higher density development would be appropriate where it was similar in form to existing character structures. Residential demand and redevelopment presents an opportunity to expand the supply of affordable housing, through incentives, building upon the heritage of the area as a affordable neighborhoods for the City’s workforce. Additional opportunities for senior and student housing should also be encouraged. Housing should retain a balance with commercial uses in the district.
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Inventory of Historic Places
National Bank Kitchen (1839)
C.W. Wilder and Co. Silk Mill (1889)
Washington Woolen Mills Pants Factory (1909)
Billiards hall (1909)
Embrey Power Plant (1910)
Motel (1957)
2404 Princess Anne St (1919)
Burgess Service Station (1922)

Hotel Stratford(1926)
Hotel Wakefield (1928)
2401 Princess Anne (1929)
2415 Princess Anne St. (1930)
Dowling Mills (1936)
2105 Princess Anne St (1939)
Coca Cola Bottling Co. (1939)
Inter-State Ice Co. (1939)
Kenmore Hosiery (1947)
1900 Princess Anne St (1948)
1919 Princess Anne St (1948)
2401 Fall Hill Ave (1975)
2501 Fall Hill Ave (1964)
2401 Fall Hill Ave (1975)

KEY

- Character Structures
- Floodway
- TDR - Receiving Areas (150 acres)
- TDR - Sending Areas (30 acres)

KEY

- Character Structures
- Activity Nodes
- Potential Common Areas and Third Spaces
- T4-M (38 acres)
- T5-M (40 acres)
ENVIRONMENTAL AND OPEN SPACE

The Environmental Facilities highlighted on the map below are specific areas where new or retrofitted stormwater facilities may be located. Examples in Area 6 include the Rappahannock Canal, medians and green spaces adjacent to Route 1, and areas in Old Mill Park. These areas are designated because they meet the criteria established by a high level planning survey factoring in the size and character of drainage areas, the age and/or adequate presence of existing stormwater infrastructure, location and concentration of stormwater outfalls, and the general land ownership pattern of this area. The upgrade or establishment of new environmental facilities in these sites should be explored during privately led redevelopment, site plan, or land use application process. Special care must also be taken to protect steep slopes and natural grades wherever possible. The highlighted areas should also be considered conceptual public facilities shown on the Comprehensive Plan in accordance § 15.2-2232.A.

Implementing policies and planning infrastructure aimed at achieving the City’s Environmental Protection goals will remain an on-going process. The conceptual environmental facilities will be further refined through the development and implementation of the required Chesapeake Bay Total Maximum Daily Load Action Plan. The Plan will form the basis for future updates to this Environmental Facilities section.

Old Mill Park is a valuable open space resource in this small area. As popularity of this asset facilities should be evaluated to gauge the improvements needed to enhance the facility and to increase visitor capacity.

TABLE 11-27 ENVIRONMENTAL AREAS IN PLANNING AREA 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Old Mill Park</td>
<td>60 acres</td>
<td>Recreational area, historic sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside Drive Park</td>
<td>13 acres</td>
<td>Recreational area, historic sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rappahannock Canal</td>
<td>29 acres (approx)</td>
<td>Multi-use trail, historic waterway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson Davis Hwy</td>
<td>2/3 acres (approx)</td>
<td>Environmental treatment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MAP 26 ENVIRONMENTAL AND OPEN SPACE
**Historic Resources**

Planning Area 6 includes remnants of Fredericksburg’s early industrial history in the Old Mill Historic District and also reflects the city’s 20th-century evolution in the automobile-oriented landscape of the Princess Anne Street/US Route 1 corridor including several neighborhoods with strong architectural integrity. Several mills, mill sites, and two canals that served water-powered facilities are still present, though the lower canal, along the river, is now dry. These sites reflect the city’s river-oriented industrial pattern from the mid-18th century through the early 20th century. Roadside commercial structures displaying Moderne and other mid-20th-century architectural styles, including the c.1953 Carl’s Ice Cream Stand, reflect the transformation of American culture and commerce brought about by the automobile. Carl’s Ice Cream Stand is individually listed in the National Register of Historic Places, as is the c.1871 Elmhurst residence, which lends its name to the surrounding residential neighborhood.

**Table 11-28 Historical Resources in Planning Area 6**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Period of Significance</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Owner-Ship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Old Mill Historic District</td>
<td>1740s-1960s</td>
<td>Numerous mills and mill sites, lower canal</td>
<td>City and private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rappahannock Canal</td>
<td>1830s-1960s</td>
<td>Navigation canal converted to raceway</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falmouth Ford</td>
<td>Washington- Rochambeau Route</td>
<td>River crossing, camp sites in Old Mill Park</td>
<td>State and City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elmhurst</td>
<td>1871</td>
<td>Brick dwelling with distinctive cupola</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Bank Kitchen Dependency</td>
<td>1839</td>
<td>Federal-style dependency structure relocated from 900 Princess Anne St in the 1950's</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Neighborhoods</td>
<td>1924-1955</td>
<td>Early to mid-20th century single family workforce neighborhoods displaying a range of architectural styles</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carl’s Ice Cream Stand</td>
<td>1947-1953</td>
<td>Moderne-style roadside ice cream stand with distinctive rooftop signage</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Route 1 and Fall Hill Avenue corridors*</td>
<td>1930-1950</td>
<td>Early to mid-20th century roadside businesses displaying a range of architectural styles</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The historic resources identified as the Route 1 corridor include the structures identified as contributing to the character of the Maker District. Additionally, two other resources, the Quarles building, 1979, and the Economy Food mart, 1914, also represent this mid-century era of significance.*
**ACCESS AND MOBILITY**

**Mass Transit**
The Princess Anne Street corridor and surrounding neighborhoods, as well as the neighborhoods to the west of Route 1 area, are currently accessed by mass transit through the FRED regional bus system. Future development in this small plan area will continue to benefit from this system.

**One Way Pairs**
With the relocation of the hospital in 1995 and the reconstruction of the High School in 2006 set back from the street, the one way pair of Washington and Fall Hill Avenues may be no longer warranted. Double travel lanes on one way streets encourage increased speeds through neighborhoods. Converting one-way streets back into two-way streets will slow traffic in this Area, reduce driver confusion, and create a more appropriately scaled road network. Further study is underway.

**Improvements to Route 1/Jefferson Davis Hwy**
Improvements to Route 1/Jefferson Davis Highway within this area involve multiple measures to ease traffic congestion, reduce mobility confusion and ensure safe pedestrian crossings. Route 1 should have a consistent 90 ft right of way allowing for landscaped medians, sidewalks, as well as pedestrian refuges. Corridor improvements should also include intersection improvements. These recommendations are contained in the VDoT STARS, Strategically Targeted Affordable Roadway Solutions, program.

**Intersection improvements at Fall Hill Ave**
The Fall Hill Avenue/Route 1 intersection is addressed in the STARS program in response to congestion. The plan would increase the number of turning lanes on both Fall Hill and Route 1 to improve stacking and allow for a more effectively timed intersection. This includes two turning and two through lanes on Route 1. Fall Hill Ave from the west would feature a double turn lane to Route 1 North. All intersection improvements must also consider pedestrian equity. Safety should be integrated into the intersection with obvious and accessible pedestrian access and signal-timing to allow a comfortable crossing.

**Intersection Improvements at Princess Anne St**
Also recommended in the VDoT STARS program are intersection improvements to the City’s northern gateway at the intersection of Princess Anne Street and Route 1. This would involve multiple steps: reducing turning movements on to and off of Route 1; vacating under-utilized roadways; and clarifying turning movements. These positive steps would improve the experience of this important entryway and reduce area congestion amplified by an abundant number of curb cuts and turn options at Fredericksburg’s entry point. These improvements would also protect Hanson Ave. as a neighborhood street encouraging alternate connections to Fall Hill Ave. This new road configuration will clarify and elevate this entry point for drivers and pedestrians alike. Improving this intersection will also include closing the access of Van Buren St and Freedom Lane to improve safety at their intersection with Princess Anne St.

**Gateway Improvements at Princess Anne St**
Any improvement of the intersection should create an important “pocket park” as a visually significant entry feature incorporating the 1839 Kitchen Building, into a northside community center; provide a development site for such a catalyst project that could create a valuable “first impression” to the City; and have the potential to improve property values within the area. Together, road and land use improvements and a comprehensive wayfinding strategy (including signage and monumentation) would enhance the eastern entryway into Fredericksburg. Enhancing this northern gateway to the City, which is highly visible to travelers on the Route 1 corridor, will provide a distinctive and appealing sense of arrival. Additionally, Freedom Lane should be abandoned as a roadway but maintained as City property and enhanced with landscaping. This area would create opportunities for commuter and community facility parking. It would also serve as a beneficial resource during the eventual reconstruction of the Route 1 bridge.

**Vacating Excess Roadways**
Vacating Amaret Street between Route 1 and Charles St. would reduce confusion and could create opportunities for larger redevelopment efforts at the gateway to the City and allow for a widening of Route 1. Vacated areas should be included as part of comprehensive redevelopment that incorporates the significant contributing structure (1947 Kenmore Hosiery building). One block long streets including Race and Elm Streets should be treated as internal to larger redevelopment with possibility for vacation.
**Connection to River**

View corridors and access to the Rappahannock River should be maintained and enhanced by creating pedestrian crosswalks at Princess Anne Street and Germania and Bridgewater Streets. This clarifies preferred crossing at through-to-river intersection. These vistas and connections to trails and parks support the lifestyles of the residents of these neighborhoods.
**Revitalization**

Revitalization opportunities in Area 6 have been analyzed in accordance with VA Code Section 15.2-2303.4. The area’s potential for revitalization is based on the existence of surface parking, relative structure age, and a low percentage of undeveloped parcels. Large surface parking areas on commercial land having revitalization opportunities for the evolution of a suburban pattern of development into a more urban, productive, mixed-use pattern. Broad expanses of surface parking result in fragmented and inefficient development patterns that should be revitalized so as to create complete communities that are livable and robust. Significant structure age, indicates that revitalization is necessary with structural improvement or replacement. A property may be well maintained in terms of cleanliness and security, however the physical elements of buildings (including, roofs, windows, doors, heating/ventilation/air conditioning facilities) have a functional life span and require periodic replacement. A low percentage of vacant residential parcels, showing that most residential development will be in the form of redevelopment/revitalization. Commercial areas that are vacant are typically adjacent to existing commercial projects and have a low-intensity suburban character. This would also indicate the potential for revitalization. Revitalization of older commercial areas and shopping centers may take many forms, including renovation, demolition, and re-building, beautification, and landscaping projects, economic incentives for new businesses, and the addition of residential or mixed-use components. Areas that are located along major state highways, (e.g., Route 1 Business (Princess Anne Street) and the Route 1 Bypass (Jefferson Davis Highway)) have long served as commercial centers and can continue to do so, as long as they are connected to walkable and accessible residential neighborhoods.

The current primary zoning designation within Area 6 along Route 1 and Princess Anne Street is Commercial Highway, with Shopping Center Commercial also along Route 1 south of Fall Hill Avenue. These districts also permit residential development at a density of 12 units per acre. The dominant existing development along Route 1 is a series of strip shopping centers and free-standing businesses developed in the 1960, 70s, and 80s. Along Princess Anne Street, the businesses are predominantly free-standing and date from the 1920s though to 1970s. The strip centers and free-standing businesses along Route 1 Bypass include retail, service, motel and office uses, but contain no residential use, whereas the Princess Anne Street corridor also include some residential uses mixed in. To the south is a single-family neighborhood developed in the 1930s, 40s and 50s. To the north are single family homes built in the 1950s and 60s.

The commercial development form of Route 1 is suburban in nature, characterized by buildings that are set back from the landscape they dominate; the commercial buildings are the dominant spatial figures in the development, as opposed to public roadways or public parks or spaces. The free standing businesses on Princess Anne street have a somewhat more urban character with some structures closer to the street. However, the buildings in both corridors tend to be dedicated to a single use with development that is almost entirely auto-dependent, involving large surface parking lots surrounding the buildings. Land bays are infrequently connected by driveways or roads.

These commercial strips have been subject to intense competition from commercial strip development further south on Route 1, on Plank Road, as well as Central Park on the west side of I-95. This competition, in combination with the Internet economy, has resulted in a limited range of anchor retail tenants, the backfilling of retail space with less-dominant retail uses, and vacant retail spaces. However, these areas are still heavily traveled and have the potential for higher-quality commercial uses.

The former Mary Washington Hospital (1949-1995), PNC Bank (1975), and associated large areas of surface parking lots are located east of Route 1 on Fall Hill Avenue. As 2300 Fall Hill Avenue, the former hospital, remains in use for medical offices. Adjacent to the previous hospital is the re-built James Monroe High School, which was completed 10 years ago, and should be able to serve as an anchor for new Area 6 residential and commercial uses.

This section of the City is designated as a revitalization area that encompasses mass transit, includes and provides for mixed use development, and allows for a density of 3.0 floor area ratio in some commercially zoned areas in a portion thereof. Commercial and residential density, higher than allowed by-right or by TDR, should be allowed only as a Special Use and when any negative impacts of such additional density are addressed, such as traffic and parking congestion and the massing and scale of the project. In this small area, commercial zoning is currently established along Route 1 and Princess Anne Street and is adjacent to single family development. Impacts on these residential areas should be carefully considered before a special use permit is approved for more intensive uses. Area 6 has 43% of its commercial area devoted to surface parking. This percentage indicates a low intensity suburban land use pattern with a ready potential for redevelopment and revitalization with infill development into a more intense urban pattern. Ninety percent
(90%) of the area’s residential structures and 75% of its commercial structures were built before 1980. Further, only approximately 1% of lots in the residential portion of this area are vacant. With limited other vacant residential land in the area, any new development will be in the revitalization of existing units or the addition of new units within the Maker district.

Potential strategies and tools for revitalizing the commercial corridors in Area 6 include but are not limited to:

- The transfer of development rights (TDR) from sending properties that have architectural or historical significance to potential receiving areas in need of revitalization
- Protecting and enhancing the character of the neighborhoods through the creation of pattern books and conservation districts.
- Economic redevelopment incentives and grants through opportunity zones, Economic Development Authority, and other sources.
- A potential special tax district that could fund a revitalization program for these corridors that would include landscaping, facade improvements, lighting, and signage.
- The creation of a new strategic properties acquisition fund to purchase and obtain easements on historically significant structures with an eye toward their rehabilitation and reuse.

The City should pursue one or more of these strategies as appropriate to incentivize the preservation of character defining features spurring both redevelopment along the corridors and protection in the residential neighborhoods.
IMPLEMENTATION

INFRASTRUCTURE

Reduce congestion and improve safety by implementing the VDOT STARS program with improvements for vehicle circulation at the Route 1 and Princess Anne and Route 1 and Fall Hill Intersections. Increase pedestrian equity along and across Route 1 with multi-modal path and including full pedestrian crossings at Fall Hill Avenue and Princess Anne Street, crossing signals, sufficient timing, medians, and safe landing points.

Evaluate the conversion of one-way streets, Washington Ave., Fall Hill Ave., and Maury St. back into two-way streets to slow traffic through neighborhood roads and increase pedestrian safety and comfort while maintaining traffic flow and on-street parking.

Allow the sections of streets to create more development-friendly blocks with a useful pattern for circulation. Specifics include, Amaret St., Freedom Lane, Race St., and Elm St.

REGULATIONS

Establish a maker district to spur redevelopment along the Princess Anne Street and Route 1 corridors promoted and led by a central organization of merchants and creators. Rezone the area to a maker zoning to merge existing corridor design guidelines, and new form based elements to support the vision of the district.

Evaluate incentive programs to improve the creation and expansion of creative businesses within Area 6 including targeted building acquisition, facade grants, small business loans, and the expansion of the arts and cultural district.

Develop a transfer of development rights program to incentivize the preservation of contributing structures and the protection of floodway.

Establish a program to incentivize the creation of affordable housing units.

Rezone publicly held land and preserved open space categorized as Civic or T-1 to a public, recreational, open space, and environmental (PROSE) zoning district.

Simplify zoning regulations for more compatibility between zoning districts and to ensure uses are compatible with existing patterns within neighborhoods. Reassign residential zoning districts to be more consistent with the pattern of development.

Rezone Commercial Shopping Center property to Commercial Highway.

Implement the Chesapeake Bay Total Maximum Daily Load Plan, identify and study possible locations for updates, create additional open spaces, create or upgrade required best management practices, and reduce the amount of nutrients in the storm water runoff.

PUBLIC FACILITIES

Improve the entry sequence from southbound Route 1 to Princess Anne Street and provide opportunities for gateway improvements at this important entry to the City including way finding, welcome signage, branding opportunities, and a re-purposing of the 1839 kitchen dependency into an entry feature that serves the community.

Evaluate a targeted streetscape program to include street lights, pedestrian improvements, and street trees within nodes while gateway elements along corridors will provide an improved entry experience.

Maintain and enhance view corridors to the Rappahannock River by emphasizing the connection between the neighborhood and the river with improved pedestrian connections.

Immediate  Ongoing  As Resources Permit
As Resources Permit
LAND USE PLANNING AREA 7: DOWNTOWN

SETTING
Planning Area 7 (Map 27) includes the downtown business district and the many neighborhoods that surround it. This planning area encompasses the oldest part of the City and reflects the development pattern established by the street grid, laid out when Fredericksburg was founded. The area is characterized by the urban core - with its commercial, religious, and municipal functions - and by clearly defined residential neighborhoods.

OPPORTUNITIES
- Protect the historic aspects of the downtown business district, through careful adaptive reuse of existing buildings and appropriate new construction on infill sites.
- Support redevelopment that respects historic structures, but without dictating architectural style or limiting creativity.
- Evaluate parking needs and develop appropriate strategies (shared parking, structures, etc.) that provide for the continued viability of downtown Fredericksburg as well as its further growth and development.
- Continue to work with the Virginia Railway Express to provide additional parking for rail users, with direct access to VRE lots from the Blue and Gray Parkway.
- Work with VRE and FRED to establish the railway station area as a multi-modal center.
- Continue revitalization of the key corridors of Princess Anne Street, Kenmore Avenue, William Street, and Lafayette Boulevard.
- Improve the appearance of municipal parking lots and enhance the pedestrian connections between parking areas and downtown activity areas.
- Facilitate development of the East Coast Greenway across the Chatham Bridge and through downtown Fredericksburg.
- Improve public spaces in the historic center of the City, such as the Market Square and the riverfront park. Continue to revitalize the downtown tree canopy.
- Work with Main Street Fredericksburg to develop an appropriate mix of businesses that keep downtown a viable urban center.
- Promote residential and mixed-use development.
- When considering adaptive reuse of any public building, ensure that any new use will contribute to a dynamic downtown community.
- Ensure persons with disabilities are included in the downtown environment by evaluating the accessibility of buildings and infrastructure. Encourage downtown property owners to improve accessibility to shops, restaurants, offices, and other commercial facilities so everyone can visit all parts of the community.
- Evaluate the area between Lafayette Boulevard and Hazel Run, adjacent to the National Park, for possible preservation or appropriate redevelopment.
- Respect battlefield lines-of-sight.
- Protect established residential neighborhoods from existing and proposed commercial development, through transitional uses and design standards that minimize adverse impacts.

- Work with VRE and FRED to establish the railway station area as a multi-modal center.
- Continue revitalization of the key corridors of Princess Anne Street, Kenmore Avenue, William Street, and Lafayette Boulevard.
- Improve the appearance of municipal parking lots and enhance the pedestrian connections between parking areas and downtown activity areas.
- Facilitate development of the East Coast Greenway across the Chatham Bridge and through downtown Fredericksburg.
- Improve public spaces in the historic center of the City, such as the Market Square and the riverfront park. Continue to revitalize the downtown tree canopy.

FIGURE 50 DOWNTOWN IS WALKABLE
Land Use Planning Area 7: Downtown

Legend
- Planning Area
- Sub Planning Areas
EXISTING LAND USE

There are multiple uses already in place within the many close-knit blocks, so a review of existing land uses is more readily done by looking at this planning area in sub-areas.

SUB PLANNING AREA 7A

This sub area is Fredericksburg's historic core and the Princess Anne Street corridor constitutes the City's religious/government/financial corridor (developed in the early nineteenth century because it is well above flood levels). The City's new court house, constructed on Princess Anne Street, maintains this historic context.

The topography in sub planning area 7A is terraced. Sophia Street, closest to the Rappahannock River, has low areas where flooding occurs. Sophia Street is the link between the historic riverfront and central business district focused along Caroline Street. The west side of Sophia Street constitutes the urban edge, while the east side of the street, between Hanover and Wolfe Streets is being developed as a riverfront park.

The next street over, slightly higher in elevation, is Caroline Street, a place of businesses and residences. This corridor is defined by upscale homes to the north (sub planning area 7B) and to the south (sub planning area 7E), where the terrain is slightly higher in elevation than the commercial center. Buildings in the central business district are primarily brick, with visible roof planes. As most urban centers developed, visible roofs gave way to additional stories capped by a prominent cornice. In Fredericksburg, however, recovery from the Civil War was slow and while there are many new buildings with strong cornice features, many structures retain their visible roof planes.

Princess Anne Street is at a higher elevation still than Caroline Street. Safe from river flooding, prominent churches that define the City's skyline are found there, as are the courts, city hall, and public safety buildings. Behind the religious/government/financial center are residential areas as well as the commercial corridor of William Street. The neighborhoods are characterized by a great variety of houses, but with a rough consistency in scale and massing. There are also pockets of very modest places, a reminder of the proximity of domestic help at one time for more affluent families. The William Street corridor has many opportunities for infill development at urban densities and scale.

A block to the south of William Street is the six-block long George Street that connects the downtown business district with the former school now called Maury Center. The Central Rappahannock Heritage Center is housed at Maury and the nearby playing field hosts school athletics as well as other community activities. A George Street walk has been established with brick sidewalks, a war memorial, and visitor wayside panels.

SUB PLANNING AREA 7B

This area consists of the residential neighborhoods between the Rappahannock Canal and Amelia Street, and between the Rappahannock River and the eastern boundary of Kenmore Park/western boundary of the Confederate Cemetery. Princess Anne Street extends into this area from the U.S. Route 1 Bypass (Jefferson Davis Highway) and was once the main north-south route through town before the U.S. Route 1 Bypass diverted through-traffic. As a consequence, it includes many roadside commercial uses, many of which have been adapted to other commercial uses.

FIGURE 51 DOWNTOWN IS SURROUNDED BY NUMEROUS RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOODS
**Sub Planning Area 7C**
The residential area between Kenmore Park/Confederate Cemetery and Sunken Road is a carefully designed neighborhood. The blocks are bisected by alleys, within which the utility poles necessary for modern living are located. The neighborhood streets were thus left free of overhead wires, which allowed them to have an attractive tree canopy. Unfortunately, the physical design of these residential areas has become compromised by expanding the original four foot wide sidewalks to five feet and by allowing encroachments into many of the once-functional alleys. The too-wide sidewalks cut into the tree planting areas (in a neighborhood specifically designed for street trees) and the blocked alleys preclude their use for off-street parking. The City needs to reclaim its alleys and reestablish the four-foot-wide sidewalks.

**Sub Planning Area 7D**
This sub area is the battleground of the December 1862 and May 1863 battles of Fredericksburg. A portion of the Fredericksburg and Spotsylvania National Military Park constitutes its western end, including the Fredericksburg Visitor Center and the National Cemetery. The area between the Federal holdings and Kenmore Avenue has developed as a residential neighborhood. William Street runs along the north edge of this sub area, extending into sub planning area 7A. Kenmore Avenue branches off William Street, providing a link to Lafayette Boulevard. It follows what used to be a raceway and there are a variety of industrial buildings in this corridor, some of which have been adapted to commercial and residential uses.

Before construction of the U.S. Route 1 Bypass, Lafayette Boulevard was the north-south U.S. Route 1 highway. Like Princess Anne Street, it had a variety of roadside businesses, many of which have also been adapted to contemporary uses. The Federal government improved this road significantly, between the downtown railway station and its National Cemetery, which is why it was once called Government Road. Lafayette Boulevard is also a prominent entryway into downtown Fredericksburg for motorists arriving from the south. Improvements to this route are planned south of the Blue and Gray Parkway, but the section of the road in Planning Area 7 will not be widened. This route has a variety of zoning districts, which need to be reconsidered for a more flexible Planned Development-Mixed Use zoning.

**Sub Planning Area 7E**
This sub area include a neighborhood of large lots on a plateau well out of the flood hazard area adjacent to a more modest neighborhood that extends into the lower ground along Charles Street. The drainage is to Hazel Run. This sub area is the terminus of Caroline and Princess Anne Streets, but Charles Street becomes Dixon Street as it heads south, beyond the City limits. Dixon Street was once known as the Richmond Stage Road and was an easy road through the relatively flat Tidewater region. Its character within this planning area is primarily residential, but also includes the old Walker-Grant School.

The Old Walker-Grant School was constructed as a segregated school for the City’s African American population and includes two substantial buildings and large playing fields. It remains in use as a school and as administrative spaces. It is the oldest of all the City’s schools and is in need of renovation and upgrade.

**FIGURE 52** Fredericksburg’s skyline is punctuated by churches and civic buildings
ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

The Rappahannock River and Hazel Run constitute the eastern and southern boundaries of this planning area, respectively, and any development must address flood hazards as well as any environmentally sensitive areas. In addition, there is both natural and urban open space that is under permanent protection.

**TABLE 11-31  PRESERVATION AREAS IN PLANNING AREA 7**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fredericksburg and Spotsylvania National Military Park</td>
<td>50 acres (approximately)</td>
<td>National Cemetery, Willis Cemetery, Fredericksburg Battlefield Visitor Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Washington Monument Park</td>
<td>4.0 acres</td>
<td>Memorial, stone lodge, and Gordon Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington Avenue Mall</td>
<td>Four blocks</td>
<td>Open medians with monuments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobblestone/Hazel Run Nature Area</td>
<td>10 acres</td>
<td>Wetlands and woods, with trail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fredericksburg Cemetery/Confederate Cemetery</td>
<td>6.0 acres combined</td>
<td>Cemeteries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shiloh Cemetery</td>
<td>2.0 acres</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverfront Park</td>
<td>3.0 acres</td>
<td>New park to be developed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memorial Park</td>
<td>5.25 acres</td>
<td>Recreational facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Gardens</td>
<td>9.4 acres</td>
<td>Garden plots, botanical garden, dog park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Greens</td>
<td>3.62 acres</td>
<td>Garden plots, gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterans Memorial</td>
<td>Traffic islands on George St.</td>
<td>Landscaped memorials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maury Field/Potters Field</td>
<td>6.0 acres</td>
<td>Athletic fields and playground</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 53  HUGH MERCER MONUMENT**

**FIGURE 54  SHILOH CEMETERY**
**Historic Resources**

This planning area includes the Historic Fredericksburg District (HFD) and many other areas of historic importance. Development and redevelopment in designated areas must adhere to the City’s historic district regulations. Best practice for designating historic districts is to include both sides of a street, which provides oversight of the entire streetscape. In Fredericksburg, several short overlay boundaries go down the middle of a street, but the long western boundary of the Historic District is comprised of the western boundary of the individual properties that front the west side of Prince Edward Street.

The National Park Service administers an area along Sunken Road that includes battlefield terrain and a National Cemetery. The City of Fredericksburg vacated its right of access to Sunken Road several years ago and the National Park Service has accomplished an extensive scene restoration effort that will continue as the Park acquires additional nearby properties along Willis Street and demolishes the houses there. The National Park Service interprets its holdings within the City limits primarily as the battlefield of December 13, 1862, but a second battle of Fredericksburg occurred across this same ground as part of the Chancellorsville campaign, in the spring of 1863. The City of Fredericksburg has begun to interpret the events of the second battle of Fredericksburg within its growing trail system, but significant portions of that 1863 battleground remain without interpretation on the Federally protected lands.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 11-32 Historic Resources in Planning Area 7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SITE NAME</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Fredericksburg District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fredericksburg and Spotsylvania National Military Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fredericksburg Gun Factory (site)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington Avenue Historic District (1200-1500 blocks)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stearns House (720 William Street)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maury School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mill Race Commons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stratton House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rowe House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Walker-Grant School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warehouse District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railroad Freight terminal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Central Railway</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LAND USE POTENTIAL

Fredericksburg long-term emphasis on maintaining the integrity of its historic district has resulted in a vibrant downtown that is economically viable and a desirable place to live, work, and visit. Because of its urban nature, this planning area has many redevelopment opportunities and a variety of plans have been developed over the years, each building on strong urban concepts of pedestrian orientation, infill development, and mixed uses.

DOWNTOWN ADAPTIVE REUSE ACTIVITY

There are several guiding principles for downtown redevelopment, as follows:

- Protect the character and historic integrity of downtown Fredericksburg.
- Enhance the downtown area as the region’s urban hub, with center-city amenities that serve the greater regional community.
- Attract investors, residents, and visitors to the downtown area through attention to mixed-uses and flexible parking requirements.
- Replace the current variety of zoning districts along commercial and industrial corridors with a more suitable planned development-mixed use zoning.

Preservation of historic buildings has been a significant part of maintaining a viable downtown business district, through the careful adaptation of older buildings to contemporary needs. In addition, there are numerous vacant and underdeveloped lots that invite infill development. The City has developed a comprehensive review process for infill projects to encourage such development while maintaining the historic integrity of the downtown area.

INFRASTRUCTURE

ROADS

No new roads are proposed for this planning area, but there are two sets of one-way streets that should be considered for improvement. Amelia and William Streets constitute a primary automobile route for east-west travel, while Caroline and Princess Anne Streets accommodate north-south travel. These routes handle twenty first century automobile traffic within an eighteenth century community design. At one point, it was thought to be necessary to provide for high speed automobile traffic through downtown Fredericksburg, but best practices in planning suggest a rethinking of the need for higher speeds in a downtown environment. There are existing bypass highways for higher speed traffic and downtown locations can be made safer for pedestrians by returning the one-way streets to two-way traffic. The challenge, however, is that Fredericksburg’s downtown alleyways are insufficient (and sometimes nonexistent) as supporting infrastructure for the downtown economy. As a consequence, the one-way streets allow delivery vehicles to load and unload while blocking one lane of traffic. Downtown deliveries will need to be addressed before returning streets to their historic two-way traffic pattern. Potential solutions to this curb management challenge include limiting deliveries to specific hours and/or establishing loading zones.

BRIDGES

This planning area includes two of the City’s five bridges that cross the Rappahannock River. These crossings are as follows:

- Chatham Bridge – Business State Route 3 (William Street)
- Rappahannock River Bridge – CSX railway (two tracks)

The Chatham bridge is scheduled to be replaced between 2031 and 2035. Trails

Every street in this planning area includes sidewalks for pedestrians. In addition, there are several sections of multi-use trails within the planning area. The longer trails coursing through the planning area are the Canal Park Trail and the Virginia Central Railway Trail.

The East Coast Greenway is the urban version of the Appalachian Trail, heavily focused on cyclists. Along its route from Maine to Miami, the Greenway will cross the Rappahannock River on the Chatham Bridge. Programmed repairs to the Chatham Bridge include the need to enhance the safety and functionality of this significant multi-use trail.
TRAFFIC CALMING
The traditional street grid effectively diffuses traffic and provides a general degree of traffic calming. As the riverfront park is developed, however, traffic along Sophia Street will need to be slowed down further, to enhance pedestrian safety in and around the new park. Raised intersections at Hanover and Charlotte Streets will accomplish this task, but other options should be evaluated as well.

As William Street and Amelia Street are improved, each intersection between Washington Avenue and Caroline Street should be modified to calm traffic and enhance pedestrian safety. This sidewalk adaptation will consist of the sidewalk being extended into the roadway, to bump out the curb at each corner. This physical addition to the sidewalks will enhance pedestrian access and safety, but will not remove any of the available on-street parking that already exists. Instead, the extended curbs will shorten the distance that pedestrians have to travel from curb to curb, create a traffic calming effect along these well traveled corridors, and frame (rather than reduce) the on-street parking.

**TABLE 11-33 TRANSPORTATION SUMMARY FOR PLANNING AREA 7**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chatham Bridge</td>
<td>William Street/Rappahannock River</td>
<td>Replace bridge to include improved bicycle/pedestrian facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Boulevard Improvements</td>
<td>Blue and Gray Parkway to Sophia Street</td>
<td>Roundabout at Kenmore Ave., parking, intersection safety improvements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophia Street Traffic Calming</td>
<td>Hanover and Charlotte Streets</td>
<td>Raised intersections or other appropriate measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Deck for the Virginia Railway Express</td>
<td>Frederick Street (extended)</td>
<td>Structures parking near the VRE station, with direct access to the Blue and Gray Parkway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William and Amelia Street Traffic Calming</td>
<td>Between Washington Avenue and Caroline Street</td>
<td>Extended sidewalk areas at each intersection (bumpouts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reestablish two-way traffic patterns</td>
<td>Amelia/William Streets and Caroline/Princess Anne Streets</td>
<td>Eliminate pairs of one-way streets and reestablish two-way streets</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LAND USE PLANNING AREA 8: DIXON STREET/MAYFIELD

SETTING
Planning Area 8 (Map 28) is bounded by the railway to the west, Hazel Run to the north, the Rappahannock River to the east, and the City/County line to the south. This area includes residential neighborhoods, Dixon Park, and the fairgrounds. Road corridors include the Blue and Gray Parkway and Dixon Street (State Route 2/17).

OPPORTUNITIES
- Construct a community center at Dixon Park.
- Expand Dixon Park toward the river, when the municipal wastewater treatment plant is decommissioned and removed. Consider a new boat ramp in that location.
- Continue to develop appropriate screening between the Mayfield neighborhood and the railway corridor.
- Continue to work with CSX to minimize hazardous materials kept near the Mayfield community.
- Enhance Dixon Street as an entryway to the City, through additional street trees and other suitable landscaping.
- Plant trees along neighborhood streets that will provide shade and plant trees along Railroad Avenue to continue to screen the neighborhood from rail activity.
- Protect established neighborhoods from existing and proposed commercial development, through transitional uses and design standards that minimize adverse impacts.

EXISTING LAND USE
Planning Area 8 is characterized by several residential neighborhoods, the agricultural fairgrounds, and the recreational complex called Dixon Park. The municipal wastewater treatment plant is also in this planning area, adjacent to the river. The Dixon Street corridor, State Route 2/17, has a variety of commercial and industrial uses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 11-34</th>
<th>LAND USE SUMMARY FOR PLANNING AREA 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CORRIDOR</td>
<td>DEVELOPMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dixon Street</td>
<td>Fredericksburg Agricultural Fair, Inc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dixon Street</td>
<td>Lincoln Terminal and other industries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dixon Street</td>
<td>Surgi-Center of Central Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dixon Street</td>
<td>Municipal treatment plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dixon Street</td>
<td>Dixon Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dixon Street</td>
<td>Mayfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dixon Street</td>
<td>Airport and New Kent Subdivisions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS
Hazel Run constitutes the north boundary of this planning area and the stream valley merits special attention and protection. The southern portion of the planning area is traversed by a tributary to Deep Run and there are extensive areas of adjacent wetlands. The Rappahannock River constitutes a portion of this area’s eastern boundary and is undeveloped except for the municipal wastewater treatment plant and remnants of the old FMC plant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 11-35</th>
<th>PRESERVATION AREAS IN PLANNING AREA 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAME</td>
<td>SIZE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City-owned riparian land</td>
<td>22 acres</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Land Use Planning Area 8: Dixon Street/Mayfield

Legend:
- Planning Area
- Sub-planning Area

Map 28
**Historic Resources**

The northern portion of the planning area was a Civil War battleground, but the terrain has been much altered by the Blue and Gray Parkway and residential development. During the war years, a dwelling at what is now 1100 Dixon Street was a prominent landmark, known then as the Ferneyhough House. The existing frame building appears to have been built on the original foundation, but has deteriorated beyond economical repair.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SITE NAME</th>
<th>PERIOD OF SIGNIFICANCE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>OWNERSHIP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ferneyhough House (Sligo) site (1100 Dixon Street)</td>
<td>Ante-bellum</td>
<td>Frame dwelling on historic foundation</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powell-Toombs-Hicks-Parcell-Davis Cemetery</td>
<td>1895-1935</td>
<td>Cemetery at corner of Myrick and Summit Streets</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Land Use Potential**

The Mayfield neighborhood has opportunities for infill development. Similarly, the Dixon Street corridor includes several sites with commercial infill potential. The Agricultural Fairgrounds property is also zoned for industrial uses.

The City is exploring the feasibility of developing a joint wastewater treatment plant in partnership with Spotsylvania County. If this effort results in the abandonment of the existing municipal treatment plant, an expansion of Dixon Park to the Rappahannock River becomes possible. This expansion could provide the potential location for a new State boat ramp and other recreational improvements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUB PLANNING AREA</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>CURRENT ZONING</th>
<th>RECOMMENDED USE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8A</td>
<td>27.5 acres</td>
<td>I-1 Industrial</td>
<td>I-1 and I-2 Industrial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8B</td>
<td>1.5 acres</td>
<td>R-2 Residential</td>
<td>Incorporate into Dixon Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8C</td>
<td>7 acres</td>
<td>I-1 Industrial</td>
<td>I-1 Industrial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8D</td>
<td>3,300 linear feet in Dixon Street Corridor</td>
<td>Commercial-Highway; Commercial-Transitional/Office</td>
<td>Commercial-General; Commercial-Transitional/Office</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sub Planning Area 8A**

This 27.5-acre tract includes frontage on Lansdowne Road. The site includes wetlands and is a challenge to develop. The recommended land use is industrial, with due attention to the wetlands.

**Sub Planning Area 8B**

This small parcel is surrounded by Dixon Park and has a deteriorating house with a driveway to Dixon Street. The site was a battlefield landmark, but the existing house appears to be a post Civil War dwelling, constructed on an older foundation. The proposed use has been Commercial, but access to the house is awkward from the busy Dixon Street. A better use is as part of Dixon Park, which would allow the Dixon Street driveway to be closed and the property accessed from Dixon Park.

**Sub Planning Area 8C**

This 7-acre parcel is at the corner of Dixon Street and Lansdowne Road. It is cut by stream channels, which has made it difficult to develop. Recommended land use is industrial.
**Sub Planning Area 8D (Dixon Street Corridor)**

This section of Dixon Street is a two lane road within Spotsylvania County that is entirely inadequate for the existing traffic. Within the City limits, this road is a four-lane divided road to the Blue and Gray Parkway. The section in Spotsylvania County is planned for improvements to a four-lane roadway, but not until the year 2036. The west side of the road is within the City limits, but only a narrow strip has commercial zoning.

**Infrastructure**

**Roads**

This planning area is well served by Dixon Street as well as the Blue and Gray Parkway. There are no needed improvements to these roadways within the City limits, but as the four-lane Dixon Street extends into Spotsylvania County it drops to a two-lane roadway, which is inadequate for existing traffic when the fairgrounds are in use. Plans are in place to improve an additional four miles of Dixon Street/State Route 2/17 in Spotsylvania County to a four-lane roadway, but funding is not anticipated to be available until 2036-2040. An alternative route is already in use along Lee Drive through the Fredericksburg and Spotsylvania National Military Park. The National Park Service is increasingly concerned about adverse impacts of so much cut-through traffic to park visitors and is considering making Lee Drive a one-way road, to ensure visitor safety. Since this park road was never meant to function as part of the area’s road network, improvements to State Route 2/17 need more immediate attention and funding.

An additional issue with State Route 2/17 concerns access to and from the Airport Subdivision. There is a short link called George Coghill Road that connects the subdivision to Route 2/17, which would benefit from a deceleration lane on the main road.

**Trails**

Pedestrian access between downtown and Mayfield/Dixon Park is in place along excellent sidewalks lining both sides of Dixon Street. A Riverside trail between lower Caroline Street and Dixon Park is contained in the Fredericksburg Pathways Plan (2006), but this route encounters a host of natural obstacles that will be very costly to overcome. Since the pedestrian connection is well established, with a safe crossing over Hazel Run, the riverside route does not have a high priority for implementation. More trees should be planted along the sidewalks, to make them more inviting to pedestrians.

**Traffic Calming**

Because of the congestion issues on Dixon Street (Route 2/17), Airport Avenue experiences a high degree of cut-through traffic. Traffic calming measures are needed to ensure resident safety. The pedestrian crossing at Dixon and McKinney Street should also be monitored to ensure it maintains a high degree of safety.
LAND USE PLANNING AREA 9: BRAEHEAD/NATIONAL PARK

SETTING

Planning Area 9 (Map 29 includes the City’s industrial park as well a large portion of the Fredericksburg battlefield administered by the Fredericksburg and Spotsylvania National Military Park. The industrial park is flat, with extensive wetlands. The National Park property consists of a low ridge that drains to the east. The Blue and Gray Parkway defines the north edge of this planning area and the CSX Railway runs along its eastern edge.

OPPORTUNITIES

− Provide for appropriate commercial development along the Blue and Gray Parkway.
− Continue to develop the City/Battlefield Industrial Park.
− Explore potential land use alternatives for the industrial park, but recognize that the existing road network within the industrial park would require extensive redesign and that any new development would also have potential visual impacts on the adjacent National Park.
− Respect the battlefield lines of sight.
− Work with the National Park Service to provide a safe pedestrian crossing across Lafayette Boulevard at Lee Drive.

EXISTING LAND USE

The City’s heavy industrial uses are located in the City/Battlefield Industrial Park, but a significant part of that area (84 acres) is still in agricultural use.

TABLE 11-38 Land Use Summary for Planning Area 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CORRIDOR</th>
<th>DEVELOPMENT</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blue &amp; Gray Parkway</td>
<td>City/Battlefield Industrial Park</td>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>Various</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Braehead Farm</td>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>84 acres</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

There are areas of wetlands within the City/Battlefield Industrial Park that must continue to be protected. Hazel Run must also be protected when drainage is addressed in the industrial park. This planning area also includes components of the Fredericksburg and Spotsylvania National Military Park.

TABLE 11-39 Preservation Areas in Planning Area 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fredericksburg and Spotsylvania NMP</td>
<td>64.5 acres</td>
<td>Battlefield terrain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braehead</td>
<td>18 acres</td>
<td>Battlefield terrain and a house under preservation easement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HISTORIC RESOURCES

Planning Area 9 includes a portion of the Fredericksburg and Spotsylvania National Military Park that is accessed by a Park road called Lee Drive. Within the Park boundary is the Braehead mansion, which is privately owned but protected through a preservation easement held by the Virginia Department of Historic Resources. Most of Planning Area 9, including the land within the National Park, was also a battleground on May 3, 1863, during the second battle of Fredericksburg. The National Park Service, however, does not interpret this second battle.

TABLE 11-40 Historic Resources in Planning Area 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SITE</th>
<th>PERIOD OF SIGNIFICANCE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>OWNER-SHIP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Old Grain Road</td>
<td>Civil War</td>
<td>Ante-bellum road</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-burg and Spotsylvania NMP</td>
<td>Civil War</td>
<td>Wooded terrain, earthworks</td>
<td>Federal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braehead</td>
<td>Civil War</td>
<td>1850’s dwelling</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Legend:
- Planning Area
- Sub-planning Area

MAP 29  Land Use Planning Area 9: Braehead/National Park
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Map 29
**LAND USE POTENTIAL**

The City/Battlefield Industrial Park presents excellent development and redevelopment opportunities. Several sites are large enough for major industrial uses. The City seeks development within the industrial park that properly protects the integrity of the neighboring National Park.

**TABLE 11-41  LAND USE POTENTIAL IN PLANNING AREA 9**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUB PLANNING AREA</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>CURRENT ZONING</th>
<th>RECOMMENDED LAND USE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9A</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>I-2 General Industrial</td>
<td>Industrial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9B</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>I-2 General Industrial</td>
<td>Planned Development - Mixed Use</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUB PLANNING AREA 9A**

This 84-acre tract is the remaining portion of the Braehead Farm that remains in agricultural use. The proposed use is industrial and access will be off Tyler Street. There are no plans to extend Belman Road into Spotsylvania County.

**SUB PLANNING AREA 9B**

This 19-acre parcel has frontage on the Blue and Gray Parkway, at its intersection with Belman Road. Under a Planned Development – Mixed Use zoning, this area could have the potential to attract professional offices that seek to locate near an urban center, but need more acreage than might be available downtown.

**FIGURE 56  INDUSTRIAL PARK DEVELOPMENT**
Infrastructure

Roads

The road network of the City/Battlefield Industrial Park has two points of entry, at the Blue and Gray Parkway along its northern boundary. Further access is blocked by the railway corridor to the east and by the National Park to the west. There have been discussions about opening a connection to the south, to Lansdowne Road in Spotsylvania County. This route has never been included in any roadway plans, neither regional nor local, as it would compromise the function of the Blue and Gray Parkway. The appropriate route for north-south travel is State Route 2/17, rather than through the industrial park.

The other north-south road in this planning area is Lafayette Boulevard, to be widened to a four lane divided road, with bicycle/pedestrian facilities. This road touches the Fredericksburg and Spotsylvania National Military Park at Lee Drive, but all rights of way will be accommodated without impacting the National Park.

Within the National Park, Lee Drive provides access for park visitors, but because of the inadequacy of roads like State Route 2/17 in Spotsylvania County, Lee Drive gets used by local commuters, which creates a safety hazard for park visitors. The Park Service has full authority to make Lee Drive a one-way route, which would properly address safety concerns. Such a step, though, would impact State Route 2/17 and accelerate attention to widening that two lane road to a four lane road in Spotsylvania County, to meet the four lane divided road that already exists within the City limits.

Traffic Calming

A traffic circle will be built along Lafayette Boulevard, at Lee Drive. This facility will accommodate the development west of Lafayette Boulevard and also provide access to Lee Drive, within the Fredericksburg and Spotsylvania National Military Park.

Table 11-42 Transportation Summary for Planning Area 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Boulevard</td>
<td>Blue and Gray Parkway to the south city limit</td>
<td>Widen to a 4-lane divided road, with bicycle/pedestrian facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roundabout/Traffic calming</td>
<td>Lafayette Blvd. to Lee Drive</td>
<td>Establish roundabout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCR Trail bridge</td>
<td>Lafayette Blvd. and the Blue and Gray Parkway</td>
<td>Construct a bicycle/pedestrian bridge over the highway</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As noted above, the long-term traffic solution to cut-through traffic on Lee Drive is an improved State Route 2/17 that more readily serves local traffic needs. Within the National Park, a one-way traffic pattern on Lee Drive would enhance pedestrian/cyclist safety, especially if the East Coast Greenway is allowed to extend along that route. The City staff and the National Park staff have determined that a pedestrian crossing south of a proposed traffic roundabout on Lafayette Boulevard would provide for maximum safety, for bringing cyclists and pedestrians across Lafayette Boulevard.

Where trails cross roadways at-grade, the long term plan is to provide for bridges that will separate the vehicle route from the pedestrian/cycling route. A bridge is needed and planned for the at-grade crossing of the VCR Trail at the Blue and Gray Parkway. Another bridge would potentially be desirable where the City trail network will connect to the National Park, across Lafayette Boulevard, but a facility of that magnitude will entail full discussion with the National Park Service.
LAND USE PLANNING AREA 10: LAFAYETTE BOULEVARD/ROUTE 1 (SOUTH)

SETTING
Planning Area 10 (Map 30) consists primarily of the neighborhoods off Lafayette Boulevard. Commercial activity is found along the U.S. Route 1 Bypass (Jefferson Davis Highway) and on Lafayette Boulevard.

OPPORTUNITIES
- Improve Lafayette Boulevard to be a four lane divided roadway, with bicycle/pedestrian facilities, as specified in adopted transportation plans.
- Redevelop Lafayette Boulevard as envisioned in the Lafayette Boulevard Corridor Plan.
- Provide bicycle/pedestrian connections between residential areas and the City’s developing trails network.
- Protect existing residential neighborhoods from existing and proposed commercial development, through transitional uses and design standards that minimize adverse impacts.

EXISTING LAND USE
This planning area includes two public schools, scattered commercial uses on the U.S. Route 1 Bypass (Jefferson Davis Highway) and a variety of uses along Lafayette Boulevard.

TABLE 11-43  LAND USE SUMMARY FOR PLANNING AREA 10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CORRIDOR</th>
<th>DEVELOPMENT</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Route 1 Bypass</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Individual parcels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Route 1 Bypass</td>
<td>Lafayette School and Walker-Grant School</td>
<td>Governmental</td>
<td>43 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Route 1 Bypass</td>
<td>Townsend Center</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>23,600 square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Route 1 Bypass</td>
<td>Townsend Apts.</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>200 units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Route 1 Bypass</td>
<td>Cedar Ridge Apts.</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>130 units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Route 1 Bypass</td>
<td>Wellington Lakes</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>160 apartments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Route 1 Bypass</td>
<td>Confederate Ridge</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>75 houses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Route 1 Bypass</td>
<td>Fox Run</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>17 houses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Boulevard</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Individual parcels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Boulevard</td>
<td>Kensington Hills</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>47 houses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Boulevard</td>
<td>Brown's Subdivision</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>19 houses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Boulevard</td>
<td>Arcade Court</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>6 houses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Boulevard</td>
<td>Braehead Woods</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>103 houses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Boulevard</td>
<td>Morningside</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>96 houses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Boulevard</td>
<td>Hillcrest</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>82 houses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Boulevard</td>
<td>Jackson Park</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>91 houses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Boulevard</td>
<td>Alum Springs</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>20 houses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Boulevard</td>
<td>Twin Lakes</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>39 houses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Boulevard</td>
<td>Wellington Woods</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>114 apartments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Boulevard</td>
<td>Cedar Lane Terrace</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>12 townhomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Boulevard</td>
<td>Dillard</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>82 houses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Boulevard</td>
<td>Courtland Heights</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>58 houses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Boulevard</td>
<td>Olde Greenwich</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>130 townhomes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MAP 30  LAND USE PLANNING AREA 10: LAFAYETTE BOULEVARD/ROUTE 1 (SOUTH)
**ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS**

This planning area is a plateau upon which roads and subdivisions have been built. Attention should be directed to Hazel Run and other streams that receive runoff. The larger Hazel Run watershed has experienced severe erosion, due to inadequate stormwater management over several decades, and the challenge of restoring its integrity will include new regulations as well as retrofits to existing stormwater facilities.

**HISTORIC RESOURCES**

This planning area is high ground that had military advantages during the Civil War. Though the terrain is historically significant, there are no historic structures in this part of the City.

**LAND USE POTENTIAL**

This planning area is characterized by distinctive neighborhoods, with many infill opportunities. Infill sites are also available along Lafayette Boulevard and the U.S. Route 1 Bypass.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUB PLANNING AREA</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>CURRENT ZONING</th>
<th>RECOMMENDED USE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10A</td>
<td>3,600 linear feet of the U.S. Route 1 Bypass</td>
<td>Commercial-Highway</td>
<td>Planned Development Mixed Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10B</td>
<td>5,300 linear feet of Lafayette Boulevard</td>
<td>Various-residential and commercial</td>
<td>Planned development - mixed use</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUB PLANNING AREA 10A**

(U.S. Route 1 Bypass Corridor) – The Commonwealth constructed the U.S. Route 1 Bypass (Jefferson Davis Highway) in the 1940s, providing a way for travelers to quickly drive past communities where they did not intend to stop. Commercial development followed the new traffic pattern and over the years a great variety of uses gravitated to the new roadway. A Planned Development-Mixed Use zoning district will provide for a more cohesive commercial corridor.

**SUB PLANNING AREA 10B**

(Lafayette Boulevard Corridor) - Before construction of the U.S. Route 1 Bypass, Lafayette Boulevard was the north-south U.S. Route 1 through Fredericksburg. In this capacity, there developed a variety of roadside businesses, many of which have been adapted to contemporary uses. Lafayette Boulevard is also a prominent entryway into downtown Fredericksburg for motorists arriving from the south. Improvements to this route are planned south of the Blue and Gray Parkway, to include four travel lanes, with a center divider, and a sidewalk on the east side of the road and multi-use path on the west side. Right-of-way acquisition will claim many properties with direct access to the road, but the various neighborhoods will be kept intact. This route has a variety of zoning districts, which need to be reconsidered for a more flexible Planned Development-Mixed Use zoning.
INFRASTRUCTURE

ROADS
Lafayette Boulevard is identified in local and regional long range transportation plans to be widened to a four lane divided road, with bicycle/pedestrian facilities. This improvement project includes not only the route as it exists in the City, but would extend into Spotsylvania County, to Four Mile Fork.

TRAILS
The Virginia Central Railway Trail extends into downtown Fredericksburg and is also readily accessible from Springwood Drive. With the exception of Twin Lakes, most of the neighborhoods in this planning area do not have sidewalks, so cyclists must be cautious. The bicycle/pedestrian facilities that will be part of the Lafayette Boulevard widening project will be accessible from all of the neighborhoods in this planning area, but again without sidewalk connections on the neighborhood collector roads. Springwood Drive provides a connection to the VCR Trail and an on-road bicycle route should be marked.

There is an at-grade crossing where the VCR Trail crosses the U.S. Route 1 Bypass. This crossing will eventually be bridged, to enhance bicycle/pedestrian safety.

TRAFFIC CALMING
Twin Lakes Drive has four excellent traffic calming features that are exceptionally effective in providing for pedestrian safety. Similar features could be constructed in the Confederate Ridge neighborhood, if traffic becomes a problem there.

The Lafayette Boulevard widening project will have several traffic circles, which help to keep traffic moving, while also discouraging speeding. There is no need for traffic calming features on the other neighborhood streets as these typically have only a single connection to Lafayette Boulevard.

TABLE 11-45  TRANSPORTATION SUMMARY FOR PLANNING AREA 10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Boulevard</td>
<td>Blue and Gray Parkway to the south city limits</td>
<td>Widen to a 4-lane divided road, with bicycle/pedestrian facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCR Trail Bridge</td>
<td>U.S. Route 1 Bypass</td>
<td>Construct a bicycle/pedestrian bridge over the highway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Install markings for an on-road bicycle route</td>
<td>Springwood Drive</td>
<td>Install markings between Lafayette Boulevard and the VCR Trail</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 58  TRAFFIC CALMING PROTECTS NEIGHBORHOODS 24 HOURS A DAY
PART IV: APPENDICES

Implementation of this Comprehensive Plan will require attention to best practices as well as specific investments of time and capital. This Part IV includes the following additional data:

- Appendix A: Best Practices for a Livable Community
- Appendix B: The Action Plan
APPENDIX A: 
BEST PRACTICES FOR A LIVABLE COMMUNITY

A LIVABLE BUILT ENVIRONMENT

Fredericksburg’s buildings, streets, and utilities shape the quality of life for the entire population. As a consequence, they should function at the highest possible level. The built environment, however, is complex. Where traditional planning has typically treated components of the built environment separately, such as land use and transportation, current practice recognizes the interrelation of these various components and seeks to provide a stronger framework for addressing their respective challenges as an integrated whole.

There are ten best practices for achieving a livable built environment.

1. Plan for a Multi-Modal Transportation Network.
A multi-modal transportation system allows people to use a variety of ways to travel within and outside their community. A multi-modal system reduces dependence on any single mode of travel such as automobiles, which increases the mobility of the larger community including those who cannot drive (children, the elderly, persons with disabilities, and the indigent). Less driving and more walking will also reduce air pollution, with associated health benefits.

Transit oriented development is characterized by higher-density mixed use development around transit stations and along transit lines such that the location and design of the development encourages transit use and pedestrian activity. Transit thus reduces automobile use and decreases the need for parking.

3. Coordinate Transportation with Land Uses.
Provide for transportation efficiencies and economic development by ensuring that jobs can be accessed by all persons, whether or not they drive a vehicle.

4. Provide Complete Streets.
City streets need to safely provide access for motorists, pedestrians, cyclists, and public transit within the same right-of-way. All modes of travel need not be equally prioritized on any given street, but streets that serve the multiple functions of travel, social interaction, and commercial activity result in more vibrant (and livable) neighborhoods.

Placing residential uses in close proximity to non-residential uses (schools, jobs, shopping, etc.) increases walking/cycling and decreases automobile trips. Mixed land use patterns should incorporate safe, accessible, and attractive design features that promote social interaction.

Undeveloped or underused parcels of land in otherwise built-up areas are already served by existing infrastructure and their development/redevelopment should be encouraged and supported to add to the urban dynamic.

7. Encourage Design Standards Consistent with the Community Context.
The existing function and aesthetic appeal of neighborhoods, corridors, and special districts should be reinforced by subsequent development/redevelopment. New building placement, massing, materials, and various design elements should be compatible with the existing context and reinforce the community’s character.

8. Ensure Public Facilities, Commercial Places, and Activity Centers are Accessible.
All facilities and spaces open to the public should be designed to be safe, served by different transportation modes, and be accessible to all citizens regardless of mobility impairment.

9. Preserve and Reuse Historic Resources.
Historic resources embody and illustrate the cultural heritage of a community. Buildings, sites, landmarks, and districts that are considered to have historic and cultural value should be respected and kept in active use.

Green buildings embody resource and energy efficiencies and should be aggressively pursued. Energy conservation in general reduces energy costs, improves environmental quality, and community health.
A Respect for Nature

Human beings depend on a healthy natural environment for nourishing food, breathable air, drinkable water, energy, and more. Development and human activity, however, can disturb nature’s balance and damage the resources it provides. While some natural resources are protected through separate functional plans and regulations, an overall coordination can be achieved through comprehensive planning.

There are ten best practices that maintain a community’s respect for nature.

1. Protect, connect, and restore natural habitats and sensitive lands.
Natural habitats include wetlands, riparian corridors, woodlands, and undeveloped open space. These areas also include a variety of sensitive lands such as steep slopes, geographically unstable areas, and places easily disturbed by human activity. Keeping natural areas connected conserves natural ecosystem functions, promotes water quality, and even helps to sustain clean air. Restoring degraded areas helps to reestablish natural diversity and associated ecosystem functions.

2. Provide and protect green infrastructure.
Green infrastructure is a managed network of parks, greenways, and protected lands that are interconnected to provide a wide range of critical ecological functions such as wildlife habitat and stormwater management. Green infrastructure can also provide recreational opportunities.

3. Encourage development that respects natural topography.
Hillsides, ridges, steep slopes, and lowlands can pose a challenge to development. Taking these features into account during the development process can reduce costs and minimize subsequent natural hazard risks (flooding, landslides, etc.).

4. Enact policies that reduce carbon footprints.
A carbon footprint is a term used to measure the environmental impact of a person, organization, or a city, both through the direct consumption of fossil fuels as well as the indirect emissions associated with the manufacture and transport of all goods and services consumed. Reduction of a carbon footprint is usually associated with energy conservation and related policies are meant to improve air quality and health as well as provide economic benefits.

5. Seek to improve air quality.
Air quality standards are established by the federal government and typically enforced by state and local governments. Limiting air pollutants can occur through effective transportation planning (mobile sources) and through construction regulation (point sources).

6. Encourage adaptations to climate change.
Climate change is causing sea levels to rise, stronger extreme weather events, and long term shifts in precipitation levels. Communities seeking to reduce their vulnerability to extreme weather impacts will need to develop adaptation strategies that minimize adverse effects on their environment, economy, and public health.

7. Encourage renewable energy use.
Renewable energy sources include the sun, wind, thermal energy, and hydropower, which are naturally regenerated and do not diminish. Use of renewable energy reduces the adverse impacts of fossil fuels.

8. Reduce solid waste.
Solid waste is the garbage and refuse that results from human activity. Many solid waste items can be diverted from the waste stream and be recycled into new products or composted.

9. Conserve water and ensure a lasting water supply.
The City’s water comes entirely from surface water sources. This supply is not infinite though, and water conservation planning is going to become critical to meeting the community’s long term needs.

10. Protect streams, watersheds, and floodplains.
The natural function of streams and floodplains are often altered by construction of buildings and culverts. Natural resource management should occur on a watershed scale, to effectively protect water supplies, maintain water quality, and provide for drainage and stormwater management.
A RESILIENT ECONOMY

A local economy is comprised of businesses, trades, production facilities, and related activities, but also depends on outside inputs and trends. Consequently, the local employment base is affected not only by local business activity, but by the decisions of distant firms or governments. Resilience, however, relies on effective management of local assets.

There are seven best practices related to maintaining a resilient economy.

1. PROVIDE THE PHYSICAL CAPACITY FOR ECONOMIC GROWTH.
Communities need to ensure that sufficient land is available for commercial and industrial development. Current and projected economic conditions will guide this effort to support existing as well future production of goods and services.

2. ENSURE A BALANCED MIX OF LAND USES.
Both residential and non-residential land uses are necessary components of fiscal sustainability. Providing public services to residents, business owners, and visitors need to be closely matched to the taxes and user fees generated by those uses.

3. ENSURE TRANSPORTATION ACCESS TO EMPLOYMENT CENTERS.
Places with high job density need to be accessible by multiple modes of transportation, so persons without personal vehicles can find and maintain employment.

4. PROMOTE GREEN BUSINESSES AND JOBS.
Business and commercial activities that contribute to preserving and restoring environmental quality are said to be “green.” Green businesses may be associated with processes where the wastes of one industry are the raw materials for another industry.

5. ENCOURAGE COMMUNITY-BASED DEVELOPMENT AND REVITALIZATION.
Community-based economic development promotes businesses that serve local needs and are compatible with the vision and values of the community. Local resources that enhance local economic opportunities will revitalize commercial areas, neighborhoods, and other place-based community resources.

6. PROVIDE AND MAINTAIN INFRASTRUCTURE CAPACITY.
Structures and networks must be adequately sized to meet existing and future development. In this context, infrastructure planning includes not only new facilities, but consideration of how the changing nature of existing facilities might require realignment or other modification.

7. DEVELOP PLANS FOR POST-DISASTER ECONOMIC RECOVERY.
Natural or man-made disasters will interrupt economic activity and damage local resources such as houses and businesses. Recovery from storms, flooding, fires, and other incidents will be considerably enhanced by officially adopted policies and implementation tools that are put in place before such disasters occur.
INTERWOVEN EQUITY

Equity involves the fair distribution of benefits and costs. A basic fairness test is to determine whether a decision will serve the needs of the full range of the local population – rich and poor, young and old, native and immigrant. Poor, underserved, and minority populations often do not participate in debates over community decisions, but their needs must still be recognized. As an example, housing assistance programs are absolutely critical to a substantial part of the City’s population, which is evident not because of their participation in the public process, but through careful research and analysis.

There are nine best practices that support the concept of interwoven equity:

1. **Ensure a range of housing types.**
   Residential units of different size, configuration, and price are needed for households of different size and income level to be able to live and/or work within their own community.

2. **Ensure a balance between jobs and housing.**
   There is no one formula for a jobs/housing ratio, as each jurisdiction has different characteristics and needs. Jobs and housing matched to the labor force will result in lower vehicle-miles traveled, but transportation investments that serve commuters and help them to maintain a high quality of life are also desirable. Fredericksburg’s residential tax base is 56 percent of the City’s total tax base, while the commercial tax base is at 41 percent. This ratio shows the City’s economy to be a strong one, but other successful jurisdictions will have entirely different ratios and also have strong economies.

3. **Provide for physical, environmental, and economic improvements in disadvantaged neighborhoods.**
   Neighborhoods can suffer from disinvestment and physical deterioration as a result of aging buildings and relocation of economic opportunities. Focused intervention is often needed to prevent decline and to revitalize the nearby economy.

4. **Plan for improved health and safety for at-risk populations.**
   At-risk populations include children, the elderly, persons with disabilities, those living in institutionalized settings, those with limited English proficiency, and the transportation disadvantaged. These populations may have additional needs during and after natural or man-made disasters, periods of extreme weather, and periods of economic downturn.

5. **Ensure accessible public services and facilities.**
   Services available for the benefit of the community and places such as libraries, parks, and community centers should be located so all members of the community have safe and convenient transportation options to reach them.

6. **Upgrade substandard infrastructure.**
   Older parts of the City that have been developed and occupied for a long period of time will need their water, sewer, roads, and sidewalks brought up to newer standards from time to time. Improving such infrastructure provides a foundation for continued economic growth and improves the City’s quality of life.

7. **Plan for workforce diversity and development.**
   Workforce development focuses on helping people find the skills necessary to obtain employment. Areas that promote a diverse and skilled workforce are attractive to employers and enable residents to find employment within their community.

8. **Protect vulnerable populations from natural hazards.**
   When disasters threaten lives and property, vulnerable neighborhoods that face higher risks may require special intervention to get through such events.

9. **Promote environmental justice.**
   All citizens deserve the same degree of protection from environmental and health hazards and should also have equal access to the decision making process.
A Healthy Community

Health is a state of physical, mental, and social well-being rather than merely the absence of disease or infirmity. In a healthy community, residents are assured that the air and water are safe, that open space and recreation opportunities are convenient to use, that local food outlets are located near neighborhoods, public schools and health care are provided equitably, and active public safety programs are in place.

There are seven best practices to support a healthy community:

1. Reduce exposure to toxins and pollutants in the natural and built environment.
Toxins are poisonous substances that can harm living organisms. Pollutants are waste substances that can contaminate air, water, and soil and cause adverse changes in the environment. Pollution can also take the form of energy, such as noise, light, and heat. Reducing exposure to toxins and pollutants improves the health of individuals and the community.

2. Increase public safety through reduction of crime and injuries.
Public safety involves protection from crimes and disasters. Police, fire, and emergency services will address public safety issues as they arise, but crime prevention can also be achieved through environmental design that removes opportunities for crime in parks and other public spaces and on public facilities such as trails.

3. Mitigate and redevelop brownfields.
Environmental contamination can complicate development and redevelopment of abandoned or underused properties. Cleaning up and reusing brownfield sites returns them to productive use.

4. Provide facilities for physical activity and healthy lifestyles.
Sidewalks, walking/cycling trails, and accessible and equitably distributed recreational opportunities support physical activity and healthy lifestyles.

5. Provide accessible parks, trails, and open space near all neighborhoods.
The proximity of parks and open space to neighborhoods supports both increased physical activity among residents as well as provides opportunities for relaxation. Further, trails and greenways link neighborhoods with other neighborhoods, commercial areas, and services, providing alternatives to driving.

6. Provide for access to healthy foods for all neighborhoods.
Healthy foods include those that are fresh or minimally processed, naturally dense in nutrients, and low in fat, sodium, and cholesterol. There is no standard definition of locally grown food. Sources can range from backyards and community gardens to farms within the region.

7. Ensure equitable access to health care, public safety facilities, schools, and cultural facilities.
Equitable access is meant to ensure that services and facilities are reachable by all persons, regardless of social or economic background.
**Regionalism**

Regional planning commissions in Virginia function without regulatory authority, but still provide a perspective that extends beyond local jurisdictional boundaries. A major exception are the federally-mandated metropolitan planning organizations, which are required to develop regional transportation plans as a condition for receiving federal transportation funds. From the local government perspective, the plans and policies of adjacent jurisdictions have reciprocal impacts, and the regional commission helps to address issues with regional implications such as open space and environmental protection, economic development, hazard mitigation, and so on.

There are five basic best practices to support responsible regionalism:

1. **COORDINATE LOCAL LAND USE PLANNING WITH REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION INVESTMENTS.**
   Available and proposed infrastructure is the basis of virtually all land use decisions. Coordinating land use planning with transportation investments is a responsible integration of disciplines.

2. **COORDINATE LOCAL OPEN SPACE PLANS WITH REGIONAL GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PLANS.**
   The regional green infrastructure plan shows the desired future locations for parks, greenways, and protected lands within a multi-jurisdictional context. Coordinating local open space plans with the regional green infrastructure plan can maximize both ecological and public benefits as well as leverage investment in parks, greenways, and trails.

3. **ENCOURAGE DEVELOPMENT PATTERNS THAT CAN SUSTAIN TRANSIT.**
   The existing infrastructure (Interstate-95) and proximity to Northern Virginia/Washington D.C. will result in continued population growth within the George Washington Planning District. Low density development patterns are causing severe stress on the transportation network and the projected growth must address increased densities to allow transit to function efficiently within the severe constraints of anticipated transportation revenues.

4. **CONTINUE TO PROMOTE REGIONAL COOPERATION AND INTER-JURISDICTIONAL AGREEMENTS FOR SERVICES AND INFRASTRUCTURE.**
   Regional cooperation has allowed local jurisdictions to maximize limited resources by sharing costs for such facilities as water treatment plants, jails, and landfills. Finding other opportunities for regional cooperation can improve efficiencies and result in cost savings in local government operations.

5. **ENCOURAGE CONSISTENCY BETWEEN LOCAL CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS AND REGIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE PRIORITIES.**
   Coordinating jurisdictional capital projects with adopted regional infrastructure goals ensure that critical resources are expended as efficiently as possible.
APPENDIX B: 
THE ACTION PLAN

Effective implementation of the Comprehensive Plan occurs on three levels. The first is the adherence to stated policies by City staff as various activities are undertaken either in-house or with contract forces. The second is incorporation of stated policies into the regulatory documents that staff uses to review and approve development and redevelopment activities. Making the City’s regulatory instruments consistent with this Comprehensive Plan is a critical series of steps to implementing the community’s objectives. The third level of implementation is defined through an action plan, which compiles the specific initiatives in each chapter and assigns responsibility for getting them done. The Comprehensive Plan is a key reference for all City decisions and actions.

In developing a strategic plan of action, it has been useful to assign three priorities, as follows:

− Immediate Action – initiatives should be undertaken upon Plan adoption and be well underway within two years.
− Ongoing Action – initiatives identify existing projects or activities that should continue to be pursued.
− As Resources Permit – initiatives should be pursued as resources and circumstances permit.

The following matrix is organized to reflect the order of the chapters in the Plan itself. One to three initiatives in each chapter are identified for immediate action. Many others are ongoing efforts.
**TRANSPORTATION PLANNING INITIATIVE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>LEAD STAFF</th>
<th>PRIORITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investigate new concepts in street design, such as shared space intersections that maintain vehicular access while enhancing pedestrian safety.</td>
<td>Plng/PW</td>
<td>Immediate Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop complete streets standards and guidelines.</td>
<td>Plng.</td>
<td>Immediate Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actively promote the provision of cycling amenities such as bicycle racks, bicycle lockers, and bicycle sharing at transportation, commercial and recreational destinations.</td>
<td>Plng.</td>
<td>Immediate Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop pedestrian/bicycle bridges for the VCR Trail at the Blue and Gray Parkway and the U.S. Route 1 Bypass.</td>
<td>Plng/PW/PR&amp;PF</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue to expand the FRED system by adding capacity, where and when feasible, as well as by maintaining a Real Time Transit Information System.</td>
<td>FRED</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implement traffic management strategies that mitigate the impacts of traffic growth, such as signal timing upgrades and other intersection control measures.</td>
<td>Plng/PW</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue to work with the University of Mary Washington to address parking issues.</td>
<td>PD/CMO</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue to explore strategies to reduce conflicts between competing parking needs, especially within residential areas.</td>
<td>Plng/PD</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue to seek regional transportation solutions through the regional planning process undertaken by the Fredericksburg Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (FAMPO) and other partnerships.</td>
<td>Plng/PW</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue to work toward regional transportation solutions that include an improved Rappahannock River crossing and a new interchange at Celebrate Virginia, South that will divert commuter traffic from the Interstate-95/State Route 3 interchange.</td>
<td>Plng/PW</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support the regional interstate project that calls for interchange reconstruction and improvements from U.S. Route 17, if Stafford, to State Route 3 (VDOT UPCs 101595 and 105510).</td>
<td>Plng/PW</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actively examine the potential for redesigning the one-way paired streets (William-Amelia and Princess Anne-Caroline) to better accommodate local traffic, pedestrian safety, and parking.</td>
<td>Plng/PW</td>
<td>As Resources Permit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implement traffic calming measures in neighborhoods where cut-through traffic endangers resident safety.</td>
<td>Plng/PW</td>
<td>As Resources Permit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Public Facilities and Services Planning Initiative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>LEAD STAFF</th>
<th>PRIORITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Develop a plan to address the need for additional classrooms within the City’s school system, by:  
a. Providing capital improvement funding to meet school facility needs, and  
   b. Providing operational funding for appropriate student/teacher ratios. | Schools | Immediate Action |
<p>| Conduct a comprehensive study of City-owned properties and facilities that are vacant or obsolete, such as the Executive Plaza office building, the old city landfill, the Renwick complex, and the Dorothy Hart Community Center and develop plans for their removal/reuse. | CMO | Immediate Action |
| Develop pocket parks throughout the City, to enhance neighborhoods and the community’s overall quality of life. | PR&amp;PF | Immediate Action |
| Rehabilitate the Old Walker-Grant School to extend its functional life. | Schools | Ongoing |
| Establish an urban riverfront park as a focal point for the community. | PR&amp;PF | Ongoing |
| Continue to protect and manage the City-owned riparian lands along the Rappahannock and Rapidan Rivers, with emphasis on protecting trees, halting encroachments, minimizing non-point source pollution, preserving historic and cultural resources, and pursuing additional land acquisition. | PW/PD/Plng | Ongoing |
| Establish a community center in Dixon Park, acquiring additional property, as needed. | PR&amp;PF | As Resources Permit |
| Provide a new Fire Station 3 on the west side of Interstate-95. | CMO | As Resources Permit |
| Establish a new 100-acre park, consistent with restrictive covenants, on Fall Hill. | PR&amp;PF | As Resources Permit |
| Establish a new park on an 11 acre parcel near Smith Run, when Gateway Boulevard is extended to provide access. Acquire additional acreage in that area, as feasible, to enlarge the public holdings. | PR&amp;PF/Plng | As Resources Permit |
| Review the need for parking along the urban riverfront and find alternatives that maximize the use of on-street parking to offset removal of surface parking within the riverfront park site. | Plng | As Resources Permit |
| Develop a plan for the comprehensive preservation and development of the City’s entire urban riverfront, from Fall Hill to Dixon Park. | Plng/PR&amp;PF | As Resources Permit |
| Encourage internet providers to build out their fiber-optic and broadband cable infrastructure, so they can provide the fastest and most reliable service possible to all citizens and businesses. | EDA | As Resources Permit |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>LEAD STAFF</th>
<th>PRIORITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actively pursue measures to protect the public in the vicinity of potentially hazardous facilities such as pipelines, railways, storage areas, etc.</td>
<td>Plng</td>
<td>Immediate Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expand tree planting initiatives in neighborhoods, within road corridors, and in City parks.</td>
<td>PW</td>
<td>Immediate Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pursue a program that will result in no net loss of tree canopy, by ensuring provision of an equivalent tree canopy (either on-site or elsewhere in the City) when trees are removed for new development and redevelopment.</td>
<td>Plng</td>
<td>Immediate Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue to update and strengthen development regulations related to water quality and use of sensitive lands.</td>
<td>Plng/Bldg Svcs.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue to implement the Fredericksburg Watershed Property Maintenance Plan that protects the City’s upriver lands, with emphasis on tree protection, halting encroachments, minimizing non-point source pollution, preserving historic resources, and identifying key additional land parcels for acquisition.</td>
<td>PW/PD</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop enhanced stormwater management and erosion and sediment control regulations, to be consistent with new requirements promulgated by the Commonwealth of Virginia.</td>
<td>Plng/Bldg Svcs.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue to inventory existing stormwater outfalls, in order to improve them so they do not cause erosion or result in other environmental degradation.</td>
<td>PW/Bldg Svcs.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue to protect scenic areas and vistas on upriver riparian lands, by avoiding signs and other visible intrusions.</td>
<td>PW/PD</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce adverse recreational impacts in the upriver watershed by closely monitoring access areas for erosion, vegetation destruction, and accumulations of trash.</td>
<td>PW/Plng</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce the volume of solid waste placed in landfills through the continued expansion and improvement of recycling programs.</td>
<td>PW/CMO</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actively pursue implementation of the regional Climate, Environmental &amp; Readiness (CLEAR) plan, developed by the George Washington Regional Commission.</td>
<td>PW/Plng</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expand the duties of the Clean &amp; Green Commission to include responsibility for evaluating existing sustainability policies and recommending coordinated new ones.</td>
<td>PW/CMO</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish a street tree inventory, to assist in developing a tree plan and to provide a basis for appropriate maintenance of street trees.</td>
<td>PW</td>
<td>As Resources Permit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Business Opportunity Planning Initiative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>LEAD STAFF</th>
<th>PRIORITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Work with the Economic Development Authority to develop incentives for development and redevelopment in designated areas.</td>
<td>Plng./EDA</td>
<td>Immediate Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluate form-based regulations as optional overlay regulations for use in selected areas, to encourage development that meets adopted goals in designated corridors.</td>
<td>Plng</td>
<td>Immediate Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage development/redevelopment activity by creating plans, especially for older shopping centers, that will diversify uses and provide for improved multi-modal access, landscaped parking areas, and improved lighting and signage.</td>
<td>Plng</td>
<td>Immediate Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pursue both public and private funding opportunities for improvements to corridor infrastructure.</td>
<td>PW/Plng</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue to evaluate the effectiveness of commercial zoning standards to include building heights, setbacks, density, ground floor uses, floor area ratios, materials, special exceptions, and other design standards.</td>
<td>Plng</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work with the Economic Development Authority to develop an economic development master plan that will guide business recruitment efforts and incentive agreements.</td>
<td>EDA</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjust zoning provisions to encourage mixed-use development that allows for greater densities and appropriate building heights, setbacks, and uses.</td>
<td>Plng</td>
<td>As Resources Permit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop corridor-specific design guidelines that articulate the expected form and nature of new development along designated corridors.</td>
<td>Plng</td>
<td>As Resources Permit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explore the potential for a regional visitor center near Interstate-95 that could function as a gateway to the area’s Civil War battlefields as well as to the City of Fredericksburg.</td>
<td>Tourism</td>
<td>As Resources Permit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redesign the City logo to reflect the accurate date of its founding (1728).</td>
<td>CMO</td>
<td>As Resources Permit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work with the United States Postal Service to ensure a functioning post office remains in downtown Fredericksburg when the distribution facility is relocated.</td>
<td>CMO</td>
<td>As Resources Permit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOODS AND HOUSING PLANNING INITIATIVE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>LEAD STAFF</th>
<th>PRIORITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study the condition of all existing alleys in residential neighborhoods to determine how they can be re-opened and/or reestablished to enhance the maintenance, service, and parking needs of residential units.</td>
<td>PW/Plng.</td>
<td>Immediate Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actively pursue initiatives to develop housing opportunities for senior citizens and persons with disabilities.</td>
<td>Plng.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue to evaluate infill regulations to ensure that additions and new construction do not adversely impact the character of existing neighborhoods.</td>
<td>Plng</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor neighborhood parking needs and develop appropriate on-street restrictions, as needed. Monitor existing restricted areas to ensure effectiveness.</td>
<td>PW/Plng</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure neighborhood infrastructure needs continue to be met through the Capital Improvement Program.</td>
<td>PW/Plng</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue to implement the City’s Consolidated Plan for Community Development Programs.</td>
<td>Plng</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue to work with the University of Mary Washington to address student/resident issues in neighborhoods surrounding the University.</td>
<td>CMO/PD</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure new development adjacent to established neighborhoods is properly buffered.</td>
<td>Plng</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reestablish the pro-active rental property maintenance program to improve conditions in City neighborhoods and to address problems that create unsafe and unsanitary conditions for renters as well as result in neighborhood degradation.</td>
<td>Bldg. Svcs.</td>
<td>As Resources Permit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Historic Preservation Planning Initiative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>LEAD STAFF</th>
<th>PRIORITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expand the National Register Historic District (using newly available documentation of the City’s historic resources) so property owners can seek state historic preservation tax credits.</td>
<td>Plng</td>
<td>Immediate Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-institute the brick sidewalk program and relocation of utility lines.</td>
<td>PW</td>
<td>Immediate Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explore the creation of a fund to foster the preservation of significant historic structures that are slated for demolition or otherwise endangered.</td>
<td>CMO</td>
<td>Immediate Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure that the City’s zoning ordinance encourages reuse of historic buildings, through varied uses, flexibility in applying zoning requirements, and attention to development patterns that preserve the City’s character.</td>
<td>Plng</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain local incentives, such as the property tax abatement program, to encourage investment in the City’s historic buildings. Continue to monitor for effectiveness.</td>
<td>Comm. of Revenue</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protect buildings from neglect and disrepair, by maintaining a strong property maintenance program.</td>
<td>Bldg. Svs.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue to interpret historic sites along City trails and on sites owned by the City, such as the Mary Washington monument and Smith Run battlefield.</td>
<td>Plng./Tourism</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage development of educational experiences through tourism and school programs.</td>
<td>Tourism</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinate internal government procedures to enhance the effectiveness of the City’s historic preservation program.</td>
<td>Plng</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protect and enhance the continued public use of the Renwick Court House complex.</td>
<td>CMO</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue to work with the National Park Service, to protect the integrity of the National Military Park as well as to address infrastructure issues and traffic patterns.</td>
<td>Plng/PW</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respect battlefield lines-of-sight when evaluating development/redevelopment in areas of the City visible from Lee’s Hill, Willis Hill, and Chatham.</td>
<td>Plng</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create a program that will identify and protect Fredericksburg’s archaeological resources.</td>
<td>CMO</td>
<td>As Resources Permit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work with neighborhoods that may not be appropriate for historic district designation, but whose character is worth preserving through overlay zoning or other conservation measures.</td>
<td>Plng</td>
<td>As Resources Permit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With property owner support, consider expanding the historic overlay district or create new historic overlay districts to more fully protect the historic resources of the City.</td>
<td>Plng</td>
<td>As Resources Permit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Institutional and Jurisdictional Partnerships Planning Initiative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>LEAD STAFF</th>
<th>PRIORITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Support the University of Mary Washington’s Small Business Development program.</td>
<td>Economic Development</td>
<td>Immediate Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue to participate in regularly scheduled Town/Gown meetings.</td>
<td>CMO/PD</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue to work with the City’s riparian easement holders to protect the upriver watershed properties.</td>
<td>PW/Plng</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue to maintain a close liaison between the Planning Department and the National Park Service.</td>
<td>Plng</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue to participate in all aspects of regional planning</td>
<td>CMO/Plng</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify specific projects and areas of aligned interests that may be developed in collaborative efforts.</td>
<td>CMO</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actively participate in the regional continuum of care process.</td>
<td>Plng</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MONITORING

This Comprehensive Plan constitutes the foundation of ongoing planning in the City of Fredericksburg. To ensure it remains valid and effective, it will be important for the Planning Commission to monitor the City’s progress in meeting the stated initiatives. The Code of Virginia (Section 15.2.2230) already requires that the Planning Commission review a locality’s Comprehensive Plan every five years, to determine whether it is advisable to amend the plan. These annual reviews are considered good practice and can be considered at the same time that the Planning Commission reviews the City’s Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

An annual review should include the following components:

- An annual report from the Planning Commission to the City Council, reviewing actions taken to implement the goals, policies, and initiatives of the Comprehensive Plan as well as any recommended changes to the Plan.
- Coordination with the Planning Commission’s review of the CIP, which is done on an annual basis.
- Inclusion of items identified for attention in the coming year.

The City Council may initiate a complete or a targeted revision of the Comprehensive Plan at any time, as circumstances suggest such action to be appropriate. Citizens who seek to amend the Comprehensive Plan prior to a regularly scheduled update may petition the City Council for that purpose.