

EXHIBIT A

HGP, Inc.
Purina Tower, Suite 100
401 Charles Street
Fredericksburg, VA 22401
540-371-5171 373-4150 (fax)
email: hpalmer@hgp.biz

November 24, 2015

Mr. Michael Craig,
Zoning Administrator
City of Fredericksburg
7xx Princess Anne Street
Fredericksburg, Virginia 22401

via: hand deliver

re: your interpretation

Dear Mr. Craig,

I would like a zoning interpretation of the City Code and how it applies to the review of projects in the Fredericksburg Historic District (HFD).

The Commonwealth of Virginia granted the City of Fredericksburg authority to adopt zoning laws within their corporate limits. The City Council adopted a Code of Ordinances to include creating and empowering an ARB in Chapter 10-52 and the UDO in Chapter 72. The City also adopted an ordinance to address infrastructure improvements in Chapter 66 of the City Code.

The ARB Powers and Duties enumerated in 10-52 include performance of duties outlined in the Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code as amended; to implement and administer Chapter 78 (now Chapter 72, UDO); and, to provide advisory review.

Article II, Building Code, Chapter 18-32 provides for building permits within the HFD to include *"No permit required under this article shall be issued for any building or structure subject to view from any public street, right-of-way, or place within the Old and Historic Fredericksburg zoning district (HFD) until the Zoning Administrator has certified to the Building Official that such exterior alteration either does not require the issuance of a certificate of appropriateness pursuant to Chapter 78 (now Chapter 72, UDO)... or that such certificate has been issued by the Architectural Review Board or, on appeal, by the City Council"*

EXHIBIT A

Chapter 66 of the City Code addresses Infrastructure Improvements, establishes a CPURC Committee to review infrastructure improvements within the HFD and provides for exceptions to CPURC review, one of which the decision is granted the City Manager.

Chapter 72 is the UDO and includes development, subdivision and zoning ordinances and outlines the duties of the Zoning Administrator. The Applicability and Jurisdiction of the UDO are outlined in 72-13 and applies to the use and development of all land within the city unless expressly exempted by a specific section or subsection of this chapter. Conflicts with other City Codes are outlined in 72-15.1 and provide that the more restrictive provision shall govern.

The Administration of the UDO is outlined in 72-20 to include the ARB and decisions within the Development Review Structure and the Zoning Administrator to include authorization to enforce the zoning provisions of the UDO and includes specific authorities but excludes none. One such specific authority is to make decisions within the development review structure in Table 72-21.7 of the UDO.

Table 71-21.7 summarizes the roles of the advisory and decision-making bodies that participate in the process of review and approval of applications required or authorized by this chapter. The Zoning Administrator is authorized, using the criteria within the UDO, to review and recommend a project be reviewed by the ARB and for the ARB to hold a public hearing and to decide or not to issue a Certificate of Appropriateness.

Chapter 72-23.1 provides for ARB review and issuances of certificates of appropriateness for new construction, alterations of existing structures, demolitions/removal/relocation of structures or a sign in the HFD.

Chapter 72-34 provides for Overlay Zoning Districts and establishes the Old and Historic Fredericksburg District (HFD). Certificates of appropriateness are required in accordance with the procedures and requirements in 72-23.1 for new construction; for additions and expansions to an existing principal structure; changes to outside of a building visible from row; fences; signs. The overlay standards provide for conflicts with other standards within this section or other city regulation, the more restrictive standard shall apply.

I would like for your official interpretation of “a written description of a UDO requirement, aspect of the Official Zoning Map, condition of approval, or proffer that is prepared by the Zoning Administrator” as outlined in the Procedures Manual to include:

1. Chapter 66, Infrastructure Improvements on City Property in the Historic District, provides for exceptions to review by the CPURC within that section and Chapter 72 does not provide those exceptions yet provides for a public hearing and review by the ARB for a Certificate of Appropriateness for all lands within the HFD. If there is a conflict for projects within the HFD to be reviewed or not, which Chapter applies and why?

EXHIBIT A

2. Is the standard for review of a project in the HFD by the ARB more restrictive than an exception to not review that project?
3. Who has the authority to exempt a project within the HFD from review?
4. Who has authority to make decisions outlined in the UDO excepting 72-2 and 72-5?

Enclosed is a fee in the amount of \$25.00 for your review and interpretation of the above questions. Thank you very much for your help in this matter.

Sincerely,

Hamilton Palmer

EXHIBIT B

Mike Craig
Zoning Administrator



City of Fredericksburg
P.O. Box 7447
Fredericksburg, VA 22404-7447
Telephone: 540-372-1179
Fax: 540-372-6412
mjrcraig@fredericksburgva.gov

120-15L

December 31, 2015

HGP, Inc.
Hamilton Palmer
Purina Tower
Suite 100
401 Charles Street
Fredericksburg, Va 22401

Re: Request for interpretation

Dear Mr. Palmer,

I am unable to perform the work you requested in your letter dated November 24, 2015. The questions you have submitted were answered by the Fredericksburg Circuit Court on August 6, 2015. I understand you have appealed this decision to the Virginia Supreme Court. Ultimately, the Court's decision – either the letter opinion or any future Virginia Supreme Court opinion – will be binding precedent.

We are working on refunding your \$25 submitted as check 694. We will send you the refund as soon as it is available.

If you need further assistance please feel free to contact me at mjrcraig@fredericksburgva.gov.

Respectfully,

Mike Craig
Zoning Administrator



City of Fredericksburg
Planning Services Division
Community Planning &
Zoning Department
P.O. Box 7447
Fredericksburg, VA 22404-7447

FREDERICKSBURG
VA 230
05 JAN '16
PM 2 L



US POSTAGE & METS
RITNEY DOWES
ZIP 22401 \$ 000.48⁵
02 48
0000336770 JAN 04 2016

HGP, Inc.
Hamilton Palmer
Purina Tower
Suite 100
401 Charles Street
Fredericksburg, VA 22401

EXHIBIT B

Commonwealth of Virginia

FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

JUDGES

Harry T. Taliaferro, III
Gordon F. Willis
Joseph J. Ellis
J. Overton Harris
Charles S. Sharp
Sarah L. Deneke
Michael E. Levy
Patricia Kelly
Herbert M. Hewitt
Victoria A. B. Willis



Herbert M. Hewitt
9483 Kings Highway, Suite 6
King George, Virginia 22485
(540) 775-1072
FAX (540) 775-0818

RETIRED JUDGES

Joseph E. Spruill, Jr., Retired
William H. Ledbetter, Jr., Retired
H. Harrison Braxton, Jr., Retired
Ann Hunter Simpson, Retired
John R. Alderman, Retired
Horace A. Revercomb, III, Retired
J. Martin Bass, Retired
David H. Beck, Retired

August 6, 2015

Hamilton G. Palmer, *pro se*
401 Charles Street
Fredericksburg, Virginia 22401

Kathleen Dooley
Fredericksburg City Attorney
Post Office Box 7447
Fredericksburg, Virginia 22404-7447

Re: Palmer V. City of Fredericksburg
CL15-442 City of Fredericksburg Circuit Court

Letter Opinion

This matter came on upon the Petition for a Writ of Mandamus and Respondent's Demurrer thereto.

The Court having considered the oral arguments of Petitioner and Counsel for the City in open Court, as well as Counsel for the City's written argument contained in the Demurrer finds as follows:

A Demurrer interposes the question whether or not the Petitioner is entitled to the relief sought even if each and every fact contained in his Petition is accepted as true.

A Writ of Mandamus compels execution of purely ministerial duties. It will not lie where the public official is vested with the exercise of discretion or judgment. Richmond-Greyhound Lines V. Davis, 200 Va. 147 (1958).


In this case, the operative City Code Section does not require all infrastructure improvement projects in the historic district to be reviewed by the City and Public Utility Review Committee, "CPURC." The City Code Section provides exceptions thereto. If there were no exceptions then mandamus would lie. An official must exercise judgment or discretion to determine whether any one or more of the exceptions apply.

EXHIBIT B

Hamilton G. Palmer, *pro se*
Kathleen Dooley, Fredericksburg City Attorney
August 6, 2015
Page 2

For the foregoing reason the Demurrer is sustained and the Writ denied.

Counsel for the City of Fredericksburg will please prepare, circulate and present an Order in accord with this ruling.



Herbert M. Hewitt, Judge

EXHIBIT C

HGP, Inc.
Purina Tower, Suite 100
401 Charles Street
Fredericksburg, VA 22401
540-371-5171 373-4150 (fax)
email: hpalmer@hgp.biz

March 2, 2016

To: Board of Zoning Appeals
City of Fredericksburg, Virginia

re: Administrative Decision

via: hand Delivery

Dear Board Members,

I appeal the Administrative Decision by the Zoning Administrator that was mailed me sometime on or after January 5th, 2016. I requested a determination in a letter to the Zoning Administrator on November 24th, 2015. The determination was not made and my request followed with 'unable to perform the work you requested' together with 'the questions you have submitted were answered by the Fredericksburg Circuit Court on August 6, 2015.'

The determination in the Administrative Decision to not perform the work requested is not factual and I appeal same. I asked 4 questions, most which were not addressed by the Court's decision. Attached is a check in the amount of \$300.00 for the Appeal together with the Court Opinion, my request for interpretation, and the Zoning Administrator's response to my request.

I request the Board of Zoning Appeals have the full 5 members present to hear my appeal due to the strict requirements of having a majority of the Board (regardless if they are in attendance or not) to approve the appeal.

Sincerely,



Hamilton G. Palmer

attachments